

3340000 SUPERPAVE ASPHALT CONCRETE
COMMENTS FROM INTERNAL/INDUSTRY REVIEW

Kevin Price
DAB Constructors, Inc.
352-447-5488
KevinP@dabcon.com

Comments: (5-16-12)

1. 334-5.5.1, 334-5.6, & 334-5.7.1 state that asphalt samples may be reheated using a microwave oven prior to testing. Current FM methods allow for reheating samples in an preheated oven set at 300 degrees +/-9° F. Reheating samples using the current acceptable method would not allow the sample to be over heated. Considering that microwaves do not provide uniform heat, how is anyone able to ensure proper heating without overheating? Some sort of control needs to be established to prevent damage to the sample. At this time we would consider establishing a temperature range of +/- 15 from target compaction temperature during the reheating process. Proposed language would be something like: Reheat the sample to within +/- 15 of the target compaction temperature, samples reheated beyond the maximum will not be used for volumetric properties determination.

Response:

2. 334-5.1.2 Requires density testing on side street connections. These small connections to the mainline are smaller than the width of the intersection and are mostly hand work. These areas take longer to construct due to their irregular shapes and cannot be compacted until the desired shape is achieved. These areas have always been separated in the past due to the constructability limitations. The CPF specification pays based on consistent rolling and paving and these areas cannot be treated in the same way as mainline paving.

Response:

Howie Mosley
386-961-7853
howard.moseley@dot.state.fl.us

Comments: (6-26-12)

1. 334-2.3.5 Asphalt Binder for Mixes with RAP:

I think we may be getting away from the CQC philosophy with this specification change. We are telling the Contractor what grade of binder to use in mixtures containing RAP. Additionally, we are not specifying a viscosity range for the mix and are taking all responsibility for proposing binder changes as necessary, based on testing that takes about a week to get results on. My concern is the Contractor will produce low viscosity mix and get premature rutting. They will argue that they were required to use a specified binder type with no specified viscosity range. Enforcing the warranty may be difficult given that we have taken all responsibility for binder choice. I recommend rewriting the specification in a way that puts the burden on the Contractor to design their mixtures to assure adequate viscosity, and reserving the right for the Engineer to make binder changes as necessary.

Response:

2. 334-5.1.1 Sampling and Testing Requirements:

The direction for what to do if the ignition oven malfunctions was removed from this section. We discussed and agreed to expand this note to all of the applicable lab equipment. Where will this direction be located in the future? A logical place would be in this spec.

Response:

Bert Woerner
386-943-5351
bert.woerner@dot.state.fl.us

Comments: (6-27-12)

1. Dropping the minimum thickness of SP 12.5 for placement of variable thickness down to 1/2" from 3/4" (and originally 1") will this damage the rock of the asphalt? Also if there is a preference can a statement be made that variable thickness shall be placed before last lift of structural.

Response:

2. 334-5.1.2, last paragraph on page 10:

Highlighted areas are to be deleted. Change to read paid at the same density pay factor as areas requiring not pay factor as for the areas.

The density pay factor (as defined in 334-8.2) for areas not requiring density testing for acceptance will be *paid at the same density pay factor as* **prorated based on a pay factor of 1.00 for the quantity (tonnage) of material in areas not requiring density testing for acceptance and the actual pay factor for the tonnage of material in**

Response:

3. 334-5.5.1 Plant Testing:

Add statement at the end to heat uniformly. This will go with 334-5.6.1 and 334-5.7.1 also in the testing.

At the completion of each LOT, the Engineer will test a minimum of one Verification split sample randomly selected from the LOT. Results of the testing and analysis for the LOT will be made available to the Contractor within one working day from the time the LOT is completed. Verification samples shall be reheated at the target roadway compaction temperature for 1 -1/2 hours, plus or minus 5 minutes, reduced to the appropriate testing size, and conditioned and tested as described in 334-5.1.1. *In lieu of the 1-1/2 hours reheating procedure, the mixture may be reheated to the target roadway compaction temperature using a microwave oven.*

4. 334-8 Basis of Payment.

Last sentence to use the Department's latest version if the Asphalt Plant- Pay Factor Worksheets. Due to the difficulty of getting contractors to use the latest and greatest. **Add highlighted text.**

334-8.1 General: Price and payment will be full compensation for all the work specified under this Section (including the applicable requirements of Sections 320 and 330).

For materials accepted in accordance with 334-5, based upon the quality of the material, a pay adjustment will be applied to the bid price of the material as determined on a LOT by LOT basis. The pay adjustment will be assessed by calculating a Pay Factor for the following individual quality characteristics: pavement density, air voids, asphalt binder content, and the percentage passing the No. 200 and No. 8 sieves. The pay adjustment will be computed by multiplying a Composite Pay Factor for the LOT by the bid price per ton. Perform all calculations ~~within~~ *using the latest version of* the Department's Asphalt Plant - Pay Factor Worksheets.

Response:

Jim Warren
850-222-7300
jwarren@acaf.org

Comments: (6-27-12)

1. 334-5.1.2 Acceptance Testing Exceptions:

a. Industry questions the need to cut additional cores in the side street areas as the process of paving these areas is very different than paving mainline and the resulting density values will more than likely will be more variable than their mainline counterparts. This will increase the variability of the density of the resulting subplot and lot and affect the pay. We would recommend alternative language that the contractor address in his quality control plan the means and methods used to pave and compact these short side street sections off the mainline to monitor and achieve the best density they can in these areas.

Response:

b. "Density testing for acceptance will not be performed on density areas less than 50 tons within a subplot." The proposed language could be miss-interpreted and needs some work to be more clear.

Response:

2. 334-5.5.1 Plant Testing and various other locations: (334-5.6.1 Plant Samples, 334-5.7.1 Plant)

Industry strongly disagrees with using a microwave oven with no check and balances on temperature/time or usage. Using the text as it is it would be easy to overheat the sample and cause damage during this reheating without setting a test procedure or a precise process for using a microwave oven. All microwave ovens are different and will require some sort of procedure to ensure the samples are properly heated and not damaged during preparation for testing.

Response:
