

# **Congestion Management Process Report**

**for the**

## **Capital Region Transportation Planning Area**

**September 2009**

**"The preparation of this report has been financed in part through grant[s] from the Federal Highway Administration and Federal Transit Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation, under the State Planning and Research Program, Section 505 [or Metropolitan Planning Program, Section 104(f)] of Title 23, U.S. Code. The contents of this report do not necessarily reflect the official views or policy of the U.S. Department of Transportation."**

**"This report was funded in part through grant[s] from the Federal Highway Administration [and Federal Transit Administration], U.S. Department of Transportation. The views and opinions of the authors [or agency] expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the U. S. Department of Transportation."**



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>I. FRAMEWORK OF THE CRTPA CONGESTION MANAGEMENT PROCESS REPORT .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>Figure 1: CRTPA Planning Area Boundary .....</i>	<i>5</i>
<b>II. PERFORMANCE MEASURES .....</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>III. IDENTIFICATION OF CONGESTION MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES .....</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>IV. EVALUATION OF CONGESTED FACILITIES, &amp; SELECTION OF MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES.....</b>	<b>25</b>
<i>Table 1: Evaluation Criteria for Congestion .....</i>	<i>27</i>
<b>V. IMPLEMENTATION PLAN.....</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>VI. CONCLUSION .....</b>	<b>31</b>

## APPENDICES

### **APPENDIX A: EXISTING AND PROJECTED CONGESTED ROADWAYS**

Table A: Leon County .....	1
Table B: Gadsden County .....	9
Table C: Chattahoochee.....	9
Table D: Jefferson County .....	9
Table E: Wakulla County.....	10

### **APPENDIX B: FDOT LEVEL OF SERVICE ANALYSIS TABLES FOR LEON, GADSDEN, JEFFERSON, AND WAKULLA COUNTIES**



## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

### **Congestion Management Requirements**

The Capital Region Transportation Planning Agency (CRTPA) is the region's metropolitan planning organization (MPO). As such, the CRTPA is responsible for coordinating transportation planning within Florida's Capital Region. The CRTPA includes all of Leon, Wakulla, Gadsden, and Jefferson Counties. The general population of the planning area is between 370,000 and 371,000 people.

The Transportation Equity Act for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century (TEA-21) designates areas with populations of 200,000 or greater as Transportation Management Areas (TMA's) and furthermore, requires that these areas have a Congestion Management System (CMS) as part of the transportation planning process. A CMS is defined as, "a systematic process for managing congestion that provides information on transportation system performance and on alternative strategies for alleviating congestion and enhancing the mobility of persons and goods to levels that meet state and local needs" (23CFR 500.109). As a designated TMA, the CRTPA must have a CMS in place.

The Safe, Accountable, Flexible, and Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU) was signed into effect by the President in August of 2005, and began to redefine the CMS with a new title: the **Congestion Management Process**. As part of the legislation, the following directive is found:

*Within a metropolitan planning area serving a transportation management area, the transportation planning process...shall address congestion management through a process that provides for effective management and operation, based on a cooperatively developed and implemented metropolitan-wide strategy, of new and existing transportation facilities eligible for funding under this title and chapter 53 of title 49 through the use of travel demand reduction and operational management strategies.*

### **Congestion Management Process Report**

The Congestion Management Process (CMP) Report for the CRTPA, as required under TEA-21 and SAFETEA-LU, is presented in the subsequent chapters of this document. In summary, the report outlines the process and provides the basic information needed that will enable the CRTPA to implement metropolitan-wide strategies on addressing traffic congestion in the CRTPA.

The CMP Report begins by identifying the existing performance of transportation facilities (roadways, bike lanes, sidewalks, and transit services) in the planning area, which serves as the ground-work for selecting strategies for improving the system. Following this identification, a process is identified to incorporate the values of the CRTPA community into the planning and programming of congestion management projects so that they are identified in an objective, manageable fashion that can lead to

greater cost effectiveness and utility of the entire multi-modal system. This is achieved through the application of existing established evaluation criteria utilized in other CRTPA planning efforts. In following this process, the resulting information is intended to be used by several groups of people including elected officials, engineers, planners, developers, and consultants, as future planning documents such as Long Range Transportation Plans, Master Plans, local government development orders, Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Work Programs, and the CRTPA's Transportation Improvement Programs (TIP's) are developed. The resulting plans will then be grounded in values representative of the planning area and representative of the visions of individual transportation plans (such as the Regional Mobility Plan, Transit Development Plan, etc.) for the planning area.

To effectuate a streamlined approach to addressing congestion and improving the transportation network, a team of transportation professionals in the region review the traffic system throughout the year and make recommendations to the CRTPA and affected local governments on instituting congestion management strategies for identified facilities. The status of the system, as reflected in the CMP Report, and the findings of the review team will together form the foundation from which all future transportation planning documents and plans will stem. Reviewers are involved throughout the year in the development of local priority project lists for various transportation plans and documents, the FDOT's Five-Year Work Program, the local government TIP, Long Range Transportation Plans, and through association with their respective local governments, the local capital improvement project lists.

The CMP is updated in accordance with the requirements of TEA-21. Because this is a continuous planning and monitoring process, the benefits of the individual congestion mitigation strategies employed in the previous year will not necessarily be immediately apparent. However, the proposals identified and employed will be monitored and tracked for qualitative and quantitative improvements on the target area and system as a whole.

## INTRODUCTION

### **Purpose**

The Congestion Management Process (CMP) Report exists to provide the necessary information for the identification of areas with congestion or safety issues, to develop and assess potential mitigation strategies, and to support prioritization decisions on investments in short-term congestion and safety improvements. The creation and maintenance of the CMP Report is a requirement for all MPO's under Florida Law and for all TMA's under federal law. However, before an analysis of congestion can begin, the terms and identification of why congestion is a serious issue must first be defined.

Congestion can be defined qualitatively as a function of actual facility volume to accepted facility capacity (how many of a particular modal choice are utilizing a facility designed to accommodate "x" number of users), or qualitatively as how well you feel the facility is meeting your needs (taking too long, degree of maintenance satisfaction, etc.). Because planning for and providing safe and efficient mobility for people and goods is one of the most essential functions of transportation, identifying congestion management strategies that allow cost-effective ways to maintain and improve mobility is a high priority.

The CMP Report has an important role in the transportation planning process, but it is important to remember that the role of the CMP Report is to *support*, not supersede ongoing transportation planning processes. The report is designed to provide the framework within which decisions regarding cost-and-time effective investments in the transportation system can be readily made. The CMP Report accomplishes this by identifying congestion (through utilization of established methods of performance evaluation and monitoring), identifying alternative actions, and framing a process whereby recommended actions can be easily and cost-effectively incorporated into the pertinent planning and programming documents of the CRTPA and local governments where appropriate.

### **Organization of Report**

This report is divided into six chapters. Chapter One summarizes state and federal requirements with respect to the CMP Report and identifies the CMP modes of transportation within the reporting area (planning area). Chapter Two focuses on the establishment and subsequent results from the application of performance measures per travel mode. Chapter Three outlines CRTPA project evaluation criteria. Chapter Four identifies congestion management strategies/projects that could be undertaken or are being utilized currently in the planning area. Chapter Five outlines a CMS implementation plan, and Chapter Six summarizes the conclusions of the report.



## I. FRAMEWORK OF THE CRTPA CONGESTION MANAGEMENT PROCESS REPORT

### Congestion Management Process Study Area

The Capital Region Transportation Planning Agency (CRTPA) is the region's metropolitan planning organization (MPO). As such, the CRTPA is responsible for coordinating transportation planning within Florida's Capital Region. The CRTPA includes all of Leon, Wakulla, Gadsden, and Jefferson Counties. The general population of the planning area is between 370,000 and 371,000 people and is the home to the State Capitol, three large institutions of higher learning (Florida A&M University, Florida State University, and Tallahassee Community College), and several state parks and environmentally significant lands.

*Figure 1*, shown below, shows the planning area boundary of the CRTPA. Within this boundary, the CRTPA has the responsibility of coordinating safe and efficient mobility for cyclists, pedestrians, transit providers and passengers, air traffic, and automotive/truck transportation. With limited dollars, an every growing population, and high community values on protecting and preserving the environment and "neighborhood feel" of the planning area, the CRTPA shoulders a daunting responsibility that is scrutinized by both state and federal governments.



**Figure 1: CRTPA Planning Area Boundary**

## **State and Federal Requirements of the Congestion Management Process**

The legislation under which the state and federal governments direct the CRTPA to institute and manage a Congestion Management System (CMS) and concurrent Implementation Process *for* that system are identified below.

### **Federal Requirements**

Federal regulations define a CMS as a systematic process that provides information on transportation system performance and alternative strategies to alleviate congestion and enhance the mobility of persons and goods.

Federal regulations provide insight into the rationale behind the requirement of MPOs and TMA's to develop a CMS. The federal regulations for the development and implementation of CMS's were provided in 23 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 599 and 626, Management and Monitoring Systems, Subpart E – Traffic Congestion Management System, published December 1, 1993. A summary of relevant information from these regulations is provided below.

- ❖ Each state shall develop, establish, and implement, on a continuing basis, a CMS that results in the identification and implementation of strategies that provide the most efficient use of existing and future transportation facilities in all areas of the state, including metropolitan and non-metropolitan areas, where congestion is occurring or is expected to occur.
- ❖ In both metropolitan and non-metropolitan areas, consideration shall be given to strategies that reduce single occupant vehicle (SOV) travel and improve existing transportation system efficiency. Where the addition of general purpose lanes is determined to be an appropriate strategy, explicit consideration shall be given incorporating appropriate features into the SOV project to facilitate further demand management and operational improvement strategies to maintain the functional integrity of those lanes.
- ❖ Transportation corridors or facilities with existing or potential recurring congestion shall be identified and an assessment of the level of the current or potential congestion shall be made on a continuing basis.

The federal regulations define the CMS components as follows:

- ❖ ***Performance Measures***- Parameters shall be defined that will provide a measure of the extent of congestion and permit the evaluation of the effectiveness of congestion reduction and mobility enhancement strategies for the movement of people and goods.
- ❖ ***Data collection and systems monitoring*** – A continuous program of data collection and system monitoring shall be established to determine and monitor

the duration and magnitude of congestion and to evaluate the effectiveness of implemented actions.

❖ ***Identification and evaluation of proposed strategies*** - The anticipated performance and expected benefits of traditional and nontraditional strategies that will contribute to the more efficient use of existing and future transportation systems shall be identified and evaluated based upon the established performance measures. Strategies, or combinations of strategies, to be appropriately considered include, but are not limited to:

- Transportation demand management measures, such as carpooling, vanpooling, alternative work hours, telecommuting, and parking management;
- Traffic operational improvements, such as intersection and roadway widening, channelization, traffic surveillance and control systems, motorist information systems, ramp metering, traffic control centers, and computerized signal systems;
- Measures to encourage high occupancy vehicle (HOV) use, such as HOV lanes, guaranteed ride home programs, and employer trip reduction ordinances;
- Public transit capital improvements, such as exclusive rights-of-way (rail, bus ways, bus lanes) bus bypass ramps, park and ride and mode changes facilities, and paratransit services;
- Public transit operational improvements, such as service enhancements or expansions, traffic signal preemption, fare reductions, and transit information systems;
- Measures to encourage the use of non-traditional modes such as bicycle facilities, pedestrian facilities, and ferry service;
- Congestion pricing;
- Growth Management and activity center strategies;
- Access management techniques;
- Incident Management;
- Intelligent vehicle highway system and advanced public transportation system technology, and
- The addition of general purpose lanes.

- ❖ **Implementation of strategies** - For each strategy (or combination of strategies) proposed for implementation, an implementation schedule, implementation responsibilities, and possible funding sources shall be identified.
- ❖ **Evaluation of the effectiveness of implemented strategies** – A process for periodic assessment of the effectiveness of implemented strategies, in terms of the area’s established performance measures, shall be implemented. The results of this evaluation shall be provided to decisions makers to provide guidance on selection of effective strategies for future implementation.

### SAFETEA-LU

Additionally, the President of the United States signed into effect the “Safe, Accountable, Flexible, and Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users” (SAFETEA-LU) in August of 2005. This legislation redefined the Congestion Management System with a new title and angle of utility. The new title is the, “**Congestion Management Process**.” As part of the legislation, the following directive is found:

*Within a metropolitan planning area serving a transportation management area, the transportation planning process...shall address congestion management through a process that provides for effective management and operation, based on a cooperatively developed and implemented metropolitan-wide strategy, of new and existing transportation facilities eligible for funding under this title and chapter 53 of title 49 through the use of travel demand reduction and operational management strategies.*

Inherent in this new title, is an expectation that the new Congestion Management Process reports would not only identify potential congestion problems and mitigating strategies, but also identify the process whereby these strategies can be readily plugged into the transportation planning documents to effectuate change on the immediate horizon. In essence, the substitution of the words, “System, or Plan”, with the word, “Process” brought to light the importance of the CMP to become a dynamic tool that continually researches, updates, and moves strategies forward to implementation.

### State Requirements

Relevant portions of the applicable Florida Statutes are provided below. These requirements guide the development and application of the CRTPA Congestion Management Process.

- **Chapter Title XXVI, Chapter 339.175 (2002), Metropolitan Planning Organization** “In order to provide recommendations to the department and

local government entities regarding transportation plans and programs, each MPO shall prepare a congestion management system for the metropolitan area and cooperate with the department in the development of all other transportation management systems required by state or federal law.”

➤ **Chapter Title XXVI, Chapter 339.177 (2002), Transportation Management Programs**

“Each MPO within the state must develop and implement a congestion management system.” It continues that the CMS “should be developed and implemented so as to provide the information needed to make informed decisions regarding the proper allocation of transportation resources.” The CMS “must use appropriate data gathered at the state or local level to define problems, identify needs, analyze alternatives, and measure effectiveness.”



## II. PERFORMANCE MEASURES

This chapter presents a performance review of the CRTPA's multi-modal system.

### **Measurement of Congestion and Transportation System Performance**

There are numerous ways to measure congestion and system performance. Examples include roadway and transit level of service (LOS), crash rates, transit headways, vehicle miles traveled, volume to capacity ratios, and travel delay. Some of these performance measures require intricate data collection efforts or model simulations to produce detailed measurements of system performance. In updating the current Congestion Management Process (CMP) for the expanded CRTPA planning area, the availability of system wide comparable data was an important factor when selecting the performance measures per transportation mode.

### **Review of Best Practices**

A review of existing practices both in Florida and nationally was performed to help evaluate the existing congestion management performance measures and to identify possible alternative approaches.

### **Roadway Congestion Management Performance Measures**

By far, the most widely used measure for roadway analysis appeared to be a two-tiered approach, whereby FDOT's generalized LOS tables are used as a first step of analysis (to determine congestion) followed by a second level of more detailed analysis on select congested roadway facilities. Generally, this second level of analysis involves intersection analyses, model runs, the initiation of corridor management plans, or intricate software applications.

### **Pedestrian, Bicycle, and Transit Performance Measures**

For pedestrian, bicycle, and transit performance measures there appears to be little, if any consensus on a preferred approach. On one end of the spectrum, some Congestion Management Process Reports have treated these modes as strategies to manage congestion, and therefore, did not include any performance measures for them. Other reports have conducted extensive analyses on these modes, all with varying degrees and sophistication of available data.

### **Existing Performance Measures**

The existing CRTPA Congestion Management Process Plan was reviewed and evaluated against current state and federal CMS requirements to determine the applicability of current performance measures for roadway, transit, and bicycle and

pedestrian features. Guidelines for developing and selecting performance measures are as follows:

- Performance measures should provide a tool to evaluate transportation system performance and identify system deficiencies, based on an accepted standard of operation;
- Performance measures should provide the means to identify roadway system congestion at a level that facilitates the development of congestion management strategies;
- Performance measures should provide the means to evaluate the use of transit and non-traditional modes of transportation to alleviate roadway congestion and enhance mobility of persons and goods; and
- Performance measures should use, to the greatest extent practical, existing or easily obtainable data and resources to efficiently identify transportation system deficiencies.

Upon reviewing the current performance measures against the guidelines outlined above, it was determined that they were consistent with the current regulations and suitable for continued use. The performance measures chosen and resulting operational status of the transportation system are discussed on the following pages.

### **Roadway Performance Measures**

The approach the CRTPA CMP takes regarding performance measures for roadway evaluations is a modified two-level approach. During the first level, the roadway system is evaluated utilizing the 2007 Level of Service Analysis Tables for state roadways for Leon, Wakulla, Jefferson, and Gadsden Counties (and their municipalities). Note at the time of this analysis, the 2007 counts from FDOT were the latest data available. These LOS tables provide a quantitative stratification of quality of service that is easy to understand. Beginning in 1965, the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) divided highway quality of service into six letter grades that indicate operational conditions on roadways. The LOS ranges from “A” (highest achievable) to “F” (lowest achievable), and can be considered a qualitative measure of driver satisfaction. Additionally, a quantitative measure of maximum automotive volume is associated with the letter grades, A through F. Depending on several roadway characteristics such as number of lanes, population densities, and signal spacing, an acceptable maximum number of vehicles for each LOS category is determined. The 2007 Level of Service Analysis Tables take all of the FDOT roadway factors into consideration, and summarizes the current operating LOS of the roadway calculated from current traffic counts, as well as projections of LOS from projected growth trends. The LOS Analysis Tables are provided in **Appendix B**

For purposes of this first level of analysis, if the LOS on the roadway exceeds the adopted FDOT LOS for the roadway, it is considered congested. At times, the local government may have adopted a higher or lower LOS standard for these roadways...where this is the case, a note will be made. Upon identifying congested roadways, they can then be further analyzed using highway planning software and more specific roadway data conducted in level 2 of the analysis.

The second level of analysis will be conducted on an on-going basis by a combination or “team” of transportation professionals throughout the year. This team of professionals will be coordinating reviews of transportation projects and safety concerns throughout the year as they build toward the programming of transportation dollars throughout this region. This second tier analysis is explained in further detail in **Chapters 4 and 5**. The results of this level of analysis are not reported in this Process Report, but are included in subsequent work products (such as the Regional Mobility Plan for this region) and implemented as part of ongoing transportation plans and funding programs.

### **Roadway Performance Evaluations**

Upon reviewing the 2007 Level of Service Analysis Tables and projections for state roadways within the CRTPA boundary, summary tables were generated to identify those roadways identified as experiencing congestion in 2007, or projected to be experiencing congestion by 2012, or 2017. These Tables are provided as **Table A** for Leon County, **Table B** for Gadsden County, **Table C** for Chattahoochee in Gadsden County, **Table D** for Jefferson County, and **Table E** for Wakulla County. All five tables are included in **Appendix A** of this report.

From the FDOT Level of Service Analysis Tables, forty-five (45) roadway segments were identified to be congested in the year 2007 in Leon County by both FDOT and Leon County standards (35 operating at LOS “F”, 9 operating at LOS “E”, and 1 operating at LOS “D”). Additionally, one roadway segment which met FDOT’s adopted LOS of “D” was shown to be deficient by Leon County standards (operating at LOS “D”, with a Leon County adopted LOS of “C”). By the year 2012, 64 roadway segments (56 at LOS “F”, and 8 at LOS “E”) are projected to be congested, and 78 in year 2017 (70 at LOS “F”, 6 at LOS “E”, and 2 at LOS “D”).

For Gadsden County, two state roadways are projected to be operating below the adopted LOS of “C” in a future year. SR 267, from the Liberty County line to Spooner Road, is projected to be operating at LOS “D” in the year 2017, thereby experiencing congestion. Likewise, US 90 (from CR 269 to the start of the four-lane section) in Chattahoochee is projected to be operating at LOS “D” in the year 2017.

From the FDOT Level of Service Analysis Tables, only one roadway segment is projected to be congested in future years in Jefferson County. Interstate 10, from the Leon County line east to US 19, is projected to be operating at LOS “C” in future years 2012 and 2017. The FDOT adopted LOS for this segment is “B”. This roadway

segment is contained with a project in the adopted Year 2030 Long Range Transportation Plan Cost Feasible Plan.

Wakulla County has 4 roadway segments that are identified as congested per FDOT standards either by existing counts or projections for the years 2012 and 2017. Three of the congested roadway segments are on US 319, and the fourth remaining segment falls on US 98. None of the roadway segments are perceived as congested per Wakulla County adopted LOS standards for the roadways. The adopted LOS for these four segments are "C" per FDOT standards, and "E" per Wakulla County standards. The segment of US 98 (Bottoms Road to SR 375/US 319) is projected to be congested in the year 2017 by FDOT standards (projected at LOS "D"). The first segment of US 319 (from US 98 to Lower bridge Road) is projected to be congested per FDOT standards by the years 2012 and 2017 (operating at LOS "D" and "E", respectively). The second segment of US 319 (from Lower Bridge road to Bloxham Cutoff Road) was operating at LOS "D" in 2007, and is projected to be congested at LOS "E" in future years 2012 and 2017. The final segment of US 319 (from Bloxham Cutoff Road to the Leon County line) was operating at LOS "D" in 2007, and is projected to be congested at LOS "D" in both future years of 2012 and 2017. Currently there is a project in the adopted Year 2030 Long Range Transportation Plan Cost Feasible Plan that spans Harvey Mill Road to L. L. Wallace Road along Crawfordville Road (US 319). This project addresses the capacity issues along a large portion of the 3 congested segments of US 319.

Below is a list of the state roadways shown to be operating at LOS "F" after analyzing the 2007 FDOT traffic counts. These roadways offer a first glimpse of those that show an immediate need for congestion relief if possible. Those roadways shown in bold have been identified in whole or in part for improvement in the Year 2030 Cost Feasible Plan (CFP). Roadways #22 and #23, shown in **bold and underlined**, have improvements funded for the roadway segment by Blueprint 2000. Roadways shown with an asterisk\* represent roadways which may no longer be operating at LOS "F" due to roadway improvements now on the ground.

**Roadway Segments Current Operating at LOS "F" in the CRTPA Area:**

1. SR 10/ US 90/East Tennessee Street (North Monroe Street to North Meridian Street)
2. SR 10/US 90/East Tennessee Street (Capital Circle to Buck Lake Road)
3. **SR 10/ US 90/ East Tennessee Street (Buck Lake Road to SR 8/I-10)**  
(Roadway widening currently under construction from Dempsey Mayo Rd. to Interstate 10)
4. SR10/ US 90/ West Tennessee Street (Appleyard Drive to Ocala Road)
5. SR10/ US 90/ West Tennessee Street (Ocala Road to Woodward Avenue)

6. SR 20/ US 27/ Apalachee Parkway (Magnolia Drive to Blairstone Road)
7. **SR 20/ US 27/ Apalachee Parkway (Blairstone Road to SR 261/US 319/Capital Circle)** *(currently a sidewalk project is funded from Blair Stone Road east to Capital Circle, SE.)*
8. SR 61/ South Monroe Street (Orange Avenue to Kestner Street)
9. SR 61/ South Monroe Street (Kestner Street to Perkins Street)
10. SR 61/ South Monroe Street (Gaines Street to Apalachee Parkway)
11. SR 61/ South Monroe Street (Apalachee Parkway to Pensacola Street)
12. SR 61/ South Monroe Street (Pensacola Street to Tennessee Street)
13. SR 61/ US 27/ North Monroe Street (Tennessee Street to Brevard Street)
14. SR 61/ US 27/ North Monroe Street (Brevard Street to Thomasville Road)
15. SR 61/US 319 (North Monroe Street to 7<sup>th</sup> Avenue/Meridian Road)
16. SR 61/ US 319 (Killarney Way to Woodbine Drive)
17. SR 63/US27 (7<sup>th</sup> Avenue to Tharpe Street)
18. SR 63/US 27 (Tharpe Street to John Knox Road)
19. **SR 63/US 27 (Allen Road to I-10)**
20. **SR 63/US 27 (I-10 to Fred George Road/Crowder Road)**
21. SR 155/Meridian Road (John Knox Road to Lake Shore Drive)
22. **SR 261/US 319/Capital Circle (Woodville Highway to Monday Street)**  
*(Funded through Blueprint 2000, additional lanes under construction from Woodville Hwy. to Tram, additional lanes from Tram to Monday Street have been completed)*
23. **SR 261/US 319/Capital Circle (Monday Street to Apalachee Parkway)**  
*(Funded through Blueprint 2000, additional lanes constructed from Monday to Apalachee Parkway)*
24. SR 261/US319/Capital Circle (Park Avenue to Mahan Drive)

25. SR 261/US 319/Capital Circle (Mahan Drive to Miccosukee Road)
26. SR 261/US 319/Capital Circle (Miccosukee Road to Centerville Road)
27. SR 261/US 319/Capital Circle (Centerville Road to Eastgate Way)
- 28. SR 263/Capital Circle (Orange Avenue to Blountstown Highway)**  
*(Blueprint 2000 is anticipating construction on this roadway from approximately 1,650 feet north of Blountstown Hwy. to south of US 90 in 2009. Additionally, TIGER grant funds have been requested to construct the project to approximately 1,850 feet south of the Blountstown Hwy. intersection and east and west along Blountstown Highway for about 1,000 feet.*
- \* 29. SR 263/Capital Circle (Tharpe St. to the divided section 800' north of Brittany Ave.)  
*(This roadway segment has been widened to 6 lanes, and is unlikely to be LOS F at this time)*
- \* 30. SR 263/Capital Circle (Divided section 800 ' north of Brittany Avenue to I-10)  
*(This roadway segment has been widened to 6 lanes, and is unlikely to be LOS F at this time)*
- 31. SR 363/ Adams Street (Putnam Drive to Magnolia Drive)**
- 32. SR 363/ Adams Street (Magnolia Drive to Bronough Street)**
33. SR 366/Pensacola Street (South Ocala Road to Stadium Drive West)
34. SR 371/Lake Bradford Road (Coleman Street/Springhill Road to Gaines Street)
- 35. SR 373/Orange Avenue (Springhill Road to Holton Street)**

This list of roadways, combined with those identified in the Year 2030 Long Range Transportation Plan (adopted December 2005) provides a narrowed list of areas experiencing **recurring** congestion. That is, areas that are experiencing congestion as a factor of too many vehicles trying to use the roadway at the same time. Roadways listed above, that are not currently under construction should be studied further to identify congestion management strategies that could be effective in those locations.

#### Non-Recurring Congestion

Another type of congestion that affects roadways is **non-recurring**. Non-recurring congestion occurs when the roadway's carrying capacity is temporarily disrupted. FHWA identifies four causes of non-recurring congestion: roadway construction,

weather-related conditions, special events, and incidents, such as crashes and disabled vehicles. The FHWA estimates that about 25% of all congestion is incident related.

One way that incident-related congestion can be managed is through the review of safety data/crash data. Departments within the various CRTPA local governments frequently collect crash data on their own and conduct analyses for their respective local governments on how to address safety concerns. From a regional perspective, the CRTPA coordinates with the local governments of the region to collaboratively address safety issues and share information. This information is utilized in updates to the Regional Mobility Plan as well as on-going corridor studies, design, and construction projects within the CRTPA area. Additionally, the CRTPA participates in safety focus groups in the region including formalized groups such as the Community Traffic Safety Team and informal groups such as the Leon County Bicycle Safety Work Group. Attending and participating in meetings such as these helps the CRTPA filter the safety and congestion information into ongoing plans and public participation efforts.

For other types-of non-recurring congestion, the local police and sheriff's departments have done an effective job of responding to incidents and redirecting traffic away from the affected areas. Temporary road closures and change of travel direction on roadways has also been implemented to handle the efficient flow of heavy traffic to, from, and around special events, such as the Downtown Get Down, University Football games, and local events such as the Winter Festival of Lights, Springtime Tallahassee, and Holiday Parades.

### Local Roadways

Note that there are other roadways within the CRTPA boundary with identified congestion problems. These roadways are under the jurisdiction of the City or Town within which they operate.

The City of Tallahassee and Leon County both implement a concurrency management system, in which the transportation impacts, trip by trip, are loaded into a spreadsheet that tracks the amount of capacity remaining on a given segment of roadway. This system allows the local government to protect the capacity of the roadway system by disallowing or limiting further development in an area that is projected to experience transportation failure as a result of the project.

Wakulla County has adopted a concurrency management system whereby they annually update traffic counts and predict future year "development trips" to the roadway system based on historical growth trends. They are currently in the process of developing a mobility fee structure system from which to fund construction of the roadway system necessary to support approved developments. CRTPA staff will coordinate with the CRTPA local governments, including those in Jefferson and Gadsden Counties to identify a regional mechanism for sustaining growth in a fiscally responsible manner.

### **Transit Performance Measures and Evaluation**

The CRTPA recognizes the importance of having a good transit system for the area. The presence of a safe, affordable, and efficiently operating transit system – complete with reliably timed stops and an extended service area is a vision that the CRTPA members and the community they serve want to see become a reality. An effective and accessible transit system would assist those hit hardest by rising fuel costs to travel more cost effectively, would help relieve traffic congestion to a degree, and would contribute greatly toward achieving the multi-modal transportation network that this CRTPA desires.

The continual monitoring and updating of system performance indicators are key to building a successful transit system. An update to the StarMetro's Transit Development Plan (TDP) is currently underway associated with the development of the Regional Mobility Plan (RMP).

Additionally, after more than a half century of running a downtown-oriented transit system, StarMetro intends to undergo a complete restructuring in August of 2010. We call this decentralization plan Nova 2010. It will be based on several high frequency, unscheduled, independent routes that traverse the city without necessarily going downtown. Several other half-hour frequency routes will complete the system for a total of 11 routes. Nova 2010 will be completed using existing resources whether fiscal (salaries, fuel) or physical (buses, shelters, benches) and is intended to launch in August 2010.

Furthermore, efforts to address regional transit issues were initiated in 2009 with the initiation of the Regional Transit Study (RTS). This study is being developed by the consulting firm HDR with funding provided by the Florida Department of Transportation (Public Transit Office) and is being managed by the CRTPA. The study's purpose is to develop a long-term vision for transit within the capital region (Gadsden, Jefferson, Leon and Wakulla counties). The study will prepare an assessment of future transit needs for the four-county area; identify and assess realistic funding strategies; identify an organizational structure that will promote the development of a seamless, regional transit system; and identify an implementation strategy and milestones. The study is anticipated to be complete by January 2010 and will provide recommendations to move the CRTPA region towards more integrated transit coordination.

StarMetro is committed to the continued performance monitoring of the system so that ever-changing needs of the community are met as the CRTPA develops and expands. Additionally, CRTPA staff is committed to working with StarMetro to promote transit opportunities in the area. The cooperative working relationship is a winning combination and can be seen as transit representatives are becoming more visible and vocal at the CRTPA's subcommittee meetings (technical advisory committee, multi-modal advisory committee, and citizen's advisory committee meetings).

It should be noted that StarMetro is also the Community Transportation Coordinator (CTC) for Leon County. The CTC is responsible for overseeing the operations of the local transportation disadvantaged coordinating board for their county. These local coordinating boards are responsible for reviewing and discussing issues related to the provision (or lack thereof) of transportation services to those members in the community who are unable to provide their own transportation to vital services, such as medical appointments and employment due to physical or mental disability, economic status, or age. The CTC for Gadsden and Jefferson County is Big Bend Transit. The CTC for Wakulla County is the Senior Citizens Council. Information on the service needs in the respective counties inside the CRTPA boundary is shared with CRTPA and StarMetro staff. Coordination of this type helps in future planning of routes that can provide needed service to the transportation disadvantaged. Multi-county routes are under study for feasibility and cost-sharing.

