## 5360500 GUARDRAIL COMMENTS FROM INTERNAL/INDUSTRY REVIEW

Charles Boyd 414-4275

Comment: (12-17-13)

There's actually a mistake in note 17 on Index 400. The reference to "*Standard* Specifications" is incorrect, "*Standard*" should not be there. The spec language that is being deleted was actually correct.

Response:

\*

Karen Byram 414-4353 karen.byram@dot.state.fl.us

Comment: (12-30-13)

The intent is to remove language from the Design Indexes and place the language in the specifications. Shouldn't we be modifying the language in S536 and removing the note from the

Design Index?

Response:

\*

David O'Hagan 850-391-9885 dohagan@palmernet.com

Comment: (1-2-14)

Please forgive me but I am confused about this proposed revision. It deletes instructions to the Contractor on acceptable means for installing guardrail to meet conditions actually encountered in the field. The Origination Form declares the reason for the revision as, "To delete the sentence that conflicts with the revised Design Standard, Note #17." The Origination Form declares the purpose to be, "Delete conflict language concerning drilling and reaming of holes in existing guardrail, and remove incorrect reference to Section 971. The requirement is to field punch holes in existing guardrail."

My comments are as follows:

1. Why are instructions to the Contractor being removed from a document that is the Contractor's primary source for project installation requirements? The Standard Specifications seems to be a more appropriate location for these instructions than the Design Standards as the requirement involves permissible modifications in the field as opposed to permissible products to be furnish to the field.

Response:

2. An Ado	be search in I	ndex 400 doe	s not reve	eal the "	punch'	'requireme	ent anywhere	e. There is no
mention o	f this techniqu	ie in either 53	6 or 562.	Where	is this	technique	specified? Is	it in another
Standard S	Specification i	revision?					_	

## Response:

3. Additional Revisions for Consideration: • Removal of reference to Section 971 that is currently shown on Index 400, Sheet 1/26, Note 17. • If punching is indeed the field procedure permitted, add a note on what tolerance on punching diameter is acceptable. Performance of the guardrail depends on maintaining linkage between panels when the post is no longer effective.

Response:
***************************