

5560700 Jack and Bore.
COMMENTS FROM INTERNAL/INDUSTRY REVIEW

Geoff Scales

gmscales@LaneConstruct.com

Comment: (12-12-12) Too combine jacked and bored casings with the storm sewer or conduit items, seems regressive. The cost of jacking versus open placement is several times greater, and in the case where work orders or plan quantity changes add or delete sewer or conduit quantity, the unit price may be meaningless, because it will presumably include a varying proportion of jacked and open cut placement.

Response: You are correct in that there is a price difference for installing open trench vs. jack and bore. The jack and bore spec is more appropriate as a method of installation and the cost is more appropriately reflected in the item to be installed. The revised spec puts the cost of installation in the item to be installed, be it conduit, casing, or pipe culverts. See revisions to specs 430 and 630. No Change Made.

Paul Harkins

paul.harkins@dot.state.fl.us

Comment: (12-12-12) Too better understand would it not be helpful to have the changes to SECTION 430 and 630 submitted to coincide with this change. Is the intent to now pay for each item separately, casing, grout, drainage pipe, etc?

Response: The intent is to identify the cost of installation in the item being installed (furnish and install). See revisions to specs 430 and 630. No Change Made

Woerner, Bert

bert.woerner@dot.state.fl.us

Comment: (1-8-13) For the last sentence of 556-7 Compensation:

It is unclear if we are to withhold payment for all Jack and Bores or just the one you do not have the bore report for. Consider the following sentence instead:

“No compensation will be made for the **Pay item associated** with the jack and bore until a Bore Path Report has been delivered to the Engineer.”

Response: Agree. Change Made
