

1020302 MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC
COMMENTS FROM INTERNAL/INDUSTRY REVIEW

Dan Scheer
414-4130
dan.scheer@dot.state.fl.us

Comment: (12-9-13)

1. 102-9.5: - add/delete highlighted text:

102-9.5 Warning/Channelizing Devices: ~~Furnish~~ *Procure and install* warning/channelizing devices in accordance with the Plans and Design Standards.

Response: To provide consistent language with the rest of the Section, the sentence will be revised to the following: Furnish and install channelizing devices in accordance with the Plans and Design Standards.

Changes made.

2. 102-9.5.4 Longitudinal Channelizing Devices: - add/delete highlighted text:

102-9.5.4 Longitudinal Channelizing Devices: ~~Furnish longitudinal channelizing devices in accordance with the Plans and Design Standards~~^[dt1]. ~~Longitudinal channelizing devices are categorized as vehicular and pedestrian. Longitudinal channelizing devices shall that must be interconnected. For Units requiring using internal ballasting, shall have an indicator that clearly on each unit to easily identifies the proper ballast level will be required.~~
Install vehicular longitudinal channelizing devices ~~shall be installed with alternating orange and white units. These devices may be substituted for Type I, Type II, direction indicator barricades, Type III, vertical panels, or drums.~~

Response: The first sentence is required, because the longitudinal channelizing device is one of the many types of channelizing devices. The remaining sentences will be revised and it will read as follows: 102-9.5.4 Longitudinal Channelizing Devices (LCDs): Furnish LCDs in accordance with the Plans and Design Standards. LCDs are categorized as vehicular or pedestrian and shall be interlocked. For LCDs requiring internal ballasting, an indicator that clearly identifies the proper ballast level will be required.

Use alternating orange and white pattern for solid color vehicular LCDs. Vehicular LCDs shall be less than 32 inches in height. Vehicular LCDs may be substituted for drums, vertical panels, or barricades.

Changes made.

Bob Dion
386-740-0665

Comment: (12-9-13)

Please expand 102-13.23 to include '102-75 Temporary Lane Separator LF; see estimates bulletin 12-09, dated 9/13/12.

Response: This is already listed in the Pay Items. See below:

102-13.23 Payment Items: Payment will be made under:	
Item No. 102-1-1	→ Maintenance of Traffic - lump sum.
Item No. 102-1-2	→ Special Detour - lump sum.
Item No. 102-1-3	→ Commercial Material for Driveway Maintenance - per cubic yard.
Item No. 102-1-14	→ Traffic Control Officer - per hour.
Item No. 102-60	→ Work Zone Sign - per each per day.
Item No. 102-61	→ Business Sign - each.
Item No. 102-62	→ <i>Barrier Mounted Work Zone Sign - per each per day</i>
Item No. 102-71	→ Barrier Wall - per foot.
Item No. 102-75	→ Temporary Lane Separator - per foot
Item No. 102-94	→ Glare Screen - per foot.

Mary Ann Koos
414-4321

Comment: (12-17-13)

It might be good to include the MUTCD as a reference in 102-3.2 Worksite Supervisor in addition to the ADA standards for Transportation Facilities. The MUTCD actually is more specific about how to maintain traffic for peds. Rather than “detoured bicycle paths” maybe we could say bicycle facilities so that it would be clear that the facility affected during construction (Bike lane, paved shoulder or shared use path) needs to be addressed as part of the MOT.

Response: The MUTCD is already referenced in Subarticle 102-5.1 as the minimum standards for traffic control. No change made.

Change made to refer to “detoured bicycle facilities

Anonymous

Comment: (12-23-13)

1. The requirement for the Contractor to document MOT inspections is being removed from the spec. I think this is a consequential mistake. Speaking as a field engineer and Department representative, it is difficult enough to get MOT compliance and the documentation of the inspection is sometimes the only way we can provide undisputable written response to the Contractor to garner compliance. Without the documentation of the inspection report it will also make it difficult to give and sustain CPPR violations. In addition, the CPAM requires the written documentation of the inspection. Really not sure what is to be gained by the Department in this removal.

Response: Thank you for your comment. No change made.

2. Is the Department going to want the CEI to perform an inspection?

Response: No, the Department has not changed the CEI requirements.

3. Will the Department want the CEI to use the form on DB projects instead of the Contractor.

Response: No.

4. What documentation is intended to be provided during the project and to the attorneys that subpoena MOT records after the end of the project? Fact is, even though the Department puts these requirements on the Contractor, they hold the CEI responsible when something goes wrong. This spec revision removes an effective tool for verification and documentation of MOT compliance as well as the protection and safety of the travelling public.

Response: Article 102-9 of the Specifications requires the Contractor to install and maintain temporary traffic control devices as detailed in the Plans, Design Standards, and vendor drawings. Also, monthly the Contractor submits a certification of quantities for payment where he certifies that the counts, measurements, and quality of the products are correct and accurate. This change does not move the responsibility away from the Contractor.
No change made

Christian Cummings
ccummings@acmebarricades.com

Comments: (1-2-14)

102-11.5 Eliminating the payment of individual sign panels when multiple sign panels are located on single or multiple posts is going to make estimating work zone signs much more difficult. With the proposed change estimators will have to try to average all of the sign panels over the number of post locations on an entire project. I have not heard of any issues arising from the current method of paying for each sign panel and I do not see the benefit to the Department for changing the current spec.

~~Response: It is not often that multiple panels are used for work zone signs. To be consistent with how we pay for permanent signs, multiple panels will not be paid for separately.~~

~~No change made~~ Revision has been removed. Payment for individual sign panels will not be changed.

Change made.
