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**Abstract**

This study investigated the relationships between a number of identifiable components that make up emergency evacuation, and how they can be integrated into a framework for modeling hurricane events. A thorough review of the literature revealed that the available computer simulation models on the market are proprietary, they are designed to simulate current conditions on existing roads and they have no capabilities to estimate network clearance time. A framework for emergency evacuation was developed and tested on a selected site in the Central Florida region (Ormond Beach, Florida). The results of runs with the INTEGRATION software using three different loading curves confirm findings of previous research. As expected, the best loading curve is the linear case. The standard loading curve has produced clearance times that fall between the linear and steep cases showing an overall network average clearance time of 17.1 hours. The standard loading curve has produced clearance times that extend beyond the 12 hour evacuation window. A comparison between the INTEGRATION and ARENA simulation model outputs clearly showed that there are statistically significant differences in the results. It is hypothesized that because of the logic that ARENA uses in moving entities in the network, the procedure used in coding origin-destination information, and the lack of interaction among different entities (car-following and lane change logics), the results obtained from this model were vastly different from the INTEGRATION model. It is safe to say that the INTEGRATION model has produced reasonable results and the developed framework can be expanded and applied to bigger urban areas.
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Executive Summary

This study investigated the relationships between a number of identifiable components that make up emergency evacuation, and how they can be integrated into a framework for modeling hurricane events. A thorough review the literature was conducted and it was found that the available computer simulation models on the market are proprietary and the developers are reluctant to release these models for us to investigate further. It was also found that other micro-simulation traffic models are designed to simulate current conditions on existing roads and they have no capabilities to estimate network clearance time. Furthermore, all emergency evacuation models adopt a loading curve for the transportation network that follows an “S” curve. Published studies on human behavior lacked the data to support this assumption about the “S” curve and it appears that there is a need to carryout further investigations on this subject. A framework for emergency evacuation was developed and tested on a selected site in the Central Florida region (Ormond Beach, Florida).

The results of runs with the INTEGRATION software using three different loading curves confirm findings of previous research. As expected, the best loading curve is the linear case. For this case the overall network average clearance time was 14.6 hours. The steep loading curve resulted in overall network average clearance time of 18.2 hours. The standard loading curve has produced clearance times that fell between the linear and steep cases showing an overall network average clearance time of 17.1 hours. The standard loading curve has produced clearance times that extend beyond the 12 hour evacuation window.

Being the traffic simulation software, INTEGRATION output was used as the baseline for assessing the ARENA model. A comparison between the two model outputs clearly showed that there are statistically significant differences for this network. It is hypothesized that because of the logic that ARENA uses in moving entities through the network (constant speed belt
movements), the procedure used in coding origin-destination information, the simplified assumptions about turning movements and signal timings, and the lack of interaction among different entities (car-following and lane change logics), the results obtained from this model were vastly different from the INTEGRATION model. It is safe to say that the INTEGRATION model has produced reasonable results and the developed framework can be expanded and applied to bigger urban areas.
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Introduction

Emergency situations can require a regional ability to move large numbers of people in a safe and timely manner. A region’s evacuation strategy encompasses a variety of areas and needs, many of these interdependent and interrelated.

**Objective and Tasks:**

The main objective of this project is to examine the policies, procedures, and components that affect and are affected by emergency evacuation events. It will further look at the relationships between a number of identifiable components that make up emergency evacuation, and how they can be integrated into a framework for modeling emergency evacuation. The proposed tasks for this study include:

1. Thoroughly review the literature for past studies.
2. Identify available transportation evacuation models that are in the public domain and proprietary.
3. Formulate the framework for emergency evacuation.
4. Test the proposed framework on a selected site in the Central Florida region.

**Literature Review**

Emergency evacuation encompasses a wide variety of subjects and specific situations. Within the body of literature are discussions ranging from individual evacuation from ships and buildings to regional evacuations. It is this latter subject area that is of interest in this project.

**Categories**

Regional evacuation literature covers numerous aspects of the evacuation process, from high level management and governmental resource allocation, to individual reactions to hazards or threats. For the purposes of this review, these areas have been subdivided into four separate categories: **Modeling, Behavior, Operations, and Hazards.**
Modeling

Modeling encompasses an examination of the simulation aspects of the evacuation problem. It addresses the use of various traffic simulation packages, including PARAMICS, as well as other packages developed specifically for evacuation, such as OREMS. (1) A simulation model was developed to predict with a certain degree of probability the optimal escape routes from the coastal areas of the Rio Grand Valley using Witness 7.0. (2)

One paper presents a software architecture whose main goal is the support of coordination operations during the management of an emergency and in particular during a population evacuation from a risky urban zone. The paper also describes the multimodal system and all the actors involved. ["multimodal" does NOT refer to transportation modes]. (3)

In another study, researchers investigated the effectiveness of simultaneous and staged evacuation strategies in different road network structures using agent-based simulation. With the aid of agent-based modeling, this study was able to model traffic flow at the level of individual vehicles and to present the collective behaviors resulting from the interactions of individual vehicles during an evacuation in a natural way. The study used the default rules in a simulation software package called PARAMICS for trip generation, destination choice, and route choice in the simulations. (4)

Another article documents the development of a computer simulation model for rural network evacuation under natural disasters. The model testing and validation are also discussed. Evacuation time is more sensitive to changes in the S-curve slope factor than the loading time factor. (5) A model has also been developed specifically to target evacuation planning and operation around nuclear power plants (TEDSS 3.0). (6,7)

Urbanizing development into high fire risk areas at this interface is at the highest risk of possible evacuation. It is important that modeling techniques be explored to estimate this risk in such areas by estimating the time it would take to clear a residential neighborhood if an evacuation is needed. To test the efficacy of a bulk lane demand model, this report presents a special transportation simulation model that was developed for this neighborhood to test evacuation scenarios. The simulation model was developed using a special purpose micro-scale traffic simulation system, called PARAMICS. (8)
The major focus of another research project was to model transit issues associated with hurricane evacuation planning. However, the specific objectives were to use a traffic operations based hurricane evacuation model to determine the expected time to evacuate the entire population; identify the locations of the potential traffic bottlenecks; and assess traffic operation strategies aimed at mitigated the resulting congestion. (9)

The Hurricane/Flood Transportation Evacuation Study for the City of Virginia Beach addresses the most important question in a comprehensive emergency plan as to when an evacuation order should be issued in case of imminent disaster. Using a computer simulation model called MASSVAC and based on different levels of hurricane/flood intensities and various threat scenarios, evacuation routes were identified, Shelter assignments were made, traffic bottlenecks were spotted and traffic management strategies were introduced to solve them, and the travel times for evacuating threatened populations to safe areas were calculated. (9)

One study presents a conceptual framework for an integrated and modular decision support system for hurricane emergency management. In this framework, the integration technology is considered to be a distributed computer and communications network which integrates all the other modules (GIS, emergency planning, prediction and tracking, emergency management and control, damage assessment before and after the hurricane, communication) under a particular coordination. Software called REMS (Regional Evacuation Modeling System developed at University of Florida) is used to estimate the evacuation time and the traffic flow on a given transportation road network by simulation and several network optimization models incorporated into the software. REMS is used to find the optimal allocation of evacuees to shelters based on minimum evacuation time and through the least congested roads. Furthermore, input data regarding traffic conditions could be fed into the software to analyze the effects of these conditions on the bottleneck roads and evacuation times. (10)

Another study presented an integrated system design for evacuation similar to the study cited above. In their case, a traffic flow simulator (a multi agent system in which agents represent road lanes containing groups of cars, observers and actors who address vehicles towards specific roads) is used to integrate several human behavioral parameters and fortuitous factors such as different type of accidents and to simulate evacuation scenarios. (11)
One study presented an integrated information management system called IMASH (Information Management System for Hurricane disasters) which can provide data pertaining to emergency planning and response for hurricane disasters. It is based on an object-oriented database containing geographical environment and related data and it employs a decision support system utilizing the internet and the World Wide Web. (12)

An investigation reported on challenges faced in simulation modeling and geographical information systems in a spatial decision support system (SDSS) which is viewed as a linkage between GIS and modeling approaches such as OR/MS. The challenges mentioned include the realistic modeling of evacuee behavior, decision-making process that takes place during an evacuation, logistical issues, the generation of realistic scenarios, the validation of assumptions made in SDSS design and the validation of the SDSS. (13)

Another study explored a prototype spatial decision support system, particularly CEMPS (Configurable Emergency Management and Planning System) under development to use in developing contingency plans for evacuations. The system is not intended for real-time use but rather as an aid for emergency planning which integrates a geographical information system with a specially written object-oriented micro-simulator. They basically discuss several properties of CEMPS and how it runs together with the difficulties such as slow run times. (14)

This paper presented an optimization model called the critical cluster model which can be used to identify small areas or neighborhoods which have high ratios of population to exit capacity, i.e. risky evacuation regions where two simple measures are used as surrogate measures of evacuation risk. The first one is the clearing time for a particular neighborhood. It is calculated as the ratio of the population of the neighborhood (estimated as the product of the number of houses times the number of people per household) divided by the people per vehicle during a sudden evacuation of the neighborhood over the capacity of outbound lanes of the neighborhood in vehicles per minute. In this case, the evacuation risk is the ratio of bulk demand per lane in units of vehicles per lane that must evacuate. They formulate the problem as a nonlinear constrained optimization problem and then gave an equivalent special mixed integer program by putting a bound on the original nonlinear objective function and putting it into the constraints which can be solved optimally or using a heuristic algorithm. The model basically takes a given node of interest in a small region and tries to find the smallest critical neighborhood of the connected nodes and arcs about that node (i.e. the objective function) with the evacuation risk bigger than a
specified bound. Once the critical neighborhoods with high evacuation risk are identified, these can be mapped using a risk contour map or by classifying each node according to its relative risk values and mapping nodes and arcs using coloring according to risk values. The paper also discussed the integration of the critical cluster model with the GIS and a small application is presented. (15)

This study documented a network flow model for identifying optimal lane-based evacuation routing which can be used in neighborhoods and rural areas. They formulated the problem as a mixed-integer program as an extension of the minimum-cost flow problem and solved a sample network routing problem via CPLEX 7.0. They consider minimizing the total travel distance with two other objectives of reducing (or eliminating) merging by imposing an upper bound on the number of merges and intersection crossing. Several routing plans were generated under various demand levels and different traffic volume to capacity ratios. They used microscopic traffic simulation (PARAMICS) to reinforce the results obtained through the model by comparing the routing plans generated by the model to the no routing plan (i.e. random destination choice) for a sample network using the mean network clearing time as the performance measure. They found out that removing crossing conflicts are beneficial regardless of the level of merging. They also observed that minimizing merging-conflicts has approximately the same network clearance time as a shortest distance or balanced plan when an efficient intersection control via timed signaling is introduced at merging traffic. (16)

Behavior

Behavior addresses the human reaction to the emergency evacuation situation. Papers covered a number of factors, including gender, age, type of emergency, and model considerations. (17) A review of the literature on how the elderly respond in disasters indicates there are patterns of vulnerability in the social, psychological, and physiological dimensions. Differential vulnerability between elderly and non-elderly disaster victims is summarized and discussed. Effective disaster policies and programs will specifically target the elderly population. (18)

Another paper undertakes a series of bivariate and multivariate analyses to examine the relationship between evacuation and gendered variations in socioeconomic states, care-giving roles in the household, evacuation incentives, exposure to risks, and perception of risk. Results indicate that women are more likely to evacuate than men. (19)
The authors of another paper examined the experiences of households that included a member with a physical disability and contrasted them with those of other households. It was found that households with physically disabled members were less likely to evacuate than others. (20) A survey of coastal South Carolina residents addressed the role of household decisions in amplifying demand on transportation infrastructure. Three major findings reveal that traffic problems are becoming a major consideration in whether people evacuate. How they evacuate is emerging as an issue for evacuation traffic planning. First, about 25% took two or more cars. Nearly 50% left in one 6-hr period. Second, while the majority of respondents carried road maps, only 51% of those used them to determine their route.

Operations

Work in this area examines the various policies and procedures that can be implemented to speed the evacuation process. One such example is the conversion of highways to one-way facilities to in effect double the traffic capacity. This policy is not without concerns, however; traffic management is a key issue, both in implementation and enforcement. (21)

It is now apparent that coastal areas are not all suitably equipped to deal with the threat of severe hurricanes. As a result, a significant percentage of the coastal population is forced to evacuate under the threat of major hurricanes. One method suggested to address the need to evacuate large numbers of people in a rapid and efficient manner is to contra-flow segments of interstate freeway. This paper discusses the advantages and disadvantages of contra-flow operation. (22)