### **Bicycle and Pedestrian Performance Measures and Evaluation**

The CRTPA is committed to expanding and improving the bicycle and pedestrian network in the CRTPA boundary. The commitment to planning for these modes of transportation was clear in the vision of the adopted Year 2025 Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan:

*“Ensure that Tallahassee-Leon County becomes a premier community known for its safe, accessible and interconnected pedestrian and bicycle system that provides mobility for all ages and abilities supports economic opportunity, and enhances public health.”*

The initiation of a Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan for Tallahassee-Leon County was a turning point in transportation planning for this community. The Plan is strategic -- planning for facilities and programs to improve safety, connectivity and comfort for the users on a 20-year horizon. The Plan development was built upon a combination of analytical methods, extensive research, and public participation. As with the Transit Renaissance Plan, discussed in the previous section, the Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan was initiated with the goal of reforming the transportation network into one that would not only improve upon the existing conditions for current users, but also expand facilities to entice new users and provide new options for travel.

Performance Measures for Bicycle and Pedestrian facilities in the report are similar in nature to those identified for transit. The measures are based on how well the system is serving the community, not on how much congestion the facilities are relieving from the roadways, or how congested the facilities are themselves. The Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan ranked roadways on Bicycle and Pedestrian Level of Service, which was a measure of the quality of the cycling and walking experience on the facility, as opposed to how many users were expected to be using the facility. In summary, bicycle LOS was highest on rural roads, and lower within the city (downtown). In contrast, pedestrian LOS was highest downtown, and lower outside the

city limits and on major arterials (lack of sidewalks and high speeds of vehicular traffic). Other measures evaluated were the safety factors, presence or lack of facilities, condition of the facilities, and if the facilities had gaps in between them.

The CRTPA has taken action on the findings of the Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan and has prioritized funding in the Long Range Transportation Plan for improving bicycle and pedestrian facilities within the region. In fact, the CRTPA Board has approved taking one million dollars of the top of discretionary funds and set them aside to fund bicycle and pedestrian projects in the CRTPA area. Additionally, funding has also been requested in Priority Project Lists (submitted to FDOT) to fund continual research and monitoring of the CRTPA transportation network, to identify further areas in need of bicycle and pedestrian improvements. This research and monitoring is used to update the Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan.

The resolve to improve the attractiveness and efficiency of the bicycle and pedestrian system in the CRTPA area is high. The Bicycle and Pedestrian Master, currently a stand-alone document, is currently in the process of being updated for the entire CRTPA area as part of the Regional Mobility Plan. The intent is to maximize the non-automotive mobility options available to people throughout the region for daily living. In the current economy, the region needs to be forward-thinking and provide for more affordable methods of transportation. Recognizing the importance of cost-effective choices for mobility, the local governments of Gadsden County and Wakulla County have submitted applications for the development of Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plans for their jurisdictions through the SAFETEA-LU Transportation Enhancement Funding Program. Additionally, active neighborhood groups are submitting applications for SAFETEA-LU funding for neighborhood sidewalk projects connecting their homes to area businesses, schools, and parks in the City of Tallahassee. Clearly the region is exhibiting signs of multimodal acceptance, and a desire turn ideas into reality via funding projects.

The City of Tallahassee has recently applied for the designation of “Bicycle Friendly Community” through the League of American Bicyclists. Through the application process, much data had to be gathered on the bicycle programs and infrastructure available within the City of Tallahassee. The designation recognizes communities for their efforts to increase the safety for cyclists, and for providing infrastructure and planning that enables and encourages safe cycling in the community. The application for the designation was a step forward for this CRTPA local government as it constitutes an outward statement that the local government wants to be bicycle friendly, and sees value in this transportation mode as not only an environmentally wise transportation choice, but an economical alternative to congestion.

### **III. IDENTIFICATION OF CONGESTION MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES**

In the past, efforts to manage traffic congestion were aimed primarily at expanding roadway infrastructure, typically adding additional through lanes for vehicular use. Today, it is understood that other measures can be employed to improve the operating efficiency of the existing transportation infrastructure. These measures are referred to as congestion management strategies.

#### **Existing Strategies to Reduce Congestion**

There are numerous technologies and administrative policies that have been used nationally and locally to manage congestion. These strategies improve the efficiency of the existing transportation infrastructure, without necessarily demanding a large cash-outlay to accomplish it. These strategies can be grouped into three general categories of application: Policy, Alternative Mode, and Technological.

#### **Policy Applications**

##### **Employment**

Policy applications can alter trip patterns on the roadways, and thereby, reduce congestion. For example, employers can allow flexible work hours, telecommuting, and incentives for carpooling to have a positive impact on alleviating congestion. The City of Tallahassee and Leon County governments, for example, both allow for flexible work hours and telecommuting within established parameters. Additionally, the City of Tallahassee provides incentives to employees for carpooling (in terms of parking fee waivers or reductions) and for choosing transit as a means to travel to and from work.

##### **Transportation and Land Use**

Land Use policies are in effect in the CRTPA boundary that encourage mixed use developments, provide for sector planning, require provisions for bicycles, pedestrians, and transit stops in large scale developments, and which require good access management standards to be upheld. Together, these requirements shape the CRTPA into a livable space that is multi-modal friendly.

Access Management includes everything from curb cut restrictions on local roads to minimum interchange spacing on freeways. Restricting turning movements on local roads can reduce accidents and prevent turning vehicles from impeding traffic flow. Other strategies include requiring shared access driveways, alleyways, and frontage roads when planning large scale developments or reviewing applicable site plans.

### Transportation Concurrency

Concurrency Management is another tool that is used in the CRTPA boundary to manage congestion. This tool allows the local government to protect the capacity of the roadway system by disallowing or limiting further development in an area that is projected to experience transportation failure as a result of the project. In regard to roads, a developer could be required to construct additional travel lanes or make improvements to intersections, incorporate bicycle and pedestrian amenities into the development plan, provide money or infrastructure for transit, or reduce the size of the project as a condition of approval.

Although no longer required to conduct concurrency analyses on new developments, the City of Tallahassee, Leon County, and Wakulla County will continue to utilize concurrency as a tool for managing roadway capacity.

CRTPA staff will coordinate with the CRTPA local governments, including those in Jefferson and Gadsden Counties to identify a regional mechanism for sustaining growth in a fiscally responsible manner. The creation of a mobility fee structure is currently being explored.

### Parking Management

Parking Management strategies can also be used with great success in the CRTPA boundary. Parking management reduces automotive trips to work, school, and shopping by reducing the number of parking opportunities in the area, and/or charging a large amount of money to park in the few spaces that exist. A successful parking management strategy depends on the presence of good bicycle, pedestrian, and transit services to and from large activity centers and neighborhoods to ensure that the lack of parking does not result in the inability to frequent the workplace, school, or shopping and entertainment centers.

### Congestion Pricing

Charging user fees for roadway travel is another strategy to not only reduce congestion and encourage alternative mode travel (non-charged), it also generates revenue. Congestion pricing can include charging prices to utilize higher level of service travel lanes, charging for use of an entire road or “zone” and even charging fees for use of the entire roadway system.

Introducing “user fees” for the roadway system can alter traveler mode choice, route choice, and even residence, school, and employment choices. However, congestion pricing carries with it an environmental justice issue that is not yet well-understood throughout the nation. Additionally, there are revenue collection and investment issues as well as administrative and technological costs to be considered when entertaining this type of pricing system.

The CRTPA area has examined the possibility of congestion pricing and the use of toll facilities in updates to the long range transportation plan. However, because of the

environmental justice issues and political questions of how to successfully and responsibly administer such a system in a planning area that is neither economically vibrant nor critically congested, congestion pricing strategies have not been embraced.

### **Alternative Modes**

Congestion can be reduced through the introduction and promotion of alternative modes of transportation to the personal automobile. Improving and expanding the facilities that service pedestrians, bicyclists, and transit providers/users can have a positive impact on changing the way people travel. Additionally, investments in these modes is often less expensive than adding travel lanes to roadway segments.

Strategies that can be employed in the alternative mode category include increasing the amount of resources allocated to these modes in financial program documents (Long Range Transportation Plan, Transportation Improvement Plans, etc.), building additional sidewalks and bicycle lanes, park and ride lots for car pools and transit, funding activity center shuttles, and adding safety features to the amenities such as proper lighting, shelter, and emergency phones (call stations).

The Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan provides a wealth of guidance on where additional bicycle and pedestrian improvements are needed in the CRTPA area. Likewise, the Tallahassee Transit Renaissance Plan provides guidance on the types of improvements that could really have a positive impact on transit ridership, and subsequently, congestion. Some of these suggestions include increasing transit coverage area, providing new shuttle services between employers and activity/shopping centers, providing more bus shelters, and constructing sidewalks to existing bus shelters.

### **Technology**

#### Intelligent Transportation Systems

Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) have been shown to be very effective tools in the CMS process. ITS can be defined as the application of management strategies and technologies to better increase the efficiency and safety of the surface transportation system.

The benefits of an ITS system are many. Everything from increased traffic signal synchronization to hurricane evacuation to early warning systems for congested highways can be achieved through deployment of ITS strategies. The ITS aspect is important to the CMS process.

The City of Tallahassee has been continually updating and expanding its ITS architecture to provide more efficiency and safety to the transportation system. Each year dollars are spent on ITS architecture that can sophisticate our methods of detecting

and responding to inefficiencies of the transportation network. In place are traffic monitoring cameras on a majority of the downtown traffic signals which allow engineers in the command station to observe traffic congestion problems over a wide area. Detection of problems at select intersections can alert engineers of a need to repair a signal, or adjust signal timing at that intersection. There are also advance traveler information signs on I-10 that can alert drivers of travel conditions within other areas of the boundary so that they can avoid certain areas, and reduce congestion.

#### Analysis, Design, and Construction

Software exists today that can be of great benefit to planners and engineers when determining if physical changes to the roadway network should be done to increase the efficiency or safety of the system. One strategy to reduce congestion is intersection redesign to increase capacity or allow pedestrian refuge. Existing roadways can also be redesigned or restriped to designate existing lanes as High Occupancy Vehicle Lanes (HOV lanes), or to create a new travel lane from the existing median or bicycle and pedestrian facilities in the existing right-of-way. Software and analysis techniques can help planners and engineers determine with more accuracy the viability of a particular proposed strategy on a given intersection or roadway link.

#### Identifying Appropriate Strategies

Congestion management strategies are not one size fits all. Instead, the congested roadways or intersections must be examined carefully to determine which management strategy will best address the particular problems. Screening questions need to be asked to better evaluate the benefits and appropriateness of a particular strategy for solving the congestion and/or safety issues of a particular project. A sample of some screening questions that should be asked when exploring congestion management strategy options are as follows:

- Is the congested roadway in an area that could benefit from transit service or additional bicycle and pedestrian improvements?
- Does available right-of-way or median width exist for the improvement?
- If an intersection project is being considered, does the intersection geometry allow the proposed fix while maintaining design standards?
- Does the modification improve safety?
- Does the roadway segment present many opportunities for improvement? If so, should a Corridor Management Plan be recommended to further evaluate the most cost-effective plan of action?

## **IV. EVALUATION OF CONGESTED FACILITIES, & SELECTION OF MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES**

### **Congestion Management Review Team**

In the CRTPA area, thirty-five (35) of the regional roadway segments have been identified as operating at LOS “F” in 2007. These thirty-five (35) areas of the transportation network require a second level of evaluation to determine which congestion management strategy (or strategies) identified earlier would be the most appropriate to address the specific problem. This second tier of evaluation relies upon the use of screening questions to quickly identify impediments and benefits associated with the strategy in question, and technically qualified personnel who are able to analyze the possibilities and answer the questions. Thus, the evaluation of congested network areas requires the coordinated review efforts of many individuals throughout the CRTPA area – they will be referred to as, “the review team”.

The review team will include technically qualified staff members from each CRTPA local government representing working knowledge in the areas of traffic engineering and ITS, intersection analysis, access management, roadway design standards, transit planning, land use planning, concurrency, transportation planning, bicycle and pedestrian planning, and roadway construction costs. The review team will evaluate congested roadways and intersections as requested by the CRTPA, and its advisory committees, and at its own discretion, the team may evaluate local roads and intersections of interest for congestion management improvements.

A recent downturn of the local economy has forced local governments to do more with fewer staff resources. These budgetary and staff reductions have severely limited the amount of time that all review team members have to devote to any one initiative, requiring the CRTPA to initiate and coordinate congestion management discussions within other on-going transportation-related meetings. Meetings will be coordinated throughout the year with other transportation projects and initiatives within the planning area. Because congestion management strategies are often implemented through capital improvement budgets, the Transportation Improvement Plan, and adopted changes in local government policy (such as in comprehensive plan amendments, etc.), tying congestion management project/strategy discussions with other MPO coordination projects is both a logical and an efficient coordination effort. The entire review team is present in the transportation technical subcommittee to the CRTPA, but staff also meets no less than quarterly with focus groups/special project groups to coordinate congestion management strategy discussions and initiatives. For example, the CRTPA meets regularly with groups such as Commuter Services of North Florida, the Community Traffic Safety Team, Bicycle Safety Work Group, Tallahassee-Leon County Planning Department, and several other project groups that are working toward reducing congestion within the region through non-motorized improvements to the system, increased transit services, and inventive changes to the existing network such as adding bus rapid transit lanes to congested inner-city locations.

Recommendations from the review team will be forwarded to the CRTPA subcommittees for review, and subsequently to the CRTPA for further consideration and approval. These recommendations may take place within other agenda items, such as the Long Range Transportation Plan Update, Priority Project List, Transportation Enhancement Projects, etc., or they may be presented as stand-alone items under discussion.

### **Prioritization of Projects to be Implemented**

Since congestion mitigation strategies cannot be implemented for all of the congested facilities simultaneously, a systematic method for determining which congested facilities and strategies should be given the highest consideration must be in place. Additionally, because staff time is limited, the process must also lend efficiency. Outlined below is the process by which congested facilities under evaluation could be paired with appropriate congestion management strategies, and then prioritized for implementation.

1. The facility is identified in the CMP Report as experiencing congestion, or there is a special request by the CRTPA or its subcommittees to evaluate the facility.
2. The facility is evaluated by Congestion Management Review Team Members for appropriate congestion management strategies to resolve or lessen the congestion (or safety issue).
3. The facility and proposed strategy are compared against the established evaluation criteria to determine initial prioritized ranking for further consideration.
4. The recommended projects or strategies are assembled in an action item for the CRTPA subcommittees and CRTPA to respond to.
5. The proposed projects are included on the next Priority Project Lists for inclusion and funding programming in the appropriate documents (Transit Development Plan, Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan, Long Range Transportation Plan, FDOT Work Program, etc.).
6. If the proposed project is a policy directive, or action to be taken by a governmental entity other than the CRTPA, appropriate documents will be generated and presented to the governing bodies for action. (Includes comprehensive plan amendments, land development regulation amendments, capital improvement plan amendments, etc. associated with local government action outside of the CRTPA purview.)

### Evaluation Criteria

The evaluation criteria and associated point values were drawn in part from the evaluation criteria and weighting schedules presently in place in currently approved CRTPA planning documents. Initial guidance was taken from the currently adopted Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan and Long Range Transportation Plan, both of which reflected considerable public participation in the establishment of their goals, objectives, and evaluation criteria. The proposed list of evaluation criteria and scoring was then reviewed and tweaked by the CRTPA subcommittees and CRTPA to result in a final list to be used by the review team. The resulting list is shown in **Table 1**, below.

