

ORINATION FORM

Proposed Revisions to the Specifications

(Please provide all information - incomplete forms will be returned)

Date: Specification Section:

Originator: Articles/Subarticles:

Telephone:

email:

Will the proposed revision involve Design Standard Index changes? Yes No

Roadway Design staff contacted (name):

Structures Design staff contacted (name):

Will the proposed revision involve PPM changes? Yes No

Roadway Design staff contacted (name):

Will the proposed revision involve CPAM changes? Yes No

Construction staff contacted (name):

Will the proposed revision involve Pay Item changes? Yes No

Estimates staff contacted (name):

Will the proposed revision involve SDG changes? Yes No

Structures staff contacted (name):

Will the proposed revision involve APL changes? Yes No

Product Evaluation staff contacted (name):

Will the proposed revision involve Material Manual changes? Yes No

State Materials Office staff contacted (name):

Will this revision necessitate any of the following:

Design Bulletin Construction Bulletin Estimates Bulletin Materials Bulletin

Are all references to external publications current? Yes No

If not, what references need to be updated? (Please include changes in the redline document.)

Why does the existing language need to be changed?

Summary of the changes:

Are these changes applicable to all Department jobs? Yes No

If not, what are the restrictions?

Contact the State Specifications Office for assistance in completing this form.

Daniel Scheer 850-414-4130 daniel.scheer@dot.state.fl.us Debbie Toole 850-414-4114 deborah.toole@dot.state.fl.us
Frances Thomas 850-414-4101 frances.thomas@dot.state.fl.us Olivia Townsend 850-414-4303 olivia.townsend@dot.state.fl.us



Florida Department of Transportation

RICK SCOTT
GOVERNOR

605 Suwannee Street
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0450

JIM BOXOLD
SECRETARY

M E M O R A N D U M

DATE: February 23, 2016
TO: Specification Review Distribution List
FROM: Daniel Scheer, P.E., State Specifications Engineer
SUBJECT: Proposed Specification: **9160000 Bituminous Materials.**

In accordance with Specification Development Procedures, we are sending you a copy of a proposed specification change.

This change was proposed by Greg Sholar of the State Materials Office (SMO) to modify the language for current Department practice, including revisions to Materials Manual Sections 3.4 and 3.5.

Please share this proposal with others within your responsibility. Review comments are due within four weeks and should be sent to Mail Station 75 or online at

<http://www2.dot.state.fl.us/ProgramManagement/Development/IndustryReview.aspx> .

Comments received after **March 22, 2016**, may not be considered. Your input is encouraged.

DS/dt
Attachment

BITUMINOUS MATERIALS.

(REV 2-~~12~~16-16)

SECTION 916 is deleted and the following substituted:

SECTION 916 BITUMINOUS MATERIALS

916-1 General.

All products supplied under this Specification shall be one of the products included on the Approved Product List (APL). Producers seeking evaluation of a product for inclusion on the APL shall submit an application in accordance with Section 6.

For liquid anti-strip agents, in addition to the above, producers shall include a report of test results from an independent laboratory confirming the material meets the requirements of this section. In lieu of submitting test results from an independent laboratory, the Department will evaluate the material. For each liquid anti-strip agent, the producer will submit one pint of a representative sample of liquid anti-strip agent to the State Materials Office when submitting the APL application to the Department's Product Evaluation Section.

Any marked variation from the original test values for a material below the established limits or evidence of inadequate quality control or field performance of a material will be considered sufficient evidence that the properties of the material have changed, and the material will be removed from the APL.

916-2 Superpave PG Asphalt Binder:

916-2.1 Requirements: Superpave Performance Graded (PG) asphalt binders, identified as PG 52-28, PG 58-22, PG 67-22, polymer modified asphalt (PMA) binders, PG 76-22 (PMA) and PG 82-22 (PMA), and asphalt rubber binders (ARB), PG 76-22 (ARB), shall meet the requirements of 916-2 and AASHTO M 332-14. All PG asphalt binders shall meet the following additional requirements:

1. The intermediate test temperature at 10 rad/sec. for the Dynamic Shear Rheometer (DSR) test (AASHTO T 315-12) shall be 26.5°C for PG grades PG 67 and higher.
2. An additional high temperature grade of PG 67 is added for which the high test temperature at 10 rad/sec for the DSR test (AASHTO T 315-12) shall be 67°C.
3. All PG asphalt binders having a high temperature designation of PG 67 or lower shall be prepared without modification.
4. All PMA binders having a high temperature designation higher than PG 67 shall only be produced with a styrene-butadiene-styrene (SBS) or styrene-butadiene (SB) elastomeric polymer modifier and the resultant binder shall meet all requirements of this Section.
5. Polyphosphoric acid may be used as a modifier not exceeding 0.75% by weight of asphalt binder for PG 76-22 (PMA), PG 76-22 (ARB), and PG 82-22 (PMA) binders.
6. PG 76-22 (ARB) shall meet the additional requirements of 916-2.1.1.
7. All PG asphalt binders having a high temperature designation of PG 67 or lower shall not have a high temperature true grade more than 5.9°C higher than the specified PG grade, (for example, if a PG 58-22 is specified, do not supply a PG 64-22 or higher).

For all PG binder used in all hot mix asphalt, silicone may be added to the PG binder at the rate of 25 cubic centimeters of silicone mixed to each 5,000 gallons of PG binder. If

a dispersing fluid is used in conjunction with the silicone, the resultant mixture containing the full 25 cubic centimeters of silicone shall be added in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendation. The blending of the silicone with the PG binder shall be done by the supplier prior to the shipment. When the asphalt binder will be used with a foaming warm mix technology, refer to the technology supplier's guidance on the addition of silicone.

Where an anti-strip additive is required, per the requirements of Sections 334 and 337, the amount shall be from 0.25% to 0.75% by weight of asphalt binder. The anti-strip additive shall meet the requirements of 916-4. The anti-strip additive shall be introduced into the PG binder by the supplier during loading.

916-2.1.1 Additional Requirements for PG 76-22 (ARB): The following additional requirements apply only to PG 76-22 (ARB):

1. The asphalt binder shall contain a minimum of 7.0% ground tire rubber (GTR) by weight of asphalt binder.
2. The GTR shall meet the requirements of Section 919.
3. Polymer modification is optional for PG 76-22 (ARB).
4. Use of excess PG 76-22 (ARB): The Contractor may use excess PG 76-22 (ARB) in other asphalt concrete mixes requiring the use of a PG 67-22 binder by blending with straight PG 67-22 binder so that the total amount of ground tire rubber in the binder is less than 2.0%. The Contractor may use excess PG 76-22 (ARB) in asphalt concrete mixtures requiring the use of a PG 52-28 or PG 58-22 by blending with the designated binder in such proportions that the total amount of ground tire rubber in the binder is less than 1.0%.

916-2.2 Compliance with Materials Manual: Producers of Superpave PG binders shall meet the requirements of Section 3.5, Volume II of the Department's Material Manual, which may be viewed at the following URL:

<http://www.dot.state.fl.us/programmanagement/Implemented/URLinSpecs/Section35V2.shtm>
<http://www.dot.state.fl.us/programmanagement/Implemented/URLinSpecs/files/Section3.5-100915.pdf>

916-2.3 Reporting: Specification compliance testing results shall be reported for the tests in the table below, unless noted otherwise. Quality control (QC) testing results shall be reported for original binder DSR ($G/\sin \delta$ and phase angle, as applicable).

SUPERPAVE PG ASPHALT BINDER		
Test and Method	Conditions	Specification Minimum/Maximum Value
Superpave PG Asphalt Binder Grade		Report
APL Number		Report
Modifier (name and type)	Polymer, Ground Tire Rubber with Approved Product List (APL) number, <u>Sulfur, PPA, REOB</u> , and any Rejuvenating Agents	Report
Original Binder		

Solubility, AASHTO T 44- 13 <u>14</u>	in Trichloroethylene	Minimum 99.0% (Not applicable for PG 76-22 (ARB))
Flash Point, AASHTO T 48-06 (2010 <u>2015</u>)	Cleveland Open Cup	Minimum 450°F
Rotational Viscosity, AASHTO T 316-13	275°F	Maximum 3 Pa·s ^(a)
Dynamic Shear Rheometer ^(b) , AASHTO T 315-12	$G^*/\sin \delta$	Minimum 1.00 kPa
	Phase Angle, δ ^(c) PG 76-22 (PMA) and PG 76-22 (ARB) ^(d) PG 82-22 (PMA)	Maximum 75 degrees Maximum 65 degrees
Separation Test, ASTM D7173- 11 <u>14</u> and Softening Point, AASHTO T 53- 11 <u>09</u> (<u>2013</u>)	163±5°C 48 hours	Maximum 15°F (PG 76-22 (ARB) only)
Rolling Thin Film Oven Test Residue (AASHTO T 240-09)		
Rolling Thin Film Oven, AASHTO T 240-13	Mass Change %	Maximum 1.00
Multiple Stress Creep Recovery, $J_{nr, 3.2}$ AASHTO M 332-14	Grade Temperature (Unmodified binders only)	”S” = 4.50kPa ⁻¹ max
Multiple Stress Creep Recovery, $J_{nr, 3.2}$ ^(d, e, f) AASHTO M 332-14	67°C (Modified binders only)	“V” = 1.0 kPa ⁻¹ max “E” = 0.5 kPa ⁻¹ max Maximum $J_{nr, diff} = 75\%$
Multiple Stress Creep Recovery, %Recovery ^(d, e) AASHTO M 332-14	67°C (Modified binders only)	$\%R_{3.2} \geq 29.37$ $(J_{nr, 3.2})^{-0.2633}$
Pressure Aging Vessel Residue (AASHTO R 28-12)		
Dynamic Shear Rheometer, AASHTO T 315-12	$G^* \sin \delta$, 10 rad/sec.	Maximum 5000 kPa ^(f, g)
Creep Stiffness, AASHTO T 313-12	S (Stiffness), @ 60 sec. m-value, @ 60 sec.	Maximum 300 MPa Minimum 0.300
<p>(a) Binders with values higher than 3 Pa·s should be used with caution and only after consulting with the supplier as to any special handling procedures, including pumping capabilities.</p> <p>(b) Dynamic Shear Rheometer (AASHTO T 315) shall be performed on original binders for the purposes of QC testing only.</p> <p>(c) The original binder phase angle (AASHTO T 315-12) shall be performed at grade temperature.</p> <p>(d) AASHTO T 315-12 and AASHTO T 350-14 will be performed at a 2 mm gap for PG 76-22 (ARB)</p> <p>(e) All binders with a high temperature designation >67 will be tested at 67°C. PG 76-22 (PMA) and PG 76-22 (ARB) shall pass a “V” graded and PG 82-22 (PMA) shall pass an “E” grade per AASHTO M 332-14.</p> <p>(f) A maximum $J_{nr, diff} = 75\%$ does not apply for any J_{nr} value < 0.5 kPa-1.</p> <p>(g) For all PG grades of a PG 67 or higher, perform the PAV residue testing at 26.5°C with a maximum of 5000 kPa.</p>		

916-3 Asphalt Emulsions.

916-3.1 Compliance with Materials Manual: Producers of asphalt emulsions shall meet the requirements of Section 3.4, Volume II of the Department's Material Manual, which may be viewed at the following URL:

<http://www.dot.state.fl.us/programmanagement/Implemented/URLinSpecs/Section34V2.shtm>

<http://www.dot.state.fl.us/programmanagement/Implemented/URLinSpecs/files/Section3.4-100915.pdf>

916-3.2 Requirements: Use a prime coat meeting the requirements of AASHTO M 140-0813 for anionic emulsions, AASHTO M 208-01 (201309) or AASHTO M 316-13 for cationic emulsions, or as specified in the Producer's QC Plan. For anionic emulsions, the cement mixing test will be waived. For tack products the minimum testing requirements shall include percent residue, naphtha content (as needed), one-day storage stability, sieve test, Saybolt Furol viscosity, original DSR, ~~phase angle~~, and solubility (on an annual basis). Residue testing shall be performed on residue obtained from distillation (AASHTO T 59-1315) or low- temperature evaporation (AASHTO PP 72-11(2013) Method B).

916-4 Liquid Anti-strip Agents.

916-4.1 Requirements: Liquid anti-strip agents shall be tested in accordance with FM ~~5-5081-T 283~~. A minimum tensile strength ratio of 0.80 must be obtained when testing the liquid anti-strip with various aggregate sources and two nominal maximum aggregate size mixtures. Specific requirements are contained in the APL process. ~~Tensile strength ratios will be calculated for the following two conditions and expressed as percentages:~~

~~1. conditioned mixture without anti-strip to unconditioned mixture without anti-strip, and~~

~~2. conditioned mixture with anti-strip to unconditioned mixture without anti-strip.~~

~~A 20% gain in tensile strength ratio for condition 2 as compared to condition 1 shall be required.~~

916-4.2 Mix Design Verification: Inclusion of a liquid anti-strip agent on the APL does not guarantee that the anti-strip will be approved for use in an asphalt mixture. Particular aggregate sources may require moisture susceptibility testing per FM 1-T 283 for each mix design. ~~Specifications may require subsequent moisture susceptibility testing per FM 1-T283 for the particular mix design.~~ Results from this testing may meet the Department's requirement of minimum tensile strength ratio of 0.80 or may indicate the need for a larger dosage rate of anti-strip agent (up to 0.75% maximum) or a different anti-strip agent to meet the specification requirements.