As a result of the SWIDRCC-Sandia partnership, a policy portfolio for the SWIDRCC has been developed and a significant technology development activity has been structured using the virtual issue process (VIP). VIP is a strategic planning tool developed by Sandia to provide concise information from a community or group that can be used to resolve complex issues and problems. The disaster management system that was defined as the result of the VIP will integrate sensor technologies, modeling and simulation tools, telemetry systems, and computing platforms, in addition to non-automated elements including increased community education and involvement. The prototype (infrastructure modeling tool), which was developed using AweSim simulation software, presents three animations that convey the tool's potential to display disaster effects and responses with various levels of detail. (23)
So far, modeling of human behavior is one of the weakest components of the flood management policy analysis. Better understanding of the human behavior in response to a disaster and our ability to capture it in a model is a valuable addition to flood management policy analysis. This paper presents a system dynamics model that captures dynamic interaction among different components of the flood management system. The model provides a decision support tool for evaluation of both economic impacts and evacuation preparedness for various policy alternatives for flood management in an integrated way. Social factors such as age, income group, etc, along with external factors like rain and inundation conditions give rise to danger recognition rate. Then based on evacuation orders and behavior of others, evacuation decision is made. The simulation model is implemented in the STELLA environment. (24)

To better deal with future evacuations, emergency management and transportation officials in hurricane threatened states are seeking to apply traffic and weather sensing technologies to gain access to more timely and accurate information on the status of evacuation routes. (25)

One paper presents a methodology to analyze and evaluate the impacts of different factors affecting large-scale emergency evacuations from a traffic operations perspective. The methodology revolves around a microcomputer-based system developed at Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) to simulate traffic flow during emergency vehicular evacuations that are the results of natural disasters or man-made catastrophes. This discussion concentrates mainly on how the features and capabilities of the ORNL system can be used to model those alternatives and, where available, some quantitative results are also presented. (26)

One article describes the plan to evacuate the city of New Orleans when under threat of a major hurricane. It also highlights the problems that are particular to evacuating the city and the creative ways that disaster and transportation planning agencies are working to solve them. This feature also presents, from a transportation perspective, several other critical issues that affect the movement of people during evacuations. (27) Along the same line, another study was conducted to review the current emergency evacuation procedures in Alabama and to investigate the potential use of GIS and ITS technologies along the Gulf Coast of Alabama. (28)

Public warning practices are decentralized across different governments and the private sector. Uneven preparedness to issue warnings exists across local communities; hence, people are unevenly protected from the surprise onset of natural disasters. Without changes in this situation,
inequalities will grow larger, and the gains made in saving lives over the past decades may well be reversed. (29)

Another report described a simplified vulnerability assessment model applied to the state of Rhode Island for use in statewide disaster mitigation planning. A practical scoring approach was developed to quantify vulnerability for multiple hazards and exposures in different regions of the state. (30)

Storm-damage assessment, for both repairs and assessing vulnerability, involves a subjective process of working with local communities to identify their highest priorities and support combined with objective inventory of the transportation system and identification of hazards and repair options. Through two grants awarded to FDOT’s ITS Office (one by the Federal Highway Administration, and one by FDEM grant), a web-based tool has been designed by PBS&J for entering, editing, and tracking statewide hurricane evacuation traffic data. This tool, known as HEADSUP, allows the user to run alternate evacuation scenarios based on user-specified behavioral and socioeconomic information. The web-based tool includes a Graphical User Interface (GUI) that allows users with permitted access, the capability of running storm scenarios utilizing county evacuation plans to project evacuee travel movements across county lines and along designated evacuation routes. In addition, the tool allows the user to see expected evacuation congestion levels to assist in determining evacuation times. (31)

Hazards

There are a number of hazards that are of concern to the transportation community; these hazards can require the massive relocation of people to safe areas. Each type has unique concerns that can affect the transportation network in different ways. (32)

One element of this research, planning, and outreach initiative is a natural hazard mitigation and emergency preparedness planning process that combines technical expertise with local stakeholder values and perceptions. This paper summarizes and examines one component of the process, the vulnerability assessment methodology, used in the pilot port and harbor community of Yaquina River, Oregon. (33)

Far less attention has been spent on the warning communications process, behavioral response, and epidemiology of tornadoes. The translation of improved technologies into better tornado
forecasting and warning services must also involve the incorporation of physical and social science. (34) Because locally generated tsunamis provide such little time for warning, communities need to be informed of the exact areas that could be inundated and the precise routes for self-evacuation. (35)

**Findings of Literature Review**

The thorough review of past studies and available simulation and optimization models resulted in the following observations:

1. All emergency evacuation models adopt a loading curve for the transportation network that follows an “S” curve. Published studies on human behavior lacked the data to support this assumption about the “S” curve and it appears that there is a need to carryout further investigations on this subject.

2. The available computer simulation models like HEADSUP, IDYNAV, and OREMS are proprietary. The developers are reluctant to release these models for us to investigate further. Other traffic models like PARAMICS and WATSIM are designed to simulate current conditions on existing roads and they have no capabilities to estimate network clearance time. We may investigate the option of developing our own software to meet our needs.

3. Top level optimization algorithms are limited in scope and there is room for improvement to these models. A scoring system may be used to identify areas with highest priority for evacuation. It also proposed that a GIS system supplemented by contour line mapping be used to identify traffic bottlenecks downstream of an evacuation route. By addressing these problem points first a smoother evacuation process may be developed that will reduce network clearance time.

**Evacuation Procedures**

In order to reduce the threat levels of at-risk populations in the event of a hazardous situation, regional and local agencies implement procedures developed based upon the various components identified in the previous section. These procedures, however, require that personnel be able to evaluate particular areas within a region for risk level.
The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), through its Chemical Stockpile Emergency Preparedness Program (CSEPP), has developed a system of evacuation based on Emergency Planning Zones (EPZ). This program was established in response to a congressional mandate to destroy existing stockpiles of chemical weapons while limiting potential risk to local populations and environment. [4] According to FEMA\(^1\), most CSEPP communities have established two planning zones for emergency planning purposes. The Immediate Response Zone (IRZ) is

> the area closest to the site where chemical munitions and agents are being stored until they can be destroyed. This zone, usually within a six to nine mile radius of the stockpile, would require the quickest warning and response. People living or working in this zone may need to take protective measures quickly.

The Protective Action Zone (PAZ) is

> the area immediately beyond the Immediate Response Zone. This zone extends to a radius of six to 31 miles from the stockpile. Protective measures may be necessary in this zone, but there would be more time for warning and response.

A third zone, the precautionary zone (PZ), is the outermost EPZ and extends from the PAZ outer boundary to a distance where the risk of adverse impacts to humans is negligible.

Within the transportation management realm, planners subdivide study regions (typically non-rural) into traffic analysis zones (TAZ). A number of guidelines are used to establish TAZ boundaries. [2]

1. Socioeconomic characteristics should be homogeneous.
2. Intrazonal trips should be minimized.
3. Physical, political, and historical boundaries should be utilized where possible.
4. Zones should not be created within other zones.