**Table 1: Congestion Management Strategy Evaluation Criteria**

<b>PLANNING FACTOR</b>	<b>SCORE</b>
<b>Existing Capacity Deficiency</b>	
The project has high benefits to directly reducing current traffic congestion.	<b>3</b>
The project has moderate benefits for directly reducing congestion.	<b>2</b>
The project has low benefits for directly reducing congestion.	<b>1</b>
<b>System Improvements</b>	
The project enhances current roadway service, and also enhances bicycle/pedestrian and/or transit services in the area.	<b>2</b>
The project enhances current roadway service, or extends bicycle/pedestrian and/or transit services to new areas.	<b>1</b>
<b>Connectivity to Schools and Regional Economic Hubs</b>	
The project is located on or affects direct access to schools/colleges/airports/AND tourist routes or high employment areas.	<b>2</b>
The project is located off of or affects direct access to schools/colleges/airports/ OR tourist routes or high employment areas.	<b>1</b>
<b>Multi-Modal Interconnectivity</b>	
The project promotes linkages between modes of transportation	<b>2</b>
The project fills in facility gaps for at least one mode of transportation	<b>1</b>
<b>Safety Projects</b>	
The project addresses a documented safety problem.	<b>2</b>
The project increases pedestrian safety at high traffic locations	<b>1</b>
<b>Project Implementation Barriers</b>	
The project has no identifiable implementation barriers.	<b>3</b>
The project has right-of-way/drainage, signal/utility, or landscaping barriers.	<b>2</b>
The project has public acceptance barriers.	<b>1</b>

**NOTE:** Public input provides an additional measure. A value between zero and three points can be assigned to a project strategy based on the number of comments related to the same issue, apparent validity of the issue, and public input on the severity of the problem. The public input measure comes from the review team's personal experience and reports from the public, and also as reported from the CRTPA Advisory Committees.

### Results of Priority Ranking

The points that each project earned under each planning consideration are added together, and the higher the scores, the more beneficial the strategy is considered to be. The more beneficial the project is revealed to be, the more attention that project should attract when competing for implementation funding.

Note that although this process *results in a numerically listed group of projects, it does not dictate or supersede any priority project list approved by the CRTPA*. The priority ranking process is merely a tool to assist decision-makers in quickly identifying options so that quick progress can be made on implementing congestion management strategies.

Upon generating and reviewing a priority-ranking list of recommended projects, the Review Team and CRTPA can apply recommendations and value points outside of the established criteria to specific projects where deemed logical...changing the priority-ranking list. One example of this would be if the number one project was expensive, and the number 2, 3, and 4 projects could be constructed with the same amount of funding and in the same time span as priority project #1, the Review Team and CRTPA may recommend that the benefits of immediately implementing three high ranking projects outweighs, in their professional opinion, implementing only the top project at that time. Other factors of consideration could include if the project segment was currently under study in a corridor management plan, or on a funding list in the TIP, or FDOT Work Program.

## **V. IMPLEMENTATION PLAN**

Congestion Management Strategies selected for implementation will be forwarded to the appropriate decision-making entities for approval and programming. For state and federal roadways, the projects will be forwarded to the CRTPA for discussion and consideration. Upon approval, they will then follow the same funding sequence as other regional projects. In most cases, the projects will be entered in Priority Project Lists for the Transportation Improvement Program, and then included in the 5<sup>th</sup> Year of the FDOT Five Year Work Program. In some cases, congestion management strategies could qualify for funding under enhancement projects, which are also reviewed and prioritized by the CRTPA subcommittees, CRTPA, and then evaluated by FDOT upon receipt. CRTPA staff will be the responsible entity for requesting approval of congestion management strategies on regional roadways. Note that the FDOT allocates a funding source annually to be used for congestion management and safety projects in the CRTPA boundary. The Congestion Management Review Team should strive to identify projects each year that can be implemented using these funds.

For congestion management strategies requiring local funding, the projects will be forwarded for review to the appropriate local governing board for consideration.

### **Monitoring Strategic Effectiveness**

The monitoring of the levels of congestion in the CRTPA area is an ongoing process through concurrency, traffic engineering, corridor studies, and updates to the Long Range Transportation Plan, Transit development Plan, and Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan. However, more detailed data is needed on the facilities in Wakulla, Gadsden, and Jefferson Counties to be comparable with the information that is available for Leon County and the City of Tallahassee regarding traffic counts, concurrency tables, and safety data.

Because this is a continuous planning and monitoring process, the effectiveness and benefits of the individual congestion mitigation strategies employed in the previous year will not necessarily be immediately apparent. However, the proposals identified and employed will be monitored and tracked for qualitative and quantitative improvements on the target area and system as a whole. Note that the CRTPA considers the expansion of bicycle, pedestrian, and transit services and facilities as a success in congestion management by the merits of introducing viable alternatives to the personal automobile.

**Updates**

The CMP is updated in accordance with current legislation. It is intended that each update of the CMP will bring about better and more efficient strategies for identifying congestion and targeting cost-effective solutions. Provided there is available funding, future updates should incorporate additional data sets, such as travel time and am/pm peak hour LOS counts for the entire planning region of the CRTPA. These additional data sets would enable the update to assess congestion and the effectiveness of congestion management strategies on a more refined level. Additionally, it would be preferred if travel time data could be gathered with the use of global positioning system (GPS) receivers so that the data is readily compatible with Geographic Information Software (GIS) which would be helpful in the creation of travel time maps and reports, for this and other transportation projects in the region.

## VI. CONCLUSION

The CRTPA updated its Congestion Management Process (CMP) in agreement with current legislation to identify a process that could be used to identify low-cost congestion management strategies on a narrow list of projects and could be implemented within a short time frame. The CMP was developed using the best practices and data available for the CRTPA area. Within the CMP, performance measures, strategies, and prioritization criteria were outlined, and a list of roadway segments and projects were identified for monitoring and further evaluation.

A methodology for bringing together a group of professionals (review team) to identify and evaluate the merits of applying various congestion management strategies to improve the operations of the transportation network has been established. The members of the Review Team will both collectively and independently use their expertise and knowledge of ongoing and proposed roadway/traffic operational improvements within the CRTPA area to ensure that the proposed CMS projects do not duplicate other ongoing planned projects. This ensures that projects are planned and programmed cost effectively.

This CMP has identified the overall level of congestion in the CRTPA area and has highlighted the most problematic areas. The plan also defines a process for moving identified congested roadways and problematic intersections from a “problem list” to “on the ground improvements”, through avenues of incorporation into the Transportation Improvement Program, Long Range Transportation Plan, Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan, Transit Development Plan, and other Transportation Master Plans.

### **Looking Toward the Future**

The CMP Update is a continually evolving process...dynamic in nature and requiring change as the CRTPA area changes and grows. It is desirable that future updates incorporate additional data sets, such as travel time and am/pm. peak hour LOS counts for the entire planning area, however, due to recent trends with the local economy, it is unlikely that this will be a possibility in the near future.

CRTPA staff would like to hire a consultant in the future to prepare a comprehensive CMP Update, including data gathering in the scope of services. A consultant that has the capability to gather travel time data with global positioning system (GPS) receivers would be preferred in this endeavor. The GPS receivers can automatically record vehicle position, speed, and time along the entire length of the route at short time intervals, even as often as one second, and within an accuracy of one meter. The GPS data is readily compatible with Geographic Information Software (GIS), which would be helpful in the creation of travel time maps and reports for this and other transportation projects in the region.



# **APPENDIX A**

## **CRTPA Existing and Projected Congested Roadways**

**Table A: Leon County**

**Table B: Gadsden County**

**Table C: Chattahoochee**

**Table D: Jefferson County**

**Table E: Wakulla County**

---



**Table A: Level of Service on State Roads in Leon County**

<b>Roadway</b>	<b>Functional Classification</b>	<b>LOS Standard</b>	<b>Congested Year 2007</b>	<b>Congested Year 2012</b>	<b>Congested Year 2017</b>
<b>Interstate-10</b> (Gadsden County Line to SR 263/Capital Circle/ Tallahassee Urbanized Area Boundary)	Principal Arterial/ Interstate	C	No	No	Yes (D)
<b>Interstate-10</b> (SR 263/Capital Circle/Tallahassee Urbanized Area Boundary to SR 63/US27/N. Monroe St)	Principal Arterial/ Interstate	C	No	Yes (E)	Yes (F)
<b>Interstate-10</b> (SR 63/US 27/ North Monroe Street to SR 61/US319/Thomasville Road)	Principal Arterial/ Interstate	C	Yes (D)	Yes (E)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 10/US90/East Tennessee St.</b> (SR61/US 27/ N. Monroe St. to N. Meridian St.)	Principal Arterial	D	Yes (F)	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 10/US 90/East Tennessee St.</b> (North Meridian St. to CR 1555 /Franklin Boulevard)	Principal Arterial	D	No	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 10/US 90/East Tennessee St.</b> (CR1555/Franklin Blvd. to SR 265/N. Magnolia Dr.)	Principal Arterial	D	Yes (E)	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 10/US 90/East Tennessee St.</b> (SR 265/N. Magnolia Dr. to SR 261/US319/Capital Circle)	Principal Arterial	D	No	No	Yes (F)
<b>SR 10/US 90/East Tennessee St.</b> (SR261/US319/CapitalCircle to CR 1568/Buck Lake Road)	Principal Arterial	D	Yes (F)	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 10/US 90/East Tennessee St.</b> (CR 1568/Buck Lake Rd. to SR 8/I-10)	Principal Arterial	D	Yes (F)	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 10/US 90</b> (I-10 to Baum Road)	Principal Arterial	D	No	Yes (E)	Yes (F)

*\*\* Note: The letter inside the parentheses in the congested columns signify the operating LOS.*

**Table A: Level of Service on State Roads in Leon County**

<b>Roadway</b>	<b>Functional Classification</b>	<b>LOS Standard</b>	<b>Congested Year 2007</b>	<b>Congested Year 2012</b>	<b>Congested Year 2017</b>
<b>SR 10/US 90</b> (Appleyard Dr. to Ocala Rd.)	Principal Arterial	D	Yes (F)	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 10/US 90</b> (Ocala Rd. to SR 157/Woodward Ave.)	Principal Arterial	D	Yes (F)	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 10/US 90</b> (SR 157/Woodward Ave. to Macomb St.)	Principal Arterial	D	Yes (E)	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 10/US 90</b> (Macomb St. to SR 61/US 90/Monroe St.)	Principal Arterial	D	Yes (E)	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 20 Blountstown Highway</b> (Barineau Road to SR 263/Capital Circle)	Principal Arterial	D	Yes (E)	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 20/US27/ Apalachee Pkwy</b> (SR 61/Monroe St. to SR 265/Magnolia Dr.)	Principal Arterial	D	No	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 20/US 27/Apalachee Pkwy</b> (SR 265/Magnolia Dr. to Blairstone Rd.)	Principal Arterial	D	Yes (F)	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 20/US 27/Apalachee Pkwy</b> (Blairstone Rd. to SR 261/US319/Capital Cr.)	Principal Arterial	D	Yes (F)	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 20/US27/Apalachee Pkwy.</b> (SR 261/US 319/Cap. Cir. to Southwood Pl.)	Principal Arterial	D	No	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 61/South Monroe St.</b> (Orange Ave. to Kestner St.)	Principal Arterial	D	Yes (F)	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 61/South Monroe St.</b> (Kestner St. to Perkins St.)	Principal Arterial	D	Yes (F)	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 61/South Monroe St.</b> (SR 371/Gaines St. To SR 20/US 27/Apalachee Pkwy)	Principal Arterial	D	Yes (F)	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 61/South Monroe St.</b> (SR 20/US27/Apalachee Pkwy. To	Principal Arterial	D	Yes (F)	Yes (F)	Yes (F)

*\*\* Note: The letter inside the parentheses in the congested columns signify the operating LOS*

**Table A: Level of Service on State Roads in Leon County**

<b>Roadway</b>	<b>Functional Classification</b>	<b>LOS Standard</b>	<b>Congested Year 2007</b>	<b>Congested Year 2012</b>	<b>Congested Year 2017</b>
Pensacola St.)					
<b>SR 61/South Monroe St.</b> (Pensacola St. to SR 10/US 90/ Tennessee St.)	Principal Arterial	D	Yes (F)	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 61/US 27/N. Monroe St.</b> (SR 10/US 90/ Tennessee St to Brevard St.)	Principal Arterial	D	Yes (F)	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 61/US 27/N. Monroe St.</b> (Brevard St. to SR 63/US 27/N. Monroe St.)	Principal Arterial	D	Yes (F)	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 61/US 319</b> (SR 63/ US27/N. Monroe to SR 155/Meridian St./7 <sup>th</sup> Ave.)	Principal Arterial	D	Yes (F)	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 61/US 319</b> (East Betton Rd. to Live Oak Plantation Rd.)	Principal Arterial	D	No	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 61/ US 319</b> (SR 8/I-10 to SR 261/Market St./Capital Circle)	Principal Arterial	D	Yes (E)	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 61/ US 319</b> (SR 261/Market Street/Capital Cir to Killarney Way)	Principal Arterial	D	No	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 61/ US 319</b> (Killarney Way to Woodbine Dr.)	Principal Arterial	D	Yes (F)	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 61/ US 319</b> (Woodbine Dr. to Velda Dairy Rd.)	Principal Arterial	D C*	NO Yes (D)	Yes (F) Yes (F)	Yes (F) Yes (F)
<b>SR 61/ US 319/</b> (Velda Dairy Rd. to Kinhega Dr.)	Principal Arterial	D	No	No	Yes (F)
<b>SR 61/ SR 363/Adams Street</b> (SR 61 Crawfordville Hwy. to SR 373/ Orange Avenue)	Principal Arterial	D	No	No	Yes (F)

*\*\* Note: The letter inside the parentheses in the congested columns signify the operating LOS*

**Table A: Level of Service on State Roads in Leon County**

<b>Roadway</b>	<b>Functional Classification</b>	<b>LOS Standard</b>	<b>Congested Year 2007</b>	<b>Congested Year 2012</b>	<b>Congested Year 2017</b>
<b>SR 63/US 27</b> (SR 61/ Thomasville Rd. to 7 <sup>th</sup> Ave.)	Principal Arterial	D	Yes (E)	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 63/US 27</b> (7 <sup>th</sup> Ave. to CR 158/Tharpe Street)	Principal Arterial	D	Yes (F)	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 63/US 27</b> (CR 158/Tharpe St. to John Knox Rd./Monticello Dr.)	Principal Arterial	D	Yes (F)	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 63/US 27</b> (John Knox Rd./Monticello Dr. to Allen Rd.)	Principal Arterial	D	No	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 63/US 27</b> (Allen Rd. to SR 8/I-10)	Principal Arterial	D	Yes (F)	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 63/US 27</b> (SR 8/I-10 to CR 356/Fred George Rd./Crowder Rd .)	Principal Arterial	D	Yes (F)	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 155/Meridian Rd.</b> (John Knox Rd. to Lake Shore Dr.)	Major Arterial	D	Yes (F)	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 261/US 319/Capital Circle</b> (SR 363/ Woodville Hwy. to Monday St.)	Principal Arterial	D	Yes (F)	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 261/US 319/Capital Circle</b> (Monday St. to SR 20/US 27/Apalachee Pkwy)	Principal Arterial	D	Yes (F)	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 261/US 319/Capital Circle</b> (SR 20/US 27/Apalachee Pkwy to Park Ave.)	Principal Arterial	D	No	No	Yes (F)
<b>SR 261/US 319/Capital Circle</b> (Park Ave. to SR 10/US 90/Mahan Dr.)	Principal Arterial	D	Yes (F)	Yes (F)	Yes (F)

*\*\* Note: The letter inside the parentheses in the congested columns signify the operating LOS*

