

1200800, Embankment Construction
Comments from Industry Review

Bob Dion
386 740-0665
bob_dion@urscorp.com

Comment:

Suggest you change the title of 120-10.1.7 from 'Deduction for Resolution Tests' to 'Resolution Tests'. It covers the handling or payment for favorable and unfavorable results.

Chris Papastratis
954-777-4193
Chris.Papastratis@dot.state.fl.us

Comment:

Text: 120-10.1.7 Deduction for Resolution Tests: When FDOT pays for Resolutions Tests, how do we pay for them? When Contractor pays for Resolution Tests, proposed spec states that cost will be deducted from appropriate Contract items. That may be defficult to do. We will be altering measured quantities. Not a good thing to do. Instead we propose that proposed spec be changed to pay for the work, as follows: 1. Contract Work Order, or. 2. Have a contingency pay item for Resolution Testing.

Ken Zinck
386-740-3471
ken.zinck@dot.state.fl.us

Comment/suggestion from Lori Wilson & Jeremy Wolcott District 5 Construction:

The contractors should have a link to where the resolution costs are derived.

Christopher Wood
(904) 360-5673
Email:Christopher.Wood@dot.state.fl.us

Comments:

It seems to me this change needs some clarification and possibly moved to the 120-10.4 spec under the resolution procedures portion. Also it does not address which resolution procedure it is discussing. If it is referencing the lab procedure then what would be the cost we would deduct from the appropriate line item if the resolution did not compare favorably with QC results. Would this be established on a case by case basis, and should that maybe be addressed in this spec. change as to how we would come up with the cost that will be deducted. If it is referencing the roadway procedure of the QC technician retesting within 5 feet of the failing verification test, I believe this is incidental to placing and testing embankment on an FDOT project, and the dept. should never pay for the resolution testing.