5. The zone system should generate and attract approximately equal trips, households, population, or area.

6. Zones should use census tract boundaries where possible.

Within the state of Florida, the Florida Geographic Data Library (FGDL)\(^2\), maintained by the University of Florida, serves as a clearinghouse for satellite imagery, aerial photographs and spatial (GIS) data. According to the website, “The Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) has been the lead agency contributing to the development of the FGDL, but the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) has also contributed a great deal to the FGDL.”

The metadata definition for a TAZ is “a statistical entity delineated by state and/or local transportation officials for tabulating traffic-related census data.” According to the US Census definition, this data focuses particularly on journey-to-work and place-of-work statistics. In addition, a TAZ usually consists of one or more census blocks, groups, or census tracts.\(^3\)

Whereas EPZ boundaries are determined based upon risk analyses that take into consideration the specific types of agents and munitions stored, as well as local weather and geographic conditions, TAZ boundaries consider none of these, and to a certain extent are the antithesis of the EPZ. However, most if not all urbanized areas are divided into TAZs, while only regions subject to known chemical or nuclear hazards are required to have defined EPZs.

Given the variability of many evacuation events, the use of EPZ is too limited to provide guidance in evaluating regional evacuation needs. It is here that the use of TAZs could prove beneficial, and will be one subject of this project.

It should be noted that each of these optimization techniques described earlier utilizes the concept of the EPZ when developing evacuation strategies.

---

\(^2\) [http://www.fgdl.org](http://www.fgdl.org)

\(^3\) [http://www.census.gov](http://www.census.gov)
Conceptual Framework of Emergency Evacuation

Evacuation of a region involves a number of inputs, controls, and assumptions. This is particularly important when developing a computer simulation model; these external factors affect the initial and operating conditions of the simulation, directly affecting the model outputs.

Based upon the literature review, the four general areas can serve as “modules” in a conceptual framework for evacuation. These modules represent the type of hazard, evacuee behavior, agency decisions, and transportation modeling.

Each of these modules interacts in some way with the other modules. For example, hazards such as wild fires can partially or totally close roadways. People may perceive greater or lesser threats than truly exist, and act accordingly. Network congestion can cause agency personnel to alter signal timings to relieve bottlenecks. Figure 1 illustrates the identified modules and their proposed interrelationships.

![Diagram](conceptual-framework.png)

**Figure 1 – Conceptual Framework for Evacuation**

**Hazard Prediction Module (HPM)**

The HPM serves to model the inputs and effects of the various types of hazards. These inputs include hazard warning time, expected duration, area of effect, and risk levels. This module requires further research for automated implementation.
**Human Behavior Module (HBM)**

The HBM represents the various behavioral aspects of evacuees. Input parameters include age, gender and economic demographics, expected evacuation compliance, and assumed loading curve parameters. Work is ongoing in identifying and developing human behavior models as regards emergency evacuation.

**Emergency Management Decision Support Module (EMDSM)**

The EMDSM takes into account agency decisions such as resource allocation and road closures. Also part of this is signal timing plan implementation, phasing changes, and field control of signals. This module represents agency-level discussions and decision making; therefore automation is likely not functional, nor suggested.

**Evacuation Traffic Simulation Module (ETSM)**

The ETSM represents the traffic simulation program, and includes the model parameters inherent in the application. It also includes the physical data from the traffic network, such as intersection coordinates, link lengths, lanes, speed limits, etc. Program selection for this module is subject to local needs and program capabilities.

**Traffic Modeling and Simulation**

Numerous traffic modeling packages were available for use in this project. Proprietary software was eliminated from consideration, and only commercially available software was evaluated. Flexibility, output data options, ease of implementation, and applicability were primary factors in software selection. Two candidates were seriously considered for this research, INTEGRATION and DYNASMART. A lead member of the research team has had experience with the INTEGRATION model at the inception of this project. Furthermore, at the time this project commenced DYNASMART was not officially released for public use. It was decided to use INTEGRATION as the research tool for this project.

Microscopic traffic simulation models have been on the market for over thirty years. They have been proven to be good tools for evaluating network performance under different operation and control settings. They require large amount of data inputs and because of its microscopic nature
then tend to require long running times to accurately simulate existing conditions. To explore other simulation alternatives we, the research team have decided to use another software, ARENA, which uses discrete event simulation, as an alternative to the INTEGRATION model. It is hoped that ARENA provides an alternative tool that is easy to code, runs faster, and produce credible and reliable results.

**INTEGRATION**

INTEGRATION is a microscopic traffic simulation model that first attempted to provide a single model that could consider both traffic assignment and simulation. This microscopic approach permits the analysis of many dynamic traffic phenomena, such as shockwaves, gap acceptance, and weaving. Over time, the model has integrated traditional Intelligent Transportation Systems considerations such as ATMS and ATIS, the coupled modeling of traffic and vehicle emissions, and more recently the combined modeling of traffic and communications subsystems. Other feature extensions include modeling toll plazas, weaving sections, and high occupancy vehicles (HOV). The model also incorporates vehicle pre-trip and en-route decision making capabilities.

INTEGRATION can consider virtually continuous time varying traffic demands, routings, link capacities and traffic controls. All these attributes can be changed on a virtually continuous basis over time, rather than treating them as a sequence of steady-state conditions.

While many of these features were available in competing packages, the ability to model ITS elements proved to be one of the primary deciding factors in selection. With the expansion of ITS throughout the country, this capability provides added flexibility, particularly when considering emergency evacuation traffic conditions.

**ARENA (Rockwell Software)**

Discrete simulation packages have not been applied extensively to traffic modeling. This project provided an ideal opportunity to evaluate and compare discrete modeling directly with traditional traffic modeling packages.

Arena is a simulation system that provides an interactive environment for building, animating, verifying and analyzing discrete event simulation models. Arena provides a platform to:
• Model processes to define, document and communicate
• Simulate the future performance of a system to understand complex relationships and identify opportunities of improvement
• Visualize operations with dynamic animation graphics
• Analyze how the system will perform in its “as-is” configuration and under a myriad of possible “to-be” alternatives.

Building Models

Arena is composed of discrete event simulation libraries that contain the basic simulation building blocks or modules; such as create, process, queue and resources, and more advanced ones such as resource schedules and entity holds. Users can build simulation models to fit their specific business needs by using these modules. Arena allows users to build models by using the dynamics of building a process map. Arena uses a graphical, drag and drop interface. Users can select a specific module from one of the module libraries and drop it in the model window, as illustrated in Figure 2.