**Table A: Level of Service on State Roads in Leon County**

<b>Roadway</b>	<b>Functional Classification</b>	<b>LOS Standard</b>	<b>Congested Year 2007</b>	<b>Congested Year 2012</b>	<b>Congested Year 2017</b>
<b>SR 261/US 319/Capital Circle</b> (SR10/US90/Mahan Dr. To CR 146/Miccosukee Rd.)	Principal Arterial	D	Yes (F)	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 261/US 319/Capital Circle</b> (CR 146/Miccosukee Rd. to CR151/Centerville Rd.)	Principal Arterial	D	Yes (F)	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 261/US 319/Capital Circle</b> (CR 151/Centerville Rd. to Eastgate Way)	Principal Arterial	D	Yes (F)	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 261/US 319/Capital Circle</b> (Eastgate Way to SR 61/US319/Thomasville Hwy.)	Principal Arterial	D	No	Yes (E)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 263/Capital Circle</b> (SR 61/US319/ Thomasville Rd. to North Footer Bridge)	Principal Arterial	D	No	No	Yes (E)
<b>SR 263/Capital Circle</b> (SR 61/US 319/Crawfordville Rd. to CR 2203/Springhill Rd.)	Principal Arterial	D	No	No	Yes (E)
<b>SR 263/Capital Circle</b> (CR 2203/Springhill Rd. to Airport Entrance)	Principal Arterial	D	No	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 263/Capital Circle</b> (Airport Entrance to SR 371/Orange Ave.)	Principal Arterial	D	No	Yes (E)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 263/Capital Circle</b> (SR 371/Orange Ave. to SR 20/Blountstown Hwy.)	Principal Arterial	D	Yes (F)	Yes (F)	Yes (F)

*\*\* Note: The letter inside the parentheses in the congested columns signify the operating LOS*

**Table A: Level of Service on State Roads in Leon County**

<b>Roadway</b>	<b>Functional Classification</b>	<b>LOS Standard</b>	<b>Congested Year 2007</b>	<b>Congested Year 2012</b>	<b>Congested Year 2017</b>
<b>SR 263/Capital Circle</b> (SR 20/Blountstown Hwy. to SR 10/US 90/Tennessee St.)	Principal Arterial	D	Yes (E)	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 263/Capital Circle</b> (CR 158A/Tharpe St. to the divided section, 800' north of Brittany Avenue)	Principal Arterial	D	Yes (F)	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 263/Capital Circle</b> (Divided section{800' north of Brittany Avenue} to SR8/I-10)	Principal Arterial	D	Yes (F)	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 263/Capital Circle</b> (SR 8/I-10 to Gearhart Rd.)	Principal Arterial	D	No	No	Yes (E)
<b>SR 263/Capital Circle</b> (Gearhart Rd. to CR 356/Fred George Rd.)	Principal Arterial	D	No	No	Yes (E)
<b>SR 263/Capital Circle</b> (CR 356/Fred George rd. to SR 63/ US 27/ N. Monroe St.)	Principal Arterial	D	No	No	Yes (F)
<b>SR 265/Magnolia Dr.</b> (SR 20/ US 27/ Apalachee Parkway to Park Ave.)	Minor Arterial	D	No	No	Yes (F)
<b>SR 265/Magnolia Dr.</b> (Park Ave. to SR 10/US 90/Tennessee St.)	Minor Arterial	D	No	Yes (E)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 265/Magnolia Dr.</b> (CR 146/Miccosukee Rd. to east 7 <sup>th</sup> Ave.)	Minor Arterial	D	Yes (E)	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 363/Woodville Highway</b> (N. Urban Boundary {.9 miles south of SR 261/Capital Circle}to SR 261/SR 262/Capital Circle)	Minor Arterial	D	No	Yes (F)	Yes (F)

*\*\* Note: The letter inside the parentheses in the congested columns signify the operating LOS*

**Table A: Level of Service on State Roads in Leon County**

<b>Roadway</b>	<b>Functional Classification</b>	<b>LOS Standard</b>	<b>Congested Year 2007</b>	<b>Congested Year 2012</b>	<b>Congested Year 2017</b>
<b>SR 363/Adams Street</b> (Putnam Drive to Magnolia Dr.)	Principal Arterial	D	Yes (F)	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 363/Adams Street</b> (Magnolia Dr. to Bronough St.)	Principal Arterial	D	Yes (F)	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 366/Pensacola St.)</b> (SR 20/Blountstown Hwy to Appleyard Dr.)	Principal Arterial	D	No	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 366/Pensacola Street</b> (Appleyard Drive to South Ocala Road)	Principal Arterial	D	Yes (E)	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 366/Pensacola St.)</b> (South Ocala Rd. to Stadium Dr. W.)	Principal Arterial	D	Yes (F)	Yes (F)	Yes (F)

*\*\* Note: The letter inside the parentheses in the congested columns signify the operating LOS*

**Table A: Level of Service on State Roads in Leon County**

<b>Roadway</b>	<b>Functional Classification</b>	<b>LOS Standard</b>	<b>Congested Year 2007</b>	<b>Congested Year 2012</b>	<b>Congested Year 2017</b>
<b>SR 369/ US 319/Crawfordville Rd.</b> (Wakulla County Line to SR 61/Wakulla Springs Rd.)	Principal Arterial	D C*	No No	No No	No Yes (D)
<b>SR 371/Gaines St.</b> (SR 371/Lake Bradford Rd. to Railroad Avenue)	Minor Arterial	D	No	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 371/Gaines St.</b> (Railroad Avenue to Martin Luther King Boulevard)	Minor Arterial	D	No	No	Yes (F)
<b>SR 371/Gaines St.</b> (Martin Luther King Boulevard to Bronough St.)	Minor Arterial	D	No	Yes (E)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 371/Gaines St.</b> (Bronough St. to SR 61/s. Monroe St)	Minor Arterial	D	No	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 371/Lake Bradford Road</b> (CR 2205/Lake Bradford Rd. to Coleman St. /Springhill Rd.)	Minor Arterial	D	No	No	Yes (E)
<b>SR 371/Lake Bradford Road</b> (Coleman St. /Springhill Rd. to SR 371/Gaines St.)	Minor Arterial	D	Yes (F)	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 373/Orange Ave.</b> (CR 2203/Springhill Rd. to Holton St.)	Minor Arterial	D	Yes (F)	Yes (F)	Yes (F)
<b>SR 373/Orange Ave.</b> (SR 363/S. Adams St. to SR 61/S. Monroe St.)	Minor Arterial	D	No	Yes (E)	Yes (E)

*\*\* Note: The letter inside the parentheses in the congested columns signify the operating LOS*

**Table B: Level of Service on State Roads in Gadsden County**

Roadway	Functional Classification	LOS Standard	Congested Year 2007	Congested Year 2012	Congested Year 2017
<b>SR 267</b> (Liberty County Line to Spooner Road)		C	No	No	Yes (D)

*Note: The letter inside the parentheses in the congested columns signifies the operating LOS.*

**Table C: Level of Service on State Roads in Chattahoochee**

Roadway	Functional Classification	LOS Standard	Congested Year 2007	Congested Year 2012	Congested Year 2017
<b>US 90</b> (CR 269 to start of four-lane section)	Principal Arterial	C	No	No	Yes (D)

*\*\* Note: The letter inside the parentheses in the congested columns signify the operating LOS.*

**Table D: Level of Service on State Roads in Jefferson County**

Roadway	Functional Classification	LOS Standard	Congested Year 2007	Congested Year 2012	Congested Year 2017
<b>Interstate 10</b> (Leon County to US 19)	Interstate	B	No	Yes (C)	Yes (C)

• *\* Note: The letter inside the parentheses in the congested columns signify the operating LOS.*

**Table E: Level of Service on State Roads in Wakulla County**

<b>Roadway</b>	<b>Functional Classification</b>	<b>LOS Standard</b>	<b>Congested Year 2007</b>	<b>Congested Year 2012</b>	<b>Congested Year 2017</b>
<b>US 98</b> (Bottoms Road to SR 375/US 319)	Principal Arterial	C E*	No	No	Yes (D) No*
<b>US 319</b> (US 98 to Lower Bridge Rd.)	Principal Arterial/ Interstate	C E*	No No*	Yes (D) No*	Yes (E) No*
<b>US 319</b> (Lower Bridge Rd. to Bloxham Cutoff Rd.)	Principal Arterial/ Interstate	C E*	Yes (D) No*	Yes (E) Yes*	Yes (E) Yes*
<b>US 319</b> (Bloxham Cutoff Rd. to Leon County Line)	Principal Arterial/ Interstate	C E*	Yes (D) No*	Yes (D) No*	Yes (D) No*

*\*\* Note: The letter inside the parentheses in the congested columns signify the operating LOS.*

*The adopted LOS by Wakulla County is LOS E. The indication of congestion has been shown both based on FDOT adopted LOS, and that adopted by Wakulla County (shown with an asterisk\*).*

# **APPENDIX B**

**FDOT Level of Service Analysis Tables  
for  
Leon, Gadsden, Jefferson,  
and Wakulla Counties**

---



**2007 LEVEL OF SERVICE ANALYSIS  
ON STATE ROADS  
LEON COUNTY**

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.				
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS		
<b>SR 8/ I-10</b>																		
Gadsden County Line to SR 263/ Capital Circle / Tallahassee Urbanized Area Boundary  <b>Roadway ID 55320000</b> Strategic Intermodal System Facility Urban Services Area Boundary approximately 3/4 miles west of Capital Circle.	Principal Arterial Interstate	4	Divided	0	0.00	2.168	Trans	State 52,500 (C)	2001	37,500	1997	25,000	B	State 2,890 (C)	1,375	B		
											1998	26,000	B		1,430	B		
											1999	25,000	B		1,375	B		
											2000	32,500	B		1,788	B		
											2001	29,500	B		1,623	B		
											2002	30,000	B		1,650	B		
											2003	30,000	B	1,650	B			
											2004	32,000	B	Local 2,130 / 2,890 (B/C)	1,760	B		
											2005	36,000	B		1,980	B		
											2006	37,500	B		2,063	B		
											2007	37,500	B		2,063	B		
											2012	47,500	C		2,613	C		
											2017	56,700	D	3,119	D			
SR 263/ Capital Circle / Tallahassee Urbanized Area Boundary to SR 63/ US 27/ North Monroe Street  <b>Roadway ID 55320000</b> Strategic Intermodal System Facility	Principal Arterial Interstate	4	Divided	0	0.00	3.280	Urban	State 55,200 (C)	2003	50,500	1997	39,000	B	State 2,940 (C)	2,081	B		
											1998	39,500	B		2,107	B		
											1999	43,000	C		2,294	C		
											2000	44,500	C		2,374	C		
											2001	44,000	C		2,347	C		
											2002	37,500	B		2,001	B		
											2003	40,000	C	2,134	C			
											2004	44,500	C	Local 2,940 (C)	2,374	C		
											2005	51,500	C		2,748	C		
											2006	50,500	C		2,694	C		
											2007	50,500	C		2,694	C		
											2012	67,600	E		3,606	E		
											2017	90,400	F	4,823	F			

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 8/ I-10 Cont.</b>																
SR 63/ US 27/ North Monroe Street to SR 61/ US 319/ Thomasville Road  <b>Roadway ID 55320000</b> Strategic Intermodal System Facility	Principal Arterial Interstate	4	Divided	0	0.00	3.668	Urban	State 55,200 (C)	304	62,000	1997	45,776	C	State 2,940 (C)	2,442	C
								1998			48,530	C	2,589		C	
								1999			52,245	C	2,787		C	
								2000			53,661	C	2,863		C	
								2001			55,655	D	2,969		D	
								2002			56,900	D	3,036		D	
								2003			58,053	D	3,097	D		
								Local 55,200 (C)			2004	59,390	D	Local 2,940 (C)	3,168	D
								2005			60,055	D	3,204		D	
								2006			60,661	D	3,236		D	
								2007			62,000	D	3,308		D	
								2012			68,400	E	3,649		E	
								2017			75,600	F	4,033		F	
								SR 61/ US 319/ Thomasville Road to SR 10/ US 90/ Mahan Drive  <b>Roadway ID 55320000</b> Strategic Intermodal System Facility			Principal Arterial Interstate	4	Divided	0	0.00	5.590
1998	23,700	A	1,264	A												
1999	24,500	B	1,307	B												
2000	26,250	B	1,400	B												
2001	25,200	B	1,344	B												
2002	25,750	B	1,374	B												
2003	25,650	B	1,368	B												
Local 55,200 (C)	2004	30,500	B	Local 2,940 (C)	1,627	B										
2005	30,500	B	1,627		B											
2006	28,000	B	1,494		B											
2007	32,500	B	1,734		B											
2012	37,500	B	2,001		B											
2017	43,300	C	2,310		C											

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 8/ I-10 Cont.</b>																
SR 10/ US 90/ Mahan Drive to Tallahassee Urbanized Area Boundary (East of Chaires Cross Road)  <b>Roadway ID 55320000</b> Strategic Intermodal System Facility Segment is outside of the Tallahassee- Leon County Urban Area Urban Services Area ends approximately 1.5 miles east of US 90.	Principal Arterial Interstate	4	Divided	0	0.00	4.080	Urban	State 55,200 (C)	2007	32,500	1997	25,500	B	State 2,940 (C)	1,360	B
								1998			26,500	B	1,414		B	
								1999			27,500	B	1,467		B	
								2000			29,000	B	1,547		B	
								2001			29,500	B	1,574		B	
								2002			30,500	B	1,627		B	
								2003			34,000	B	1,814		B	
								2004			30,500	B	1,627		B	
								2005			30,500	B	2,110 /		1,627	B
								2006			28,000	B	2,940		1,494	B
								2007			32,500	B	(B / C)		1,734	B
								2012			35,900	B			1,915	B
								2017			39,600	B			2,113	C
								Tallahassee Urbanized Area Boundary (East of Chaires Cross Road) to Jefferson County Line  <b>Roadway ID 55320000</b> Strategic Intermodal System Facility Segment is outside of the Tallahassee- Leon County Urban Area			Principal Arterial Interstate	4	Divided		0	0.00
1998	23,100	A	1,271	A												
1999	26,500	B	1,458	B												
2000	27,750	B	1,526	B												
2001	26,500	B	1,458	B												
2002	26,500	B	1,458	B												
2003	29,250	B	1,609	B												
2004	26,750	B	Local	1,471	B											
2005	28,750	B	2,130	1,581	B											
2006	28,500	B	(B)	1,568	B											
2007	32,000	B		1,760	B											
2012	34,500	B		1,898	B											
2017	38,300	B		2,107	B											

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 10/ US 90/ East Tennessee</b>																
SR 61/ US 27/ North Monroe Street to North Meridian Street  <b>Roadway ID 55020000</b> Emerging Strategic Intermodal System Connector	Principal Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays	3	13.89	0.216	Urban	State 28,900 (D)	3024	36,000	1997	29,500	E	State 1,510 (D)	1,541	E
								1998			30,000	E	1,568		E	
								1999			29,500	E	1,541		E	
								2000			30,000	E	1,568		E	
								2001			30,500	E	1,594		E	
								2002			32,000	E	1,672		E	
								2003			30,000	E	1,568	E		
								Local 28,900 (D)			2004	31,500	E	Local 1,510 (D)	1,646	E
								2005			35,500	F	1,855		F	
								2006			34,000	F	1,777		F	
								2007			36,000	F	1,881		F	
								2012			40,900	F	2,137		F	
								2017			46,000	F	2,404		F	
								North Meridian Street to CR 1555/ Franklin Boulevard  <b>Roadway ID 55020000</b> Emerging Strategic Intermodal System Connector			Principal Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays	1	3.40	0.294
1998	27,500	D	1,437	D												
1999	29,500	D	1,541	D												
2000	27,500	D	1,437	D												
2001	30,500	D	1,594	D												
2002	31,000	D	1,620	D												
2003	30,000	D	1,568	D												
Local 32,700 (D)	2004	30,000	D	Local 1,710 (D)	1,568	D										
2005	32,000	D	1,672		D											
2006	30,000	D	1,568		D											
2007	32,500	D	1,698		D											
2012	35,900	F	1,876		F											
2017	39,600	F	2,069		F											

