RICK SCOTT GOVERNOR 605 Suwannee Street Tallahassee, FL 32399-0450 ANANTH PRASAD SECRETARY

# STRUCTURES DESIGN BULLETIN C11-09

DATE: August 10, 2011

TO: District Directors of Operations, District Directors of Production, District

Design Engineers, District Construction Engineers, District Structures Design

Engineers

FROM: Robert V. Robertson, P. E., State Structures Design Engineer

David Sadler, Tom Andres, Charles Boyd, Dennis Golabek, Andre Pavlov,

Jeffrey Ger (FHWA)

SUBJECT: Continuity of Precast Concrete Beams

# **REQUIREMENT**

COPIES:

Add the following to *Structures Design Guidelines*, Section 4.1:

# **4.1.7 Continuity of Precast Beams**

Use only post-tensioning to splice beam segments within simple spans and/or to establish continuity between adjacent spans.

# **COMMENTARY**

Research of structures made continuous for live load by the use of methods other than post tensioning indicates that the concept has not been developed and tested to the extent that the connection can be considered structurally reliable. Current FDOT details do not assume continuity in the design of the structure.

### **BACKGROUND**

Cost savings in the design of multi span bridges may be achieved by making beams within each span behave continuous under the application of live load and composite dead load. This continuity is achieved by providing a connection at the regions of negative moment such that bending is transferred

Structures Design Bulletin C11-09 Continuity of Precast Concrete Beams Page 2 of 2

to adjacent spans with a reduction in required capacity for the beams potentially translating into reduced cost. Generally, this continuity is achieved by the use of continuous post-tensioning.

Across the country there are numerous details being used to achieve continuity without post tensioning. These connections are subject to a variety of conditions which tend to compromise the actual capacity compared to the theoretical capacity which can lead to overstressing of the beams and poor deck performance. Load testing of structures where these details have been used show a wide range of effectiveness with actual continuity ranging between 0% and 90%.

The Department is currently evaluating the use of alternate methods and details to obtain continuity and the associated potential for reduced superstructure construction and maintenance costs. If the use of this type of connection is ultimately determined to be viable, appropriate design and detailing criteria will be developed to ensure that the connection actually provides the theoretical continuity while not compromising structural performance or durability.

Until these evaluations are complete, only post-tensioning is allowed for splicing or establishing continuity between beams.

### **IMPLEMENTATION**

This requirement is effective immediately. Projects already underway should incorporate the new requirement when deemed appropriate and where minimal revision to the existing design is necessary.

### **CONTACT**

Charles Boyd, P.E.
Assistant State Structures Design Engineer
Florida Department of Transportation
605 Suwannee Street, MS 33
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0450
Phone (850)-414-4275
charles.boyd@dot.state.fl.us

RVR/CEB/TMW