

3340700 SUPERPAVE ASPHALT CONCRETE
COMMENTS FROM INTERNAL/INDUSTRY REVIEW

Katie Kehres (via Deborah Ihsan)
FDOT, D4

Comments: (12-17-14)

We understand the language of the Spec change; anticipate that CPAM will be revised accordingly.

Response: Yes. FYI, when drafted, the CPAM revisions will go out for review and comment.

Joe Meier
Middlesex Paving
407-206-0077
jmeier@middlesexco.com

Comments: (12-18-14)

I have concerns with tying the payment quantity to the original plan quantity. In our experience, the plan quantities are often lacking in exacting accuracy, especially with respect to milling and resurfacing. Existing lane widths and paved shoulder widths often vary from the constant indicated on a typical section and used by the Engineer as included in the plans and bid quantities. Having to get the “Engineer” to approve every quantity variance in the existing conditions will be extremely difficult. We recommend the payment for asphalt not be tied directly to the original, plan quantity, but continue with the current method of measurement that recognizes the “as-built” condition.

Response: The current method of measurement recognizes the as-built conditions in terms of the actual Gmm’s, however, the lengths and widths paved are to be consistent with the plans. When they are not, the Engineer needs to approve any changes, both in the current and the proposed systems.
