SP0090103SLPQ MEASUREMENT AND PAYMENT COMMENTS FROM INTERNAL/INDUSTRY REVIEW

Bob Burleson for Pete Kelley FTBA 850-942-1404 bburleson@ftba.com

Comment: (3-4-14, Internal)

Suspension of prequalification seems pretty harsh. Is this a big problem or is there one or two instances where it has been a problem? I am always wary of punitive specifications like this.

Response: (as per David Sadler, 3-4-14)

We're not creating anything new with spec. This is language that is currently in the standard specs but was removed for streamlined contracting special provisions. We have been having problems with several of the Contractors (usually smaller Contractors) doing streamlined contracts finishing all of the requirements of Section 9 so we are adding this language back into the streamlined specs.

No changes made.

Rusty Birchall 813-917-6010 rbirchall@conegraham.com

Comment: (3-17-14)

There is an issue on streamlined projects concerning final acceptance if there is an unresolved claim issue between the Department and the Contractor. Under this change, the contractor would be subject to suspension if they don't return the Acceptance Letter as stated in item (a) of 9-8.1. The Acceptance Letter the Department sends out has language in it releasing FDOT from all claims. FDOT will not accept an alternative letter despite the fact that there is no language in the 9-8.1 as to the form of the acceptance letter. The Department will also not accept a "Qualified" acceptance letter on a streamlined job. If a claim goes unresolved for more than 90 days, it is not justifiable to suspend the contractor for failing to return the acceptance letter. If suspension is going to included as a hammer over the contractor, then some allowance must be made to qualify the acceptance to reserve rights to outstanding claims.

Response:		
**********	**********	*********
	Anonymous	

Comment: (3-18-14)

This is a reasonable requirement to ask the contractor to return the needed documents within 90 days. We just need to be uniform with FDOT actions if it does not occur and use the same action for other documents not received. Be consistent.

Response:			
**********	*******	*******	*****

Sergio Figueroa 407-482-7828 sergio.figueroa@dot.state.fl.us

Comment: (4-8-14)

SP0090103SLLS & SP0090103SLPQ - The proposed change to these industry review #'s is the addition of a paragraph shown below highlighted in red. The paragraph contains the statement "If the contractor fails..., the Department <u>may</u> suspend....". Is there any limitation or a reason to say "may", instead of "will"? Is there any minimum criteria in which the Department wouldn't suspend the Qualification?

Response:			

D4 Const.

Comment: (4-11-14)

Proposed text reads: "If the Contractor fails to provide all the required documents listed below within 90 days of final acceptance,..."

Shouldn't this be 90 days from the offer of final payment? This would be consistent with the current spec. for non-streamlined projects.

Response:
