

1020901 MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC
COMMENTS FROM INTERNAL/INDUSTRY REVIEW

Steve Nichols
FDOT, Turnpike
stephen.nichols@dot.state.fl.us
(407) 264-3005

Comments: (11-26-14)

1. Appears the first sentence of the proposed change countermands the adjoining paragraph. Is that the intent, or should the sentence read “Traffic control devices that are dirty shall be replaced, and cleaned off site for future use.”?

Response: That is not the intent. The intent is for all channelizing devices to meet the quality standard in the ATSSA Guidelines while installed/ used. Repair or cleaning can’t occur while the device is installed/ used due to the potential safety hazard. The phrase “installed/ used within the work zone” has been moved to later in the paragraph to clarify the intent.

2. Can the CDS maintain traffic control devices other than channelizing devices? If so, would the required documentation reflect this?

Response: Yes. The specification requires a CDS to maintain specific channelizing devices, but does not require a CDS to maintain other devices. No changes needed.

3. Are arrow boards, water filled barriers, and ped fences included as channelizing devices?

Response: No. The specification has been modified to list the channelizing devices that are required to be certified monthly and by whom.

Maria Connolly
FDOT, Turnpike
maria.connolly@dot.state.fl.us
(954) 934-1209

Comments: (11-26-14)

I may be misinterpreting this proposed spec change. The memo says that the proposed changes are intended to implement the phasing of removal of lights from temporary traffic control devices but the actual changes seem to be related to the maintenance of the devices by an independent Channelizing Device Supplier.

Response: This is phase 2 of a three phase implementation. Phase 1 was removal of lights from signs and barrier wall. Phase 2 is requiring a CDS. Phase 3 is the removal of lights from all channelizing devices. There is a 6 month overlap between phase 2 and phase 3. No changes needed.

Kenneth Weldon

tallyther@centurylink.net

Comments: (12-2-14)

I have no problem with the proposed changes but at the same time it is appropriate to correct one other area in the cited spec. In paragraph 1 it says "Use only those devices that are on the APL." The problem is that not all currently used items are on the APL or QPL now. Note not all items shown in the Std Index Series 600 are on the APL. I already supplied information and presented questions on this to Stefanie Maxwell so you may want to contact her directly and follow her example of why this is the case and how to resolve it in the spec. This presents an issue in the MOT Training as well.

Response: This is a discussion outside the scope of these changes. No changes made.

Maria Connolly
FDOT, Turnpike
maria.connolly@dot.state.fl.us
(954) 934-1209

Comments: (12-2-14)

Section 102-9.1.1 1 (d) Recommend changing "with its own inventory or channelizing devices" to "with its own inventory OF channeling devices.

Response: Agree. Change made.

Krystal Philip
plkrystal@gmail.com

Comments: (12-2-14)

Removal of lights from traffic control devices does not seem like a good idea. I think the lights make a good guidance visual thru work zones. The opinion has not changed over the years. Seniors and people with slight eye issues benefit from the added luminus provided by the lights. The cost and savings factor for FDOT is minimal. As it is the state gets barricades with lights for about \$.20 per day. There will be no drop in prices when removed. You are taking away jobs from those in the barricade business. I think this is not a good deal for Florida.

Response: The removal of lights has been discussed for many years. No changes made.

Bob Burluson
Florida Transportation Builders Association

Comments: (11-26-14)

Prime contractor certification should apply only to cones and not all devices.

Response: The CDS will certify the devices they are responsible for separately. The CDS certification will be provided with the Contractor's Certification of Quantities for Maintenance of Traffic Devices, which is currently unchanged and submitted by the Prime.

FDOT, D5
Construction

Comments: (12-22-14)

102-9.1 Why the devices can't be cleaned while being used. Is the intent that when you have a big project that has 500 barrels you have to remove them all and replace them with clean barrels or can you clean them in place?

Response: The specification prohibits cleaning devices when installed/ used because this could create an unsafe condition for both the traveling public and workers. The channelizing devices are required to meet the ATSSA Guidelines by means and methods of choice, excluding cleaning while installed/ used.

Matthew Schindler
813-649-1336
matthew@cloverleafcorp.com

Comments: (12-22-14)

Do the requirements for having to have a CDS on the project apply to the temporary lane separator or low profile barrier? Both devices have "channelizing" devices as accessories to them. Having to replace the channelizing component in order to clean it will be more complicated than simply sliding a drum out of place. In the case of the temporary lane separator, it will require either a mobile operation and road closure to facilitate.

Response: No. The specification has been modified to list the channelizing devices that are required to be certified monthly and by whom.