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 10/ US 90/ East Tennessee Cont.</b>																
CR 1555/ Franklin Boulevard to SR 265/ North Magnolia Drive  <b>Roadway ID 55020000</b> Emerging Strategic Intermodal System Connector	Principal Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays	2	3.24	0.618	Urban	State 32,700 (D)	5026	33,000	1997	28,000	D	State 1,710 (D)	1,463	D
											1998	28,500	D		1,489	D
											1999	29,000	D		1,515	D
											2000	28,500	D		1,489	D
											2001	32,000	D		1,672	D
											2002	33,000	E		1,724	E
											2003	35,000	F	1,829	F	
											2004	33,000	E	Local 1,710 (D)	1,724	E
											2005	32,500	D		1,698	D
											2006	33,000	E		1,724	E
											2007	33,000	E		1,724	E
											2012	36,400	F		1,902	F
											2017	40,200	F		2,100	F
								SR 265/ North Magnolia Drive to SR 261/ US 319/ Capital Circle  <b>Roadway ID 55020000</b> Emerging Strategic Intermodal System Connector			Principal Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays	4	1.80	2.228
	1998	28,667	B	1,498	B											
	1999	28,333	B	1,480	B											
	2000	29,333	C	1,533	C											
	2001	30,500	C	1,594	C											
	2002	37,833	F	1,977	F											
	2003	35,167	D	1,837	D											
	2004	31,167	C	Local 1,860 (D)	1,628	C										
	2005	33,333	C		1,742	C										
	2006	30,167	C		1,576	C										
	2007	29,667	C		1,550	C										
	2012	32,800	C		1,714	C										
	2017	36,200	F		1,891	F										

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrence Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 10/ US 90/ East Tennessee Cont.</b>																
SR 261/ US 319/ Capital Circle to CR 1568/ Buck Lake Road  <b>Roadway ID 55020000</b> Emerging Strategic Intermodal System Connector	Principal Arterial	2	Divided/ Bays	1	1.18	0.850	Urban	State 17,220 (D)	5146	35,500	1997	20,000	F	State 903 (D)	1,045	F
								1998			22,500	F	1,176		F	
								1999			20,500	F	1,071		F	
								2000			26,500	F	1,385		F	
								2001			26,500	F	1,385		F	
								2002			31,000	F	1,620		F	
								2003			30,000	F	1,568	F		
								Local 17,220 (D)			2004	29,500	F	Local 903 (D)	1,541	F
								2005			32,500	F	1,698		F	
								2006			32,000	F	1,672		F	
								2007			35,500	F	1,855		F	
								2012			38,500	F	2,012		F	
								2017			43,000	F	2,247		F	
								CR 1568/ Buck Lake Road to SR 8/ I-10  <b>Roadway ID 55020000</b> Emerging Strategic Intermodal System Connector			Principal Arterial	2	Undivided/ Bays	3	0.82	3.638
1998	11,750	C	614	C												
1999	12,750	C	666	C												
2000	12,600	C	658	C												
2001	11,500	C	601	C												
2002	12,000	C	627	C												
2003	14,950	D	781	D												
Local 16,400 (D)	2004	15,250	D	Local 860 (D)	797	D										
2005	17,950	F	938		F											
2006	18,900	F	988		F											
2007	18,650	F	974		F											
2012	25,000	F	1,306		F											
2017	33,400	F	1,745		F											

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 10/ US 90/ East Tennessee Cont.</b>																
SR 8/ I-10 to Tallahassee Urbanized Area Boundary (at Baum Road)  <b>Roadway ID 55020000</b> Urban Services Area ends approximately 0.75 miles east of I-10	Principal Arterial	2	Undivided/ Bays	1	0.37	2.690	Urban	State 16,400 (D)	390	13,000	1997	8,800	C	State 860 (D)	460	C
								1998			9,500	C	496		C	
								1999			10,300	C	538		C	
								2000			9,400	C	491		C	
								2001			10,000	C	523		C	
								2002			10,500	C	549		C	
								2003			11,000	C	575		C	
								Local 13,800 / 16,400 (C / D)			2004	11,000	C	Local 720 / 860 (C / D)	575	C
								2005			13,400	C	700		C	
								2006			13,000	C	679		C	
								2007			13,000	C	679		C	
								2012			16,500	E	862		E	
								2017			19,400	F	1,014		F	
								Tallahassee Urbanized Area Boundary (at Baum Road) to Jefferson County Line			Principal Arterial	2	Undivided/ No Bays		0	0.00
1998	4,450	B	235	B												
1999	4,500	B	238	B												
2000	4,500	B	238	B												
2001	4,950	B	261	B												
2002	4,650	B	246	B												
2003	4,700	B	248	B												
Local 14,900 (C)	2004	5,050	B	Local 790 (C)	267	B										
2005	4,800	B	253		B											
2006	5,050	B	267		B											
2007	5,000	B	264		B											
2012	5,500	B	290		B											
2017	6,100	B	322		B											
<b>Roadway ID 55020000</b>																

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 10/ US 90</b>																
Gadsden County Line / Tallahassee Urbanized Area Boundary to CR 1581/ Aenon Church Road  <b>Roadway ID 55060000</b> Urban Services Area ends approximately 0.2 miles west of the Gadsden county line.	Principal Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays	0	0.00	2.484	Urban	State 61,800 (D)	3047  500038  Count Station 50-0038 in Gadsden County	21,500  20,500	1997	17,700	A	State  3,230 (D)	925	A
								1998			18,850	A	985		A	
								1999			20,000	A	1,045		A	
								2000			19,150	A	1,001		A	
								2001			19,800	A	1,035		A	
								2002			20,800	B	1,087		B	
								2003			21,450	B	1,121		B	
								2004			20,650	B	Local		1,079	B
								2005			20,700	B	2,500 /		1,082	B
								2006			20,650	B	3,230		1,079	B
								2007			21,000	B	(C / D)		1,097	B
								2012			23,200	B			1,212	B
								2017			25,600	B			1,338	B
								CR 1581/ Aenon Church Road to SR 263 / Capital Circle  <b>Roadway ID 55060000</b>			Principal Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays		2	2.50
1998	22,500	C	1,176	C												
1999	24,500	C	1,280	C												
2000	22,500	C	1,176	C												
2001	22,000	C	1,150	C												
2002	24,000	C	1,254	C												
2003	23,500	C	1,228	C												
2004	23,500	C	Local	1,228	C											
2005	22,500	C	1,710	1,176	C											
2006	22,000	C	(D)	1,150	C											
2007	21,500	C		1,123	C											
2012	23,700	C		1,238	C											
2017	26,200	D		1,369	D											

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 10/ US 90 Cont.</b>																
SR 263 / Capital Circle to Appleyard Drive	Principal Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays	2	1.34	1.495	Urban	State 35,700 (D)	3039 3007	24,500 30,000	1997	26,250	B	State 1,860 (D)	1,372	B
								1998			30,000	C	1,568		C	
								1999			29,250	B	1,528		B	
								2000			28,250	C	1,476		B	
								2001			29,500	C	1,541		C	
								2002			32,000	C	1,672		C	
								2003			30,500	C	1,594		C	
								Local 35,700 (D)			2004	31,250	C		Local 1,633	C
								2005			32,250	C	1,685		C	
								2006			27,000	B	1,411		B	
								2007			27,250	B	1,424		B	
								2012			30,100	C	1,573		C	
								2017			33,200	C	1,735		C	
								<b>Roadway ID 55060000</b>								
Appleyard Drive to Ocala Road	Principal Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays	2	1.41	1.422	Urban	State 35,700 (D)	5069	43,500	1997	31,500	C	State 1,860 (D)	1,646	C
								1998			34,500	C	1,803		C	
								1999			37,500	F	1,959		F	
								2000			35,000	D	1,829		D	
								2001			38,500	F	2,012		F	
								2002			38,000	F	1,986		F	
								2003			36,500	F	1,907		F	
								Local 35,700 (D)			2004	46,500	F		Local 2,430	F
								2005			45,000	F	2,351		F	
								2006			42,500	F	2,221		F	
								2007			43,500	F	2,273		F	
								2012			51,400	F	2,686		F	
								2017			57,700	F	3,015		F	
								<b>Roadway ID 55060000</b>								

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.			
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS	
<b>SR 10/ US 90 Cont.</b>																	
Ocala Road to SR 157/ Woodward Avenue	Principal Arterial	6	Divided/ Bays	5	4.21	1.189	Urban	State 49,200 (D)	5070 5034	55,000 59,500	1997	47,000	D	State 2,570 (D)	2,456	D	
								1998			44,000	D	2,299		D		
								1999			50,750	E	2,652		E		
								2000			47,500	D	2,482		D		
								2001			51,000	E	2,665		E		
								2002			56,000	F	2,926		F		
								2003			58,250	F	3,044		F		
								Local 49,200 (D)			2004	59,250	F		Local 2,570 (D)	3,096	F
								2005			58,500	F	3,057			F	
								2006			58,750	F	3,070			F	
								2007			57,250	F	2,991			F	
								2012			63,200	F	3,302			F	
								2017			69,800	F	3,647			F	
								<b>Roadway ID 55060000</b>									
SR 157/ Woodward Avenue to Macomb Street	Principal Arterial	6	Divided/ Bays	3	5.03	0.597	Urban	State 44,700 (D)	5032 5031	46,000 46,500	1997	42,250	D	State 2,330 (D)	2,208	D	
								1998			41,000	D	2,142		D		
								1999			43,250	D	2,260		D		
								2000			41,000	D	2,142		D		
								2001			40,750	D	2,129		D		
								2002			43,750	D	2,286		D		
								2003			41,500	D	2,168		D		
								Local 44,700 (D)			2004	41,750	D		Local 2,330 (D)	2,181	D
								2005			46,250	E	2,417			E	
								2006			45,750	E	2,390			E	
								2007			46,250	E	2,417			E	
								2012			51,100	F	2,670			F	
								2017			56,400	F	2,947			F	
								<b>Roadway ID 55060000</b>									

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 10/ US 90 Cont.</b>																
Macomb Street to SR 61/ US 27/ Monroe Street  <b>Roadway ID 55060000</b> Emerging Strategic Intermodal System Connector from Duval Street to Monroe Street.	Principal Arterial	6	Divided/ Bays	3	6.42	0.467	Urban	State 44,700 (D)	5031	46,500	1997	40,000	D	State 2,330 (D)	2,090	D
								1998			44,500	D	2,325		D	
								1999			45,500	E	2,377		E	
								2000			39,000	D	2,038		D	
								2001			39,000	D	2,038		D	
								2002			41,000	D	2,142		D	
								2003			37,000	D	1,933	D		
								Local 44,700 (D)			2004	39,000	D	Local 2,330 (D)	2,038	D
								2005			43,000	D	2,247		D	
								2006			42,000	D	2,195		D	
								2007			46,500	E	2,430		E	
								2012			51,400	F	2,686		F	
								2017			58,000	F	3,031	F		
<b>SR 20/ Blountstown Highway</b>																
Liberty County Line to Ft. Braden School  <b>Roadway ID 55070000</b>	Principal Arterial	2	Undivided/ No Bays	0	0.00	8.840	Trans.	State 21,100 (D)	62 560209  Count Station 560209 in Liberty County	3,600 4,100	1997	3,400	B	State 1,120 (D)	180	B
								1998			3,500	B	185		B	
								1999			3,300	B	174		B	
								2000			3,500	B	185		B	
								2001			3,850	B	203		B	
								2002			3,850	B	203		B	
								Local 21,100 (C)			2003	3,800	B	Local 1,120 (C)	201	B
								2004			3,900	B	206		B	
								2005			3,950	B	209		B	
								2006			3,650	B	193		B	
								2007			3,850	B	203		B	
								2012			4,300	B	227	B		
								2017			4,700	B	248	B		

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 20/ Blountstown Highway Cont.</b>																
Ft. Braden School to CR 1585/ Geddie Road	Principal Arterial	2	Undivided/ Bays	0	0.00	6.625	Trans.	State 21,100 (D)	211 2	6,660 10,500	1997	7,885	B	State 1,120 (D)	416	B
								1998			7,215	B	381		B	
								1999			7,865	B	415		B	
								2000			8,251	C	436		C	
								2001			8,855	C	468		C	
								2002			8,717	C	460		C	
								2003			8,439	C	446		C	
								Local 14,900 (C)			2004	8,763	C	Local 790 (C)	463	C
								2005			8,434	C	445		C	
								2006			8,618	C	455		C	
								2007			8,580	C	453		C	
								2012			9,500	C	502		C	
								2017			10,500	C	554		C	
								<b>Roadway ID 55070000</b>								
CR 1585/ Geddie Road to Tallahassee Urbanized Area Boundary (at Barineau Road)	Principal Arterial	2	Undivided/ No Bays	0	0.00	1.097	Trans	State 15,500 (D)	2	10,500	1997	9,500	C	State 820 (D)	502	C
								1998			8,000	C	422		C	
								1999			9,100	C	480		C	
								2000			10,000	C	528		C	
								2001			11,000	C	581		C	
								2002			10,500	C	554		C	
								2003			10,000	C	528		C	
								Local 15,500 (D)			2004	10,500	C	Local 820 (D)	554	C
								2005			10,000	C	528		C	
								2006			10,500	C	554		C	
								2007			10,500	C	554		C	
								2012			11,600	C	612		C	
								2017			12,800	C	676		C	
								<b>Roadway ID 55070000</b>								

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 20/ Blountstown Highway Cont.</b>																
Tallahassee Urbanized Area Boundary (at Barineau Road) to SR 263/ Capital Circle	Principal Arterial	2	Undivided/ Bays	1	0.48	2.070	Urban	State 16,400 (D)	3037	16,800	1997	12,400	C	State 860 (D)	648	C
								1998			12,800	C	669		C	
								1999			11,200	C	585		C	
								2000			11,400	C	596		C	
								2001			12,300	C	643		C	
								2002			13,000	C	679		C	
								2003			14,000	D	732		D	
								Local 16,400 (D)			2004	12,300	C	Local 860 (D)	643	C
								2005			14,100	D	737		D	
								2006			16,100	D	841		D	
								2007			16,800	E	878		E	
								2012			20,200	F	1,055		F	
								2017			24,100	F	1,259		F	
								<b>Roadway ID 55070000</b>								
SR 263/ Capital Circle to SR 10/ US 90/ West Tennessee Street	Principal Arterial	2	Undivided/ Bays	1	0.64	1.574	Urban	State 16,400 (D)	3038	9,600	1997	8,600	C	State 860 (D)	449	C
								1998			7,500	C	392		C	
								1999			8,000	C	418		C	
								2000			8,100	C	423		C	
								2001			7,400	C	387		C	
								2002			7,600	C	397		C	
								2003			7,300	C	381		C	
								Local 16,400 (D)			2004	7,200	C	Local 860 (D)	376	C
								2005			8,400	C	439		C	
								2006			9,100	C	475		C	
								2007			9,600	C	502		C	
								2012			11,800	C	617		C	
								2017			14,100	D	737		D	
								<b>Roadway ID 55070000</b>								

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.				
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS		
<b>SR 20/ US 27/ Apalachee Parkway</b>																		
SR 61/ Monroe Street to SR 265/ Magnolia Drive	Principal Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays	1	0.88	1.138	Urban	State	5057	34,000	1997	34,703	D	State 1,860 (D)	1,813	D		
									5056	32,000	1998	32,887	C				1,718	C
									151	33,695	1999	34,401	C				1,797	C
									5055	35,500	2000	36,118	F				1,887	F
										2001	38,250	F	1,999				F	
										2002	37,995	F	1,985				F	
										2003	36,274	F	1,895				F	
										2004	34,073	C	1,780				C	
										2005	34,498	C	1,803				C	
									Local 35,700 (D)		2006	35,900	F				1,876	F
										2007	33,800	C	1,766				C	
										2012	37,300	F	1,949				F	
										2017	41,200	F	2,153				F	
<b>Roadway ID 55080000</b>																		
SR 265/ Magnolia Drive to Blairstone Road	Principal Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays	2	2.27	0.880	Urban	State	5053	39,000	1997	38,500	F	State 1,710 (D)	2,012	F		
									3012	38,000	1998	39,250	F				2,051	F
											1999	39,750	F				2,077	F
											2000	39,750	F				2,077	F
										2001	42,750	F	2,234				F	
										2002	44,750	F	2,338				F	
										2003	42,750	F	2,234				F	
										2004	40,250	F	2,103				F	
										2005	39,250	F	2,051				F	
									Local 32,700 (D)		2006	42,250	F				2,208	F
										2007	38,500	F	2,012				F	
										2012	42,500	F	2,221				F	
										2017	46,900	F	2,451				F	