![Figure 2 – ARENA Screenshot](image)

By double clicking on the selected modules users can input the information that defines the process that is being modeled. Once a model of the process is built, users can run the simulation for a specified amount of time and collect statistics on performance measures of interest.
Animating and Verifying Models

Arena allows for model animation to provide users with a graphical tool to visualize their processes. The animation functionality also provides users with a valuable means to verify that the model being built is as the user intended to model it.

Analyzing Models

Arena also provides users with the tools to analyze the processes modeled. After each model run, Arena outputs a series of reports that reflect the behavior of the system modeled over the specified time frame. Some common outputs include resource utilizations, process cycle times and entity statistics. Users can then perform “what if” analysis by changing parameters within the model and analyzing their impact on the results. Users can also make use of additional tools within the Arena tool suite to statically analyze the results obtained and determine, for example, if the “as is” system is in fact statistically different than the “what if” system.

Research Methodology

Two elements are necessary in order to accurately model a transportation network. First, detailed and accurate data regarding the transportation network, demographics, etc., must be collected and evaluated. Second, this data must be processed and formatted for consistency and ease of implementation.

Data Collection

Data for a region comes from a variety of sources, including federal, state, and local government agencies. Data can also come from private sources, such as tourism boards, and field measurements.

Roadway

Roadway data includes roadway segment lengths, number of lanes, widths, etc. Signal timing data, ITS equipment, and traffic volume data are also necessary.
Census

In addition to roadway data, it is necessary to know where traffic originates, its destinations, and the timing of these origin-destination trips. This information is part of available TAZ data. In addition, US Census data has a number of data subsets that can be used to create departure rates for tracts, sections, and regions.

Data Processing/Fusion

Once the necessary data has been collected, it must be examined for consistency and errors. Data points are collated and confirmed, either through correlation of the various sources or based on field observations and measurements. Some programs may require abstractions of these data, necessitating the interpolation of existing data to generate the new data sets. Finally, it is necessary to convert this data into program-compatible numbers and formats.

Project Case Study

This project will examine the use of two separate tools and techniques for evaluating and improving evacuation planning for regions and situations. The models will focus on the City of Ormond Beach, a major coastal metropolitan area that is subject to the threat of hurricanes. In addition, the region is host to a major sporting event, the Daytona 500, which attracts hundreds of thousands of visitors, and is a potential target for terrorist activity. Figure 3 shows the study area boundaries.
Figure 3 – Daytona Beach Area of Study

Study Outline

One piece of this project will evaluate microscopic versus discrete simulation for use in evacuation modeling. A number of factors that will be considered include time to build the network and calibrate the models, the ability to quickly evaluate various traffic and emergency scenarios, and the usefulness of the models in identifying the congestion and routing problems that occur during an evacuation event.

A second piece of this project will examine the possibility of using the discrete event modeling software to develop and optimize evacuation priority strategies and use the output as input to the microscopic simulation for testing and validation.

Volusia County has established guidelines specifically for hurricane evacuation, though these requirements could be interpreted as necessary for other emergency events. The County has implemented evacuation procedures based on zip code. According to the Volusia County Emergency Management website⁴, residents must evacuate for any category hurricane if:

---

⁴ http://www.volusia.org/storm/map.htm
- Live in a mobile or manufactured home (any zip code)
- Live in a low-lying or flood-prone area.
- Live in one of the following zip codes; 32110, 32136, 32176, 32118, 32169 and peninsula residents in 32127.

In addition, residents may need to evacuate, depending on the category hurricane, if they reside in the following zip code areas: 32174, 32114, 32117, 32119, 32129, 32132, 32141, 32141, 32759, 32168 and non-peninsula residents in 32127. Figure 4 shows Volusia zip codes.

![Volusia County Zip Code Map](image)

Figure 4 – Volusia County Zip Code Map

The County also provides storm surge maps for the nine municipalities within the area. Figure 5 illustrates the identified surge areas for the area around Ponce Inlet.
Consideration must also be given to various procedures that the County will implement prior to hurricane landfall. Of particular interest are actions taken regarding bridges, which will affect route choices. According to the County:

- All bridges will be locked down when winds reach a sustained speed of 39 miles per hour or and evacuation is ordered.
- Before a complete lock-down, drawbridges will be raised on the hour for 15 minutes when boat traffic is present.

**Model Development**

One aspect of computer simulation is accurately replicating and representing the field elements of the network within the computer model. Different models require a mix of data, some identical, some very specific in nature and format.

**INTEGRATION**

INTEGRATION requires a number of specific sets of data in order to accurately model a transportation network. Following are the minimum sets necessary for the program:

- Link – includes lengths, number of lanes, speed limits, turning movement restrictions
- Node – intersection and roadway geometry change coordinates
• Signal – timing and phasing
• Origin/Destination – vehicle origin, destination, and departure rates

**Link/Node**

The INTEGRATION network model is based on ArcInfo GIS data available through Volusia County. From the GIS data, link and node information was derived and translated into a program-compatible format. Figure 6 illustrates the INTEGRATION transportation network. Figure 7 illustrates the I-95/US-1 interchange.

![INTEGRATION Screen Capture](image)

**Figure 6 – INTEGRATION Screen Capture**
Signal timing data was acquired through the Volusia County Traffic Engineering department. These timings were translated into a program-compatible format.

Origin/Destination

INTEGRATION models traffic data through the use of origin/destination matrices. These matrices consist of vehicle origins, destinations, rates in vehicle per hour, and start and end times for these rates. This data is read in and processed by the program, and vehicles are randomly generated accordingly.

Origin and destination nodes were determined through manual evaluation of the region’s transportation network and TAZ data. Due to software requirements and limitations, not all streets can be included in the model. This limitation makes the traffic network development, and consequently origin/destination determination somewhat subjective. Figure 8 illustrates the TAZ and origin/destination configuration of the study area.
Each TAZ was identified and evaluated, and one or more corresponding origin/destination nodes were placed to represent the TAZ. These nodes typically represent neighborhoods or subdivision, though some represent specific traffic generators/attractors such as tourist destinations, schools, or shopping centers. In addition, a node may represent a homogeneous origin, such as a mobile home park, that is of particular interest when determining evacuation priorities.

