

0070701DC LEGAL REQUIREMENTS AND RESPONSIBILITY TO THE PUBLIC –  
COMMERCIAL GENERAL LIABILITY INSURANCE  
COMMENTS FROM INTERNAL/INDUSTRY REVIEW

---

Melissa Hollis  
414-4182  
[Melissa.Hollis@dot.state.fl.us](mailto:Melissa.Hollis@dot.state.fl.us)

Comment: (10-7-13) Internal Review

Clearly and legibly identify all equipment on the Department’s right-of-way.  
How about “Red Truck” and “Blue Tractor”?  
Maybe we should require that they “identify **the owner** of all equipment...”

Response: Agree. Change made.

\*\*\*\*\*

Marty Humphries  
[marty.humphries@dot.state.fl.us](mailto:marty.humphries@dot.state.fl.us)

Comment: (10-11-13)

The new description of identifying the equipment doesn't clearly say indentifying the Contractor's Company name. Would recommend saying "Clearly and legibly identify company name on all equipment on the Department's right-of-way."

Response: Agree; however, changed to “identify the owner of all equipment.”  
Change made.

\*\*\*\*\*

Arthur L. Berger  
414-5368  
[Arthur.Berger@dot.state.fl.us](mailto:Arthur.Berger@dot.state.fl.us)

Comment: (10-10-13)

7-7.1 – Suggest adding “...including equipment identification numbers” to the end of the sentence.

Response: We added “identify the owner of all equipment”, because identification numbers will not have meaning to most on site personnel.  
Change made.

7-13.2 – 3<sup>rd</sup> sentence: “The coverage afforded to the Department **under** as an Additional Insured...”

Response: Agree, change made.

\*\*\*\*\*

Phillip N. Davis

414-4000  
[phillip.davis@dot.state.fl.us](mailto:phillip.davis@dot.state.fl.us)

Comment: (10-10-13, 10-18-13)

I see that we are specifically requiring "ACCORD" certificate of insurance. We do have a couple companies that have been sending in forms other than "ACCORD." Does this mean that they will now have to specifically only use the forms from "ACCORD?"

Since this spec is specifically for the "small" contracts, are we going to also change the language for the Standard Specifications?

I noticed that the top of the page said, "District Let Contracts less than \$250,000 and all Maintenance Contracts."

Shouldn't this actually be for "Construction Contracts less than \$250,000 and all Maintenance Contracts"? As it reads now, it would not apply to Central Office Let Contracts less than \$250,000.

Response: We will require the ACCORD form. Regarding the usage note, we will change it to the following: **"Contracts less than \$250,000 and all Maintenance Contracts"**.

\*\*\*\*\*

D4 Const

Comment: (11-6-13)

7-7.1 Reads "Clearly and legibly identify all equipment on the Department's right-of-way." Suggest adding "ownership of" after "identify".

7-13.2 Includes a sentence: "The policy/ies and coverage described herein may be subject to a deductible, which shall be your sole responsibility." Suggest rephrasing to active voice such as "Pay all deductibles as required."

Response: Agree, change made.

\*\*\*\*\*