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 20/ US 27/ Apalachee Parkway Cont.</b>																
Blairstone Road to SR 261/ US 319/ Capital Circle	Principal Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays	5	3.49	1.433	Urban	State 32,700 (D)	3041	41,000	1997	27,500	D	State 1,710 (D)	1,437	D
								1998			31,000	D	1,620		D	
								1999			36,000	F	1,881		F	
								2000			39,000	F	2,038		F	
								2001			37,549	F	1,962		F	
								2002			41,190	F	2,152		F	
								2003			37,534	F	1,961		F	
								Local 32,700 (D)			2004	36,659	F	Local 1,710 (D)	1,915	F
								2005			38,800	F	2,027		F	
								2006			40,000	F	2,090		F	
								2007			41,000	F	2,142		F	
								2012			45,300	F	2,367		F	
								2017			50,000	F	2,613		F	
								<b>Roadway ID 55080000</b>								
SR 261/ US 319/ Capital Circle to Southwood Plantation	Principal Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays	2	2.09	0.957	Urban	State 32,700 (D)	3056	31,500	1997	25,000	C	State 1,710 (D)	1,306	C
								1998			31,500	D	1,646		D	
								1999			31,000	D	1,620		D	
								2000			29,500	D	1,541		D	
								2001			32,000	D	1,672		D	
								2002			34,000	E	1,777		E	
								2003			31,000	D	1,620		D	
								Local 32,700 (D)			2004	28,000	D	Local 1,710 (D)	1,463	D
								2005			32,500	D	1,698		D	
								2006			32,000	D	1,672		D	
								2007			31,500	D	1,646		D	
								2012			34,800	F	1,818		F	
								2017			38,400	F	2,006		F	
								<b>Roadway ID 55080000</b>								

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrence Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 20/ US 27/ Apalachee Parkway Cont.</b>																
Southwood Plantation to CR 2197/ Williams Road	Principal Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays	0	0.00	3.279	Urban	State 35,700 (D)	43	17,600	1997	16,200	B	State 1,860 (D)	846	B
								1998			17,700	B	925		B	
								1999			18,500	B	967		B	
								2000			18,900	B	988		B	
								2001			18,800	B	982		B	
								2002			17,600	B	920		B	
								2003			16,700	B	873		B	
								Local 35,700 (D)			2004	16,400	B	Local 1,860 (D)	857	B
								2005			18,900	B	988		B	
								2006			18,300	B	956		B	
								2007			17,600	B	920		B	
								2012			19,400	B	1,014		B	
								2017			21,500	B	1,123		B	
								<b>Roadway ID 55080000</b>								
CR 2197/ Williams Road to Tallahassee Urbanized Area Boundary (0.9 miles E of Chaires Cross / W.W. Kelly)	Principal Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays	1	0.30	3.327	Urban	State 35,700 (D)	44	12,000	1997	10,600	B	State 1,860 (D)	554	B
								1998			13,900	B	726		B	
								1999			13,300	B	695		B	
								2000			11,600	B	606		B	
								2001			12,600	B	658		B	
								2002			12,300	B	643		B	
								2003			12,000	B	627		B	
								Local 34,700 / 35,700 (C / D)			2004	12,800	B	Local 1,810 / 1,860 (C / D)	669	B
								2005			12,000	B	627		B	
								2006			12,800	B	669		B	
								2007			12,000	B	627		B	
								2012			13,200	B	690		B	
								2017			14,600	B	763		B	
								Urban Services Area ends at approximately Chaires Cross.								

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 20/ US 27/ Apalachee Parkway Cont.</b>																
Tallahassee Urbanized Area Boundary (0.9 miles East of Chaires Cross / W.W. Kelly) to Jefferson County Line	Principal Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays	0	0.00	1.798	Trans	State 56,500 (D)	44 540051	12,000 6,100	1997	8,250	A	State 2,980 (D)	436	A
								1998			10,100	A	533		A	
								1999			9,800	A	517		A	
								2000			8,600	A	454		A	
								2001			9,350	A	494		A	
								2002			9,250	A	488		A	
								2003	9,000	A	475	A				
								Local 56,500 (D)	Count Station 540051 in Jefferson County	1,400	2004	9,550	A	Local 2,980 (D)	504	A
								2005			8,850	A	467		A	
								2006			9,450	A	499		A	
								2007			9,050	A	478		A	
								2012			10,000	A	528		A	
								2017			11,000	A	581		A	
<b>Roadway ID 55080000</b>																
<b>SR 59 / Magnolia Road</b>																
Jefferson County Line to SR 10 / US 90	Principal Arterial	2	Undivided	0	0.00	0.111	Trans	State 21,100 (D)	54009	1,400	1997	1,100	A	State 1,120 (D)	58	A
								1998			850	A	45		A	
								1999			1,100	A	58		A	
								2000			1,200	A	63		A	
								2001			1,100	A	58		A	
								2002			1,300	A	69		A	
								2003	1,200	A	63	A				
								Local 14,900 (C)	Count station in Jefferson County	1,400	2004	1,200	A	Local 790 (C)	63	A
								2005			1,500	A	79		A	
								2006			1,600	A	84		A	
								2007			1,400	A	74		A	
								2012			1,800	A	95		A	
								2017			2,100	A	111		A	
<b>Roadway ID 55150000</b>																

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 61/ South Monroe Street</b>																
Gaile Avenue to Orange Avenue / SR 373	Principal Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays	2	2.02	0.990	Urban	State 32,700 (D)	3034 3001	15,700 18,400	1997	15,750	C	State 1,710 (D)	823	C
								1998			15,350	C	802		C	
								1999			14,600	C	763		C	
								2000			14,800	C	773		C	
								2001			14,900	C	779		C	
								2002			15,550	C	812		C	
								2003			15,950	C	833		C	
								Local 32,700 (D)			2004	17,500	C	Local 1,710 (D)	914	C
								2005			16,700	C	873		C	
								2006			16,550	C	865		C	
								2007			17,050	C	891		C	
								2012			18,800	C	982		C	
								2017			20,800	C	1,087		C	
								<b>Roadway ID 55040000</b>								
Orange Avenue / SR 373 to Kestner Street	Principal Arterial	2	Undivided/ Bays	3	4.86	0.617	Urban	State 12,600 (D)	5060	22,000	1997	19,500	F	State 660 (D)	1,019	F
								1998			20,200	F	1,055		F	
								1999			20,900	F	1,092		F	
								2000			17,200	F	899		F	
								2001			20,500	F	1,071		F	
								2002			23,500	F	1,228		F	
								2003			20,400	F	1,066		F	
								Local 12,600 (D)			2004	22,000	F	Local 660 (D)	1,150	F
								2005			21,500	F	1,123		F	
								2006			23,500	F	1,228		F	
								2007			22,000	F	1,150		F	
								2012			24,300	F	1,270		F	
								2017			26,800	F	1,400		F	
								<b>Roadway ID 55040000</b>								

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 61/ South Monroe Street Cont.</b>																
Kestner Street to Perkins Street	Principal Arterial	2	Divided/ Bays	0	0.00	0.041	Urban	State 16,400 (D)	5060	22,000	1997	19,500	F	State 860 (D)	1,019	F
								1998			20,200	F	1,055		F	
								1999			20,900	F	1,092		F	
								2000			17,200	F	899		F	
								2001			20,500	F	1,071		F	
								2002			23,500	F	1,228		F	
								2003			20,400	F	1,066		F	
								Local 16,400 (D)			2004	22,000	F	Local 860 (D)	1,150	F
								2005			21,500	F	1,123		F	
								2006			23,500	F	1,228		F	
								2007			22,000	F	1,150		F	
								2012			24,300	F	1,270		F	
								2017			26,800	F	1,400		F	
								<b>Roadway ID 55040000</b>								
Perkins Street to CSX Overpass	Principal Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays	2	2.74	0.730	Urban	State 32,700 (D)	5002	22,000	1997	19,700	C	State 1,710 (D)	1,029	C
								1998			16,100	C	841		C	
								1999			17,800	C	930		C	
								2000			19,600	C	1,024		C	
								2001			21,500	C	1,123		C	
								2002			22,000	C	1,150		C	
								2003			20,500	C	1,071		C	
								Local 32,700 (D)			2004	22,000	C	Local 1,710 (D)	1,150	C
								2005			20,300	C	1,061		C	
								2006			23,500	C	1,228		C	
								2007			22,000	C	1,150		C	
								2012			24,300	C	1,270		C	
								2017			26,800	D	1,400		D	
								<b>Roadway ID 55040000</b>								

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 61/ South Monroe Street Cont.</b>																
CSX Overpass to SR 371/ Gaines Street	Principal Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays	1	5.46	0.183	Urban	State 28,900 (D)	5002	22,000	1997	19,700	D	State 1,510 (D)	1,029	D
								1998			16,100	D	841		D	
								1999			17,800	D	930		D	
								2000			19,600	D	1,024		D	
								2001			21,500	D	1,123		D	
								2002			22,000	D	1,150		D	
								2003			20,500	D	1,071		D	
								Local 28,900 (D)			2004	22,000	D	Local 1,510 (D)	1,150	D
								2005			20,300	D	1,061		D	
								2006			23,500	D	1,228		D	
								2007			22,000	D	1,150		D	
								2012			24,300	D	1,270		D	
								2017			26,800	D	1,400		D	
								<b>Roadway ID 55040000</b>								
SR 371/ Gaines Street to SR 20/ US 27/ Apalachee Parkway	Principal Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays	2	10.99	0.182	Urban	State 28,900 (D)	5003	32,000	1997	29,500	E	State 1,510 (D)	1,541	E
								1998			26,000	D	1,359		D	
								1999			29,000	E	1,515		E	
								2000			28,000	D	1,463		D	
								2001			33,500	F	1,750		F	
								2002			28,000	D	1,463		D	
								2003			32,000	E	1,672		E	
								Local 28,900 (D)			2004	30,500	E	Local 1,510 (D)	1,594	E
								2005			30,000	E	1,568		E	
								2006			33,500	F	1,750		F	
								2007			32,000	F	1,672		E	
								2012			36,100	F	1,886		F	
								2017			39,600	F	2,069		F	
								<b>Roadway ID 55040000</b>								

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 61/ South Monroe Street Cont.</b>																
SR 20/ US 27/ Apalachee Parkway to Pensacola Street	Principal Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays	1	9.90	0.101	Urban	State 28,900 (D)	5004	41,500	1997	39,000	F	State 1,510 (D)	2,038	F
								1998			40,000	F	2,090		F	
								1999			35,500	F	1,855		F	
								2000			37,000	F	1,933		F	
								2001			45,500	F	2,377		F	
								2002			39,000	F	2,038		F	
								2003			39,500	F	2,064		F	
								Local 28,900 (D)			2004	39,000	F	Local 1,510 (D)	2,038	F
								2005			41,000	F	2,142		F	
								2006			37,500	F	1,959		F	
								2007			41,500	F	2,168		F	
								2012			45,800	F	2,393		F	
								2017			50,600	F	2,644		F	
								<b>Roadway ID 55040000</b>								
Pensacola Street to SR 10/ US 90/ Tennessee Street	Principal Arterial	4	Undivided/ Bays	3	7.92	0.379	Urban	State 27,455 (D)	3002	32,000	1997	32,000	F	State 1,435 (D)	1,672	F
								1998			27,500	E	1,437		E	
								1999			28,000	E	1,463		E	
								2000			30,000	E	1,568		E	
								2001			33,000	F	1,724		F	
								2002			31,500	F	1,646		F	
								2003			32,500	F	1,698		F	
								Local 27,455 (D)			2004	30,000	E	Local 1,435 (D)	1,568	E
								2005			33,000	F	1,724		F	
								2006			32,000	F	1,672		F	
								2007			32,000	F	1,672		F	
								2012			35,300	F	1,844		F	
								2017			39,000	F	2,038		F	
								<b>Roadway ID 55040000</b>								

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 61/ US 27/ North Monroe Street</b>																
SR 10/ US 90/ Tennessee Street to Brevard Street	Principal Arterial	4	Undivided/ Bays	4	13.33	0.300	Urban	State 27,455 (D)	5006	37,500	1997	30,500	E	State 1,435 (D)	1,594	E
								1998			28,500	E	1,489		E	
								1999			33,000	F	1,724		F	
								2000			34,000	F	1,777		F	
								2001			35,500	F	1,855		F	
								2002			34,500	F	1,803		F	
								2003			36,000	F	1,881		F	
								Local 27,455 (D)			2004	33,500	F	Local 1,435 (D)	1,750	F
								2005			35,000	F	1,829		F	
								2006			41,000	F	2,142		F	
								2007			37,500	F	1,959		F	
								2012			43,000	F	2,247		F	
								2017			47,500	F	2,482		F	
								<b>Roadway ID 55050000</b>								
Brevard Street to SR 63/ US 27/ North Monroe	Principal Arterial	4	Undivided/ Bays	1	15.63	0.064	Urban	State 27,455 (D)	5008	41,000	1997	40,000	F	State 1,435 (D)	2,090	F
								1998			35,500	F	1,855		F	
								1999			40,000	F	2,090		F	
								2000			41,000	F	2,142		F	
								2001			44,000	F	2,299		F	
								2002			42,500	F	2,221		F	
								2003			44,500	F	2,325		F	
								Local 27,455 (D)			2004	40,000	F	Local 1,435 (D)	2,090	F
								2005			44,500	F	2,325		F	
								2006			45,500	F	2,377		F	
								2007			41,000	F	2,142		F	
								2012			45,300	F	2,367		F	
								2017			50,000	F	2,613		F	
								<b>Roadway ID 55050000</b>								

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.					
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS			
<b>SR 61/ US 319</b>																			
SR 63/ US 27/ North Monroe to SR 155/ Meridian Road/ 7th Avenue	Principal Arterial	2	Undivided/ No Bays	2	3.45	0.579	Urban	State	5017 5018 5019	24,500 17,800 13,500	1997	18,167	F	State 810 (D)	949	F			
								15,400 (D)			1998	17,167	F		897	F			
								1999			19,667	F	1,028		F				
								2000			17,833	F	932		F				
								2001			21,333	F	1,115		F				
								2002			21,133	F	1,104		F				
								2003			18,000	F	941		F				
								Local			5016	23,500	2004		16,900	F	Local 810 (D)	883	F
								15,400 (D)					2005		17,400	F		909	F
								2006					16,133		E	843		E	
								2007					18,600		F	972		F	
								2012					20,500		F	1,071		F	
								2017					22,700		F	1,186		F	
<b>Roadway ID 55050000</b>																			
SR 155/ Meridian Road/ 7th Avenue to Grape Street	Principal Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays	0	0.00	0.125	Urban	State	5016	23,500	1997	17,000	B	State 1,860 (D)	888	B			
								35,700 (D)			1998	17,000	B		888	B			
								1999			19,000	B	993		B				
								2000			19,500	B	1,019		B				
								2001			19,500	B	1,019		B				
								2002			20,500	B	1,071		B				
								2003			21,000	B	1,097		B				
								Local			5016	23,500	2004	21,500	B	Local 1,860 (D)	1,123	B	
								35,700 (D)					2005	24,000	B		1,254	B	
								2006					25,000	B	1,306		B		
								2007					23,500	B	1,228		B		
								2012					28,900	B	1,510		B		
								2017					33,100	C	1,729		C		
<b>Roadway ID 55050000</b>																			