![Figure 8 – Ormond Beach TAZ/OD Layout](image)

**ARENA**

ARENA offers built-in templates of graphical simulation modeling and analysis modules which are combined to build models of quite high complexity and detail for various types of systems.
The simulation model can be constructed as if the flowchart of the system is being drawn, by filling in custom dialogs and/or built-in spreadsheet data feature. Despite these advantages and ease of use, ARENA does not have built-in templates or features that can immediately be used to simulate traffic at this range. Therefore, ARENA requires extensive modeling and input data entries. Conveyors, which are a part of Advanced Transfer Panel of ARENA, are intended for modeling the movement of entities from one location to another, usually in a factory simulation where conveyors and other automated guided vehicles are used in the production line. Same panel is used to model the traffic in this project. Figure 9 shows some basic terminology and attributes for accumulating conveyors:

![Figure 9 – Accumulating Conveyor Terminology](image

This module defines the accumulating conveyor elements that move product through the system. Accumulating conveyors allow products to move on the conveyor even if products at the end of the conveyor are stopped. They are typically used as buffers to level out line fluctuations caused by machine stoppages, failures, differences in run speeds, etc. Vehicles enter the conveyor from the upstream module and travel along the conveyor’s length.
Data required to model the system are the following:

- Road Network Map – background image of the road network
- Link (segment) – includes the lengths, number of lanes, speed limits, turning movement restrictions, origin and destination nodes (stations)
- Node (station) – intersection, origin, destination and turning points, not determined based on coordinates, but rather relative to the background image of the road network
- Signal – timing and phasing
- Origin/Destination and Turning Movement Modules – departure rates at the origins, turning percentages at each node including from and to nodes.

**Road Network Map**

Network model obtained through the ArcInfo GIS data available through Volusia County is imported from AutoCAD into ARENA as a background image and used as a guide in putting the nodes and links.

**Link/Node**

Each link and node information is coded individually and manually based on the data acquired through the ArcInfo GIS data available through Volusia County as is the case for the INTEGRATION model. Automation for data entry for the links and the nodes is not possible when conveyors are used; therefore, dialogs and spreadsheet data features of the software are used to fill in the necessary data. Figure 10 shows the roadway network in ARENA. Figure 11 illustrates the I-95/US-1 interchange.
Signal timings and phases are coded into the program manually.

**Origin/Destination and Turning Movement Modules**

Extensive data input are required at each node (origin, intersection, destination nodes) for the turning movements and signals. Turning percentages were obtained from the output of the INTEGRATION model and entered into ARENA model manually at each intersection.
Departure rates are converted into scheduled releases at each origin, randomized based on non-homogenous Poisson process. ARENA’s Graphical Schedule Editor is used to enter the data.

All modeling elements are constructed to comply with the model built using INTEGRATION in order to provide a basis for fair comparison of the results.

**Simulation Assumptions**

Given the variability of regional factors, such as evacuation compliance levels, specific assumptions must be made in conducting simulation of an evacuation event. Following are event level assumptions used for the various simulation scenarios.

**Evacuation Volume**

Population and housing data was taken from the 1997 TAZ data provided by Volusia County. The base case assumption is one evacuating vehicle per household; therefore, total vehicles evacuating is equivalent to total domestic units (households) as indicated in the TAZ data.

**Departure Window**

All vehicles depart within a 12 hour window. It is postulated that the hurricane eye-fall through the region is confirmed around 18 hours in advance. Once an order is issued to evacuate the region, the residents will be confronted with few decisions to make. There is a period of four to six hours during which families accommodate their needs and prepare for evacuation. This assumption may not always be true however. In the 2004 hurricane season, hurricane Charlie was predicted to make landfall in Tampa and residence of that region started evacuating. In the last few hours Charlie changed directions and headed to Central Florida. In addition, it is assumed that each departure origin utilizes the same departure curve, with the same departure offset (i.e. all origins begin at the same time).

**Destinations**

It was assumed that all evacuees would depart to the west and north, away from an assumed threat from the southeast. Evacuation traffic destinations were as follows:
Table 1 – Evacuation Destinations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model ID</th>
<th>Roadway</th>
<th>Macro Destination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>I-95 NB</td>
<td>Interior states</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>LPGA</td>
<td>Deland/Orlando</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>SR 40</td>
<td>Ocala/Deland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>US 1</td>
<td>Inland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Old Dixie</td>
<td>Inland</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition, each origin had an assumed destination percentage, i.e. the percent of vehicles (evacuees) heading to each of the identified destinations.

**Background Traffic**

Initial model conditions assume no traffic on the network. This represents an early morning evacuation order, and establishes a best case condition for the network at the start of evacuation. Included in this category is a given level of concurrent northbound thru traffic along I-95. This traffic represents evacuees from regions south of the project study area heading to interior state destinations (Model ID 4).

**Signalization**

Field timings were evaluated and found that they are insufficient for heavily directional traffic conditions, specifically a westbound movement along the major arterials. Therefore, timings were modified with an emphasis on favoring this directional bias. North/south arterial signals were timed to favor movements tending to the west, or in the direction of the nearest evacuation route.

The model assumes fixed timing operations. Cycle lengths were set to 180 seconds, and signal offsets were calculated for SR 40 and LPGA based on posted speed limits. All other offsets were set to zero. In addition, no phasing changes were made where protected only operations existed. Permitted operations were eliminated where appropriate for predominant evacuation flow.

**Simulation Scenarios**

Three scenarios were conducted using the stated assumptions. These scenarios were based on three different loading curves. In addition, all scenarios assumed evacuation out of the region,
i.e. no shelters were used as destinations. Compliance level was set at worst case, i.e. 100%. The clearance time estimate is based on the time of arrival of the last vehicle at its destination.

Loading Curve

Using behavior literature as a guide, three loading curves were utilized. Two were based on the S-curve and assumed a normal distribution; the third utilized a linear rate of departure.

Table 2 – Loading Curve Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Curve</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mean (Hours)</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N1</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3</td>
<td>Linear</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 12 shows the loading curves utilized, along with their cumulative functions. Curve N1 represents the nominal S-curve behavior.
Analysis/Results

In order to evaluate simulation results, a number of measures of effectiveness (MOE) are used that represent real world measures of performance. The following MOEs have been identified that will be used to judge simulation outcomes for each simulation, and between simulation packages.

- Total Vehicle (TV) – total vehicles within simulation that complete trip
- Clearance Time (CTE) – total time required to clear the network of vehicles identified for evacuation
- Travel Time (TT) – total travel time for all vehicles, and average time per vehicle
- Travel Delay (TD) – total delay for all vehicles, and average delay per vehicle

INTEGRATION

INTEGRATION run times varied considerably based on loading curve assumptions and processor speed. For a 2.53 MHz Pentium 4, run times ranged from approximately 5 (L3) to 20 (N2) hours. The N1 runs required around 12 hours of clock time to complete a single run.

