

5210000 CONCRETE BARRIERS, TRAFFIC RAILING BARRIERS AND PARAPETS
COMMENTS FROM INTERNAL/INDUSTRY REVIEW

John Mauthner
414-4334
john.mauthner@dot.state.fl.us

Comments: (Internal, 9-30-13)

The intent of this revision is to remove the word reflector from the specifications.

<p>521-2 Materials.</p> <p>→ Meet the following requirements:</p> <p>Portland Cement Concrete Section 346</p> <p>Reinforcing Steel Section 415</p> <p>Joint Materials 932-1.1</p> <p>Joint Materials* 932-1.2 and 932-1.3</p> <p><i>Barrier-Delineators-Reflector Elements*(1) Sections 705 and 993</i></p> <p><i>*Use products listed on the Department's Qualified Products List (QPL) items.</i></p> <p><i>(1) Mount reflectors/delineators on the barriers in accordance with the details shown in the Plans and the Design Standards.</i></p>

Response: This has been changed.

Does this include price and payment for providing and installing Barrier Delineators?

<p>521-9 Basis of Payment.</p> <p>→ Price and payment will be full compensation for all work specified in this Section, including all reinforcing steel, conduits, materials and incidentals necessary to complete the work.</p> <p>→ Payment will be made under:</p> <p>Item No. 521-1-1 → Median Concrete Barrier Wall, per foot</p>

Response: Yes, this includes everything not specifically spelled out in order to complete the work.

No change made.

Neil Monkman
239-462-7371
neil.monkman@wrightg.com

Comments: (10-3-13)

It appears that reflectors are proposed to be replaced with delineators. With the emphasis on good MOT and in the interest of safety, I believe this to be an excellent improvement to the specification. However, I am not sure on the proposed changes to the verbiage related to QC. Understanding that it does not specifically state have an approved QCP, it does say meet the requirements of 105. Doesn't 105 require producers to have and maintain an approved QCP? This seems a little redundant unless there is something that I am not seeing. Bottom line is that I guess it can never hurt to be as clear as possible.

Response: Actually, “reflectors” and “delineators” refer to same product. The MUTCD supports calling them delineators.

The proposed change related to QC was for consistency with other spec language. In order for a manufacturer to be on the Department’s lists referred to in the specification, they MUST have an approved Quality Control Program which includes an approved Quality Control (QC) Plan for each product they produce/manufacture. Section 105 provides requirements and guidance for quality control programs.

No changes made.

Shailesh Patel
386-943-5347
shailesh.patel@dot.state.fl.us

Comments: (10-22-13)

1) 521-3.3 Procurement of Proprietary Precast Temporary Barrier Wall:

The first sentence is a run on sentence. Either break it up with commas, or break it into two sentences.

Response: This is standard spec language for this requirement.

No changes made.

2) 521-3.4 Procurement of Precast Temporary Barrier Wall Meeting the Requirements of Design Standards, Index No. 414:

The first sentence is a run on sentence. Either break it up with commas, or break it into two sentences.

Response: See previous response.

Rudy Powell
414-4280
rudy.powell@dot.state.fl.us

Comments: (12-9-13)

1) 521-4.1: Does the highlighted sentence need to be deleted to match the change from Class 3 to General Finish?

Response: Yes.

No changes made.