Loading Curve N1

Table 3 and Table 4 show the overall network statistics and statistics by destination respectively for loading curve N1 (nominal).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Run 1</th>
<th>Run 2</th>
<th>Run 3</th>
<th>Run 4</th>
<th>Run 5</th>
<th>Run 6</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TV</td>
<td>39914</td>
<td>39913</td>
<td>39912</td>
<td>39913</td>
<td>39911</td>
<td>39913</td>
<td>39912.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTE (hr)</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT (hr)</td>
<td>13744.0</td>
<td>15047.16</td>
<td>140114.5</td>
<td>150745.2</td>
<td>128804.7</td>
<td>158007.4</td>
<td>144264.6</td>
<td>10697.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TD (hr)</td>
<td>66326.7</td>
<td>70737.7</td>
<td>64974.2</td>
<td>69537.1</td>
<td>61818.5</td>
<td>72873.3</td>
<td>67711.2</td>
<td>4081.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT (hr/veh)</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TD (hr/veh)</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4 – N1 Statistics by Destination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Destination</th>
<th>I-95</th>
<th>LPGA</th>
<th>SR40</th>
<th>US1</th>
<th>Old Dixie</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measure</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TV</td>
<td>3935.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>17634.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>16477.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT (hr)</td>
<td>13346.5</td>
<td>1496.6</td>
<td>67734.7</td>
<td>2678.8</td>
<td>56995.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TV (hr)</td>
<td>4799.5</td>
<td>834.5</td>
<td>32229.2</td>
<td>1885.6</td>
<td>29554.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTE (hr)</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Loading Curve N2

Table 5 and Table 6 show the overall network statistics and statistics by destination respectively for loading curve N2 (steep normal).

Table 5 – N2 Overall Network Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Run 1</th>
<th>Run 2</th>
<th>Run 3</th>
<th>Run 4</th>
<th>Run 5</th>
<th>Run 6</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TV</td>
<td>40188</td>
<td>40187</td>
<td>40189</td>
<td>40185</td>
<td>40189</td>
<td>40186</td>
<td>40187.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTE (hr)</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>18.25</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT (hr)</td>
<td>186082.7</td>
<td>193017.8</td>
<td>187287.2</td>
<td>190384.4</td>
<td>192524.9</td>
<td>188332.8</td>
<td>189605.0</td>
<td>2834.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TD (hr)</td>
<td>94431.4</td>
<td>100717.5</td>
<td>95290.3</td>
<td>100873.9</td>
<td>100194.8</td>
<td>98854.6</td>
<td>98393.7</td>
<td>2840.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT (hr/veh)</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TD (hr/veh)</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6 – N2 Statistics by Destination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Destination</th>
<th>I-95</th>
<th>LPGA</th>
<th>SR40</th>
<th>US1</th>
<th>Old Dixie</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measure</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TV</td>
<td>4017.3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>17719.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>16546.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT (hr)</td>
<td>14396.8</td>
<td>387.9</td>
<td>90511.1</td>
<td>1183.9</td>
<td>81124.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TD (hr)</td>
<td>7076.6</td>
<td>372.8</td>
<td>43289.4</td>
<td>1459.3</td>
<td>46631.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTE (hr)</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>18.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Loading Curve L3

Table 7 and Table 8 show the overall network statistics and statistics by destination respectively for loading curve L3 (linear).

**Table 7 – L3 Overall Network Statistics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Run 1</th>
<th>Run 2</th>
<th>Run 3</th>
<th>Run 4</th>
<th>Run 5</th>
<th>Run 6</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TV</td>
<td>40164</td>
<td>40164</td>
<td>40164</td>
<td>40164</td>
<td>40164</td>
<td>40164</td>
<td>40164</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTE (hr)</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT (hr)</td>
<td>29365.4</td>
<td>29557.9</td>
<td>29396.9</td>
<td>29142.5</td>
<td>29210.5</td>
<td>30113.4</td>
<td>29464.4</td>
<td>349.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TD (hr)</td>
<td>18055.2</td>
<td>18138.5</td>
<td>18066.1</td>
<td>18021.4</td>
<td>17730.1</td>
<td>18651.4</td>
<td>18110.5</td>
<td>300.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT (hr/veh)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TD (hr/veh)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 8 – L3 Statistics by Destination**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Destination</th>
<th>I-95</th>
<th>LPGA</th>
<th>SR40</th>
<th>US1</th>
<th>Old Dixie</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measure</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TV</td>
<td>4020.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>17688.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>16524.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT (hr)</td>
<td>2078.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>16870.1</td>
<td>234.1</td>
<td>9491.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TD (hr)</td>
<td>533.9</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>12038.5</td>
<td>264.8</td>
<td>5292.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTE (hr)</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ARENA**

Simulations were run for loading curve N1 only. ARENA run times were approximately one hour on a 2.5 MHz Pentium 4. Table 9 and Table 10 show the overall network statistics and statistics by destination respectively for loading curve N1 (nominal).
### Table 9 – N1 Overall Network Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Run 1</th>
<th>Run 2</th>
<th>Run 3</th>
<th>Run 4</th>
<th>Run 5</th>
<th>Run 6</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TV</td>
<td>39245</td>
<td>39567</td>
<td>39492</td>
<td>39544</td>
<td>39544</td>
<td>39285</td>
<td>39449.5</td>
<td>145.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTE (hr)</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT (hr)</td>
<td>343083.3</td>
<td>326333.3</td>
<td>173583.3</td>
<td>325483.3</td>
<td>338233.3</td>
<td>268350.0</td>
<td>295844.4</td>
<td>65635.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TD (hr)</td>
<td>158185.0</td>
<td>153046.7</td>
<td>74801.7</td>
<td>149690.0</td>
<td>156900.0</td>
<td>116861.7</td>
<td>134914.2</td>
<td>33200.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT (hr/veh)</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 10 – N1 Statistics by Destination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Destination</th>
<th>I-95</th>
<th>LPGA</th>
<th>SR40</th>
<th>US1</th>
<th>Old Dixie</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measure</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TV</td>
<td>5748.3</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>14632.7</td>
<td>110.8</td>
<td>12503.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT (hr)</td>
<td>66854.4</td>
<td>13969.1</td>
<td>27683.1</td>
<td>4231.8</td>
<td>122640.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TD (hr)</td>
<td>33026.4</td>
<td>7500.8</td>
<td>19848.8</td>
<td>4363.5</td>
<td>44878.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTE (hr)</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>36.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Conclusions and Recommendations for Further Research

The results of INTEGRATION runs using three different loading curves confirm findings of previous research. As expected, the best loading curve is the linear L3 case. For this case the overall network average CTE was 14.6 hours and individual times for different destinations ranged between 12.8 and 14.6 hours. The steep loading curve N2 resulted in overall network average CTE of 18.2 hours and individual times for different destinations ranged between 14.2 and 18.2 hours. The standard loading curve N1 have produced CTE that fell between L3 and N2 showing an overall network average CTE of 17.1 hours and individual times for different destinations ranging between 16.0 and 16.9 hours. Each loading curve produced clearance times that extend beyond the 12 hour evacuation window.

Both INTEGRATION and ARENA demonstrated strengths and weaknesses, both in the areas of network development and implementation. Each package, while using similar input data, utilizes
different formats, and so this data is not interchangeable between the two without much manipulation.

**Output Comparison**

Being the traffic simulation software, INTEGRATION output was used as the baseline for expected results. Using the clearance time and total vehicle measures, ARENA was compared to INTEGRATION. Table 11 shows the 95% confidence intervals for each destination CTE, along with the overall network CTE. It also shows the results of the statistical null hypothesis that the difference of means is zero.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Destination</th>
<th>INTEGRATION</th>
<th>ARENA</th>
<th>Ho = 0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I-95</td>
<td>[15.7 - 18.1]</td>
<td>[29.9 – 43.0]</td>
<td>False</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPGA</td>
<td>[15.7 – 17.2]</td>
<td>[30.0 – 43.1]</td>
<td>False</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR40</td>
<td>[15.7 – 17.8]</td>
<td>[29.9 – 43.0]</td>
<td>False</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US1</td>
<td>[13.7 – 18.3]</td>
<td>[29.8 – 42.9]</td>
<td>False</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old Dixie</td>
<td>[15.4 – 17.0]</td>
<td>[29.2 – 42.4]</td>
<td>False</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>[16.2 – 18.1]</td>
<td>[30.0 – 43.1]</td>
<td>False</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By inspection of the confidence intervals, it is clear that the results of the two models are significantly different. Statistical testing of the null hypothesis supports this conclusion.

Due to the nature of the routing technique utilized in ARENA, there was no way to assign specific destinations for vehicles departing each origin. Because of this, there was no guarantee that destination volumes in ARENA would match those established in the INTEGRATION OD matrix. Table 12 illustrates the destination counts for both packages, along with the results of the statistical hypothesis that the difference of means is zero.
Table 12 – Destination Volume Confidence Intervals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Destination</th>
<th>INTEGRATION</th>
<th>ARENA</th>
<th>Ho = 0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I-95</td>
<td>3935 [3933.6 – 3937.0]</td>
<td>5748 [5702.9 – 5793.8]</td>
<td>False</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPGA</td>
<td>17635 [17633.6 – 17635.8]</td>
<td>14633 [14516.4 – 14748.9]</td>
<td>False</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR40</td>
<td>16478 [16476.0 – 16479.4]</td>
<td>12503 [12383.6 – 12622.8]</td>
<td>False</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US1</td>
<td>1082 [1082.0 – 1082.0]</td>
<td>5889 [5856.4 – 5921.9]</td>
<td>False</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old Dixie</td>
<td>783 [783.0 – 783.0]</td>
<td>675 [648.2 – 702.1]</td>
<td>False</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>39913 [39911.6 – 39913.8]</td>
<td>39449 [39285.1 – 39602.9]</td>
<td>False</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By inspection, and statistical analysis, it is clear that the routing mechanism implemented in ARENA does not replicate the one utilized by INTEGRATION.

**Observations**

Clearly there are differences between the results of ARENA and INTEGRATION models for this network. The most important reason for the difference lies in the technique used to implement origin-destination routing in ARENA. While INTEGRATION uses an OD matrix, fixing the number of vehicles for each destination, ARENA does not utilize an OD matrix. Consequently, ARENA required each intersection to have hard-coded turning movement percentages. These percentages were provided from the results of an INTEGRATION run. The reason for not implementing routing mechanism in ARENA to match that in INTEGRATION is the complexity of the logic and components using a conveyor system in a microscopic level system.

From this, it is very difficult to determine specific vehicle turning directions at each intersection. Therefore, only overall turning percentages are available, which results in longer travel times for vehicles following indirect paths to their destinations. This is also a likely reason for the differences in destination volumes as shown in Table 12.

Furthermore, the turning movement percentages used at each intersection are fixed throughout the ARENA simulation, whereas they vary over time in INTEGRATION. To implement varying percentages in ARENA would require extensive time and resources, and even then would only
replicate those derived from INTEGRATION. In addition, this information would require modification to the INTEGRATION program, or development of a unique third-party application.

Other factors contributing to the differences may include the following:

- Signal timings and phases have been simplified to fit into the ARENA modeling structure.
- Turning lanes were not implemented in the ARENA model due to high modeling complexity. This affected the signal timing implementation discussed above.
- Since conveyors were used, the vehicles are assumed to follow a constant speed that is based on the speed limit data. Acceleration and deceleration were not incorporated into the ARENA model structure due to inflexibility in the conveyor logic. On the other hand, the modeling multiple lanes was balanced by assigning equal entry probabilities to each lane of a multi-lane conveyor link.

Based on this case study, there are a number of lessons learned regarding ARENA as a traffic simulation package:

- ARENA, specifically conveyor logic, is not suitable for modeling networks of this scale. The lower size limit was not readily identifiable.
- Building traffic models in ARENA is extremely complex and time consuming.
- ARENA models are not easily modified.
- Too many untested traffic performance assumptions are necessary to utilize ARENA.

However, ARENA simulation run times are significantly faster than INTEGRATION, though this benefit may diminish as the network model size decreases.

**Implementation**

Although the city of Ormond Beach has not been involved in this research study, it is felt that the results of the transportation evacuation can be of benefit to them. It is believed that a set of static evacuation plans can be made available to the city decision makers to assist them with hurricane
preparedness plans. If the mesoscale version of INTEGRATION is acquired and used for the same network, the run time will be cut down significantly and real time simulation runs can be attained.

**Recommendations for Further Research**

A number of further steps could be taken regarding the case study region and the use of ARENA and INTEGRATION as a traffic modeling package.

1. Determine the limiting traffic network size for ARENA.
2. Determine the sensitivity of this model to background traffic.
3. Determine the sensitivity to evacuation loading assumptions. Specifically, at what point does the CTE cease to be a function of the loading curves.
4. Determine the sensitivity of the CTE to destination assumptions.
5. Acquire the mesoscopic version of INTEGRATION and assess its capabilities to run the same case study in terms of running time and results.
6. Explore the impact of various background traffic scenarios on I-95 on the region evacuation times.
7. Evaluate the effectiveness of contra-flow lanes on the MOEs.
8. Assess the impact of utilizing shelters in the region on the network clearance times.
9. Identify possible ITS solutions to reduce the CTE. This might include changeable message signs, centralized traffic control, and in-vehicle navigation systems.
10. Identify potential evacuation scheduling strategies to reduce the CTE.
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