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 61/ US 319 Cont.</b>																
Grape Street to Colonial Drive	Principal Arterial	6	Divided/ Bays	0	0.00	0.314	Urban	State 53,500 (D)	5018	17,800	1997	20,000	B	State 2,790 (D)	1,045	B
								1998			19,500	B	1,019		B	
								1999			22,500	B	1,176		B	
								2000			19,500	B	1,019		B	
								2001			21,000	B	1,097		B	
								2002			19,900	B	1,040		B	
								2003			18,500	B	967		B	
								Local 53,500 (D)			2004	17,200	B	Local 2,790 (D)	899	B
								2005			18,200	B	951		B	
								2006			12,400	B	648		B	
								2007			17,800	B	930		B	
								2012			19,700	B	1,029		B	
								2017			21,700	B	1,134		B	
								<b>Roadway ID 55050000</b>								
Colonial Drive to East Betton Road	Principal Arterial	6	Divided/ Bays	3	8.20	0.366	Urban	State 44,700 (D)	5082	33,000	1997	28,000	D	State 2,330 (D)	1,463	D
								1998			28,000	D	1,463		D	
								1999			30,500	D	1,594		D	
								2000			25,000	D	1,306		D	
								2001			29,500	D	1,541		D	
								2002			29,500	D	1,541		D	
								2003			31,000	D	1,620		D	
								Local 44,700 (D)			2004	33,000	D	Local 2,330 (D)	1,724	D
								2005			34,500	D	1,803		D	
								2006			35,000	D	1,829		D	
								2007			33,000	D	1,724		D	
								2012			39,300	D	2,053		D	
								2017			43,700	D	2,283		D	
								<b>Roadway ID 55050000</b>								

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 61/ US 319 Cont.</b>																
East Betton Road to Live Oak Plantation Road	Principal Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays	3	1.25	2.396	Urban	State 35,700 (D)	3042 5141 3026	37,000 35,500 34,000	1997	30,667	C	State 1,860 (D)	1,602	C
								1998			32,167	C	1,681		C	
								1999			33,333	C	1,742		C	
								2000			32,833	C	1,716		C	
								2001			31,667	C	1,655		C	
								2002			35,333	D	1,846		D	
								2003			33,000	C	1,724		C	
								Local 35,700 (D)			2004	33,333	C		Local 1,742	C
								2005			34,833	D	1,820		D	
								2006			37,167	F	1,942		F	
								2007			35,500	D	1,855		D	
								2012			39,200	F	2,048		F	
								2017			43,300	F	2,262		F	
								<b>Roadway ID 55050000</b>								
Live Oak Plantation Road to SR 8/ I-10	Principal Arterial	6	Divided/ Bays	2	7.07	0.283	Urban	State 44,700 (D)	3026	34,000	1997	28,000	D	State 2,330 (D)	1,463	D
								1998			30,500	D	1,594		D	
								1999			32,000	D	1,672		D	
								2000			31,000	D	1,620		D	
								2001			30,000	D	1,568		D	
								2002			32,000	D	1,672		D	
								2003			30,500	D	1,594		D	
								Local 44,700 (D)			2004	32,000	D		Local 1,672	D
								2005			35,500	D	1,855		D	
								2006			36,000	D	1,881		D	
								2007			34,000	D	1,777		D	
								2012			39,800	D	2,080		D	
								2017			44,000	D	2,299		D	
								<b>Roadway ID 55050000</b>								

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 61/ US 319 Cont.</b>																
SR 8/ I-10 to SR 261/ Market Street/ Capital Circle  <b>Roadway ID 55050000</b> Strategic Intermodal System Facility	Principal Arterial	8	Divided/ Bays	2	6.39	0.313	Urban	State 58,700 (D)	3067	62,000	1997	40,000	D	State 3,070 (D)	2,090	D
								1998			43,000	D	2,247		D	
								1999			46,500	D	2,430		D	
								2000			48,000	D	2,508		D	
								2001			53,000	D	2,769		D	
								2002			55,000	D	2,874		D	
								2003			51,500	D	2,691	D		
								2004			57,500	D	Local 1,350 (C)	3,004	D	
								2005			59,500	E		3,109	E	
								2006			67,000	F		3,501	F	
								2007			62,000	E		3,240	E	
								2012			76,600	F		4,002	F	
								2017			88,600	F		4,629	F	
								SR 261/ Market Street/ Capital Circle to Killarney Way  <b>Roadway ID 55050000</b> Strategic Intermodal System Facility			Principal Arterial	8	Divided/ Bays	2	3.71	0.539
1998	46,500	C	2,430	C												
1999	43,500	C	2,273	C												
2000	45,000	C	2,351	C												
2001	44,500	C	2,325	C												
2002	46,000	C	2,404	C												
2003	47,500	C	2,482	C												
2004	46,500	C	Local 3,330 (D)	2,430	C											
2005	50,000	C		2,613	C											
2006	56,000	D		2,926	D											
2007	60,500	D		3,161	D											
2012	72,800	F		3,804	F											
2017	87,300	F		4,561	F											

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 61/ US 319 Cont.</b>																
Killarney Way to Woodbine Drive  <b>Roadway ID 55050000</b> Strategic Intermodal System Facility	Principal Arterial	6	Divided/ Bays	1	2.55	0.392	Urban	State 49,200 (D)	5145	53,000	1997	25,500	C	State 2,570 (D)	1,332	C
								1998			32,500	C	1,698		C	
								1999			28,500	C	1,489		C	
								2000			35,500	C	1,855		C	
								2001			44,500	D	2,325		D	
								2002			47,000	D	2,456		D	
								2003			48,000	D	2,508	D		
								2004			49,500	E	Local 2,110 (C)	2,586	E	
								2005			58,000	F		3,031	F	
								2006			56,500	F		2,952	F	
								2007			53,000	F		2,769	F	
								2012			65,700	F		3,433	F	
								2017			74,900	F	3,914	F		
								Woodbine Drive to Velda Dairy Road  <b>Roadway ID 55050000</b> Strategic Intermodal System Facility			Principal Arterial	6	Divided/ Bays	0	0.00	1.582
1998	32,500	B	1,698	B												
1999	28,500	B	1,489	B												
2000	35,500	B	1,855	B												
2001	44,500	B	2,325	B												
2002	47,000	C	2,456	C												
2003	48,000	C	2,508	C												
2004	49,500	C	Local 2,720 (C)	2,586	C											
2005	58,000	F		3,031	F											
2006	56,500	F		2,952	F											
2007	53,000	D		2,769	D											
2012	65,700	F		3,433	F											
2017	74,900	F	3,914	F												

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 61/ US 319 Cont.</b>																
Velda Dairy Road to Kinhega Drive / Tallahassee Urbanized Area Boundary  <b>Roadway ID 55050000</b> Strategic Intermodal System Facility	Principal Arterial	6	Divided/ Bays	1	0.50	2.019	Urban	State 53,500 (D)	3063	38,500	1997	18,400	B	State 2,790 (D)	961	B
								1998			20,500	B	1,071		B	
								1999			21,000	B	1,097		B	
								2000			24,500	B	1,280		B	
								2001			29,000	B	1,515		B	
								2002			30,500	B	1,594		B	
								2003			32,000	B	1,672	B		
								2004			33,000	B	Local 1,724 (C)	2,038	B	
								2005			39,000	B		2,064	B	
								2006			39,500	B		2,012	B	
								2007			38,500	B		2,618	C	
								2012			50,100	C		3,130	F	
								2017			59,900	F				
								Kinhega Drive / Tallahassee Urbanized Area Boundary to 3 miles north of Kinhega Drive  <b>Roadway ID 55050000</b> Strategic Intermodal System Facility Kinhega Drive to 0.5 miles north contained within the Urban Services Area .			Principal Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays	1	0.50	2.000
1998	N/A	-	NA	NA												
1999	N/A	-	NA	NA												
2000	8,398	B	443	B												
2001	8,803	B	465	B												
2002	10,162	B	537	B												
2003	10,853	B	573	B												
2004	11,099	B	Local 1,470 / 1,730 (B / C)	586	B											
2005	11,139	B		588	B											
2006	11,153	B		589	B											
2007	11,373	B		600	B											
2012	12,600	B		665	B											
2017	13,900	B	734	B												

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrence Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.				
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS		
<b>SR 61/ US 319 Cont.</b>																		
3 miles north of Kinhega Drive to the Georgia State Line  <b>Roadway ID 55050000</b> Strategic Intermodal System Facility	Principal Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays	0	0.00	7.018	Trans	State	349 392	11,373 9,600	1997	6,300	B	State 1,730 (C)	333	B		
								32,800 (C)			1998	7,500	B		396	B		
								1999			7,600	B	401		B			
								2000			8,097	B	428		B			
								2001			8,302	B	438		B			
								2002			9,281	B	490		B			
								2003			10,077	B	532		B			
								Local			27,900 (B)	2004	10,750		B	Local 1,470 (B)	568	B
								2005				10,420	B		550		B	
								2006				10,477	B		553		B	
								2007				10,500	B		554		B	
								2012				11,700	B		618		B	
								2017				12,700	B		671		B	
								2017				12,700	B		671		B	
<b>SR 61/ SR 363/ Adams Street</b>																		
SR 61 Crawfordville Highway to SR 373/ Orange Avenue  <b>Roadway ID 55100000</b>	Principal Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays	2	2.12	0.942	Urban	State	3033	25,000	1997	16,800	C	State 1,710 (D)	878	C		
								32,700 (D)			1998	19,500	C		1,019	C		
								1999			18,500	C	967		C			
								2000			17,900	C	935		C			
								2001			19,400	C	1,014		C			
								2002			20,700	C	1,082		C			
								2003			20,200	C	1,055		C			
								Local			32,700 (D)	2004	19,900		C	Local 1,710 (D)	1,040	C
								2005				22,500	C		1,176		C	
								2006				25,000	C		1,306		C	
								2007				25,000	C		1,306		C	
								2012				30,500	D		1,594		D	
								2017				36,000	F		1,881		F	
								2017				36,000	F		1,881		F	

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 61/ Wakulla Springs Road</b>																
Wakulla County Line to CR 2204/ Oak Ridge Road	Urban Collector	2	Undivided/ No Bays	1	0.68	1.466	Urban	State 16,400 (D)	11	5,800	1997	5,700	C	State 860 (D)	298	C
								1998			5,900	C	308		C	
								1999			5,400	C	282		C	
								2000			5,800	C	303		C	
								2001			6,400	C	334		C	
								2002			6,300	C	329		C	
								2003			6,500	C	340		C	
								Local 13,800 (C)			2004	4,600	C	Local 720 (C)	240	C
								2005			5,000	C	261		C	
								2006			5,200	C	272		C	
								2007			5,800	C	303		C	
								2012			6,400	C	334		C	
								2017			7,100	C	371		C	
								2017			7,100	C	371		C	
<b>Roadway ID 55120000</b>																
CR 2204/ Oak Ridge Road to SR 369/ US 319/ Crawfordville Highway	Urban Collector	2	Undivided/ No Bays	0	0.00	2.114	Urban	State 16,400 (D)	11	5,800	1997	5,700	C	State 860 (D)	298	C
								1998			5,900	C	308		C	
								1999			5,400	C	282		C	
								2000			5,800	C	303		C	
								2001			6,400	C	334		C	
								2002			6,300	C	329		C	
								2003			6,500	C	340		C	
								Local 13,800 (C)			2004	4,600	C	Local 720 (C)	240	C
								2005			5,000	C	261		C	
								2006			5,200	C	272		C	
								2007			5,800	C	303		C	
								2012			6,400	C	334		C	
								2017			7,100	C	371		C	
								2017			7,100	C	371		C	
<b>Roadway ID 55120000</b>																

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 61/ US 319/ Crawfordville Highway</b>																
SR 369/ US 319/ Crawfordville Highway to SR 263/ Capital Circle	Principal Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays	0	0.00	1.712	Urban	State 35,700 (D)	3022	20,000	1997	15,800	B	State 1,860 (D)	826	B
								1998			16,600	B	867		B	
								1999			17,000	B	888		B	
								2000			17,100	B	893		B	
								2001			19,400	B	1,014		B	
								2002			18,800	B	982		B	
								2003			19,200	B	1,003		B	
								Local 35,700 (D)			2004	14,800	B	Local 1,860 (D)	773	B
								2005			18,000	B	941		B	
								2006			18,700	B	977		B	
								2007			20,000	B	1,045		B	
								2012			22,100	B	1,155		B	
								2017			24,400	B	1,275		B	
								<b>Roadway ID 55120000</b>								
SR 263/ Capital Circle to divided section (250' south of Arden Road)	Principal Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays	0	0.00	1.550	Urban	State 35,700 (D)	5103	15,200	1997	12,400	B	State 1,860 (D)	648	B
								1998			12,100	B	632		B	
								1999			14,100	B	737		B	
								2000			13,200	B	690		B	
								2001			13,500	B	705		B	
								2002			13,400	B	700		B	
								2003			13,000	B	679		B	
								Local 35,700 (D)			2004	11,800	B	Local 1,860 (D)	617	B
								2005			12,300	B	643		B	
								2006			14,300	B	747		B	
								2007			15,200	B	794		B	
								2012			16,800	B	878		B	
								2017			18,600	B	972		B	
								<b>Roadway ID 55120000</b>								

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 61/ US 319/ Crawfordville Highway Cont.</b>																
Divided section (250' south of Arden Road) to SR 363/ Adams Street/ 4 Points	Principal Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays	1	4.00	0.250	Urban	State 32,700 (D)	3008	18,500	1997	17,100	C	State 1,710 (D)	893	C
								1998			18,100	C	946		C	
								1999			18,300	C	956		C	
								2000			18,300	C	956		C	
								2001			19,900	C	1,040		C	
								2002			18,600	C	972		C	
								2003			17,800	C	930		C	
								Local 32,700 (D)			2004	17,600	C	Local 1,710 (D)	920	C
								2005			16,800	C	878		C	
								2006			16,600	C	867		C	
								2007			18,500	C	967		C	
								2012			20,400	C	1,066		C	
								2017			22,600	C	1,181		C	
								<b>Roadway ID 55120000</b>								
<b>SR 61/ Paul Russell Road Connector</b>																
SR 363/ Adams Road to SR 61/ Monroe Street	Minor Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays	1	5.43	0.184	Urban	State 28,900 (D)	5054 5065	8,400 11,500	1997	N/A	-	State 1,510 (D)	NA	NA
								1998			N/A	-	NA		NA	
								1999			N/A	-	NA		NA	
								2000			N/A	-	NA		NA	
								2001			11,000	C	575		C	
								2002			9,300	C	486		C	
								2003			9,050	C	473		C	
								Local 28,900 (D)			2004	9,400	C	Local 1,510 (D)	491	C
								2005			9,850	C	515		C	
								2006			11,850	C	619		C	
								2007			9,950	C	520		C	
								2012			12,600	D	658		D	
								2017			14,400	D	752		D	
								<b>Roadway ID 55180000</b>								

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.							
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS					
<b>SR 63/ US 27</b>																					
SR 61/ Thomasville Road to 7th Avenue	Principal Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays	4	7.53	0.531	Urban	State	5009	31,500	1997	29,500	E	State	1,541	E					
								28,900 (D)			1998	25,000	D				1,306	D			
											1999	27,000	D				(D)	1,411	D		
											2000	27,000	D				1,411	D			
											2001	30,000	E				1,568	E			
											2002	30,500	E				1,594	E			
											2003	31,500	E				1,646	E			
								Local			5011	37,000	2004	32,000	E	Local	1,672	E			
								28,900 (D)					2005	29,000	E				1,515	E	
													2006	32,000	E				(D)	1,672	E
													2007	31,500	E				1,646	E	
													2012	34,800	F				1,818	F	
													2017	38,400	F				2,006	F	
<b>Roadway ID 55010000</b>																					
7th Avenue to CR 158/ Tharpe Street	Principal Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays	1	2.40	0.416	Urban	State	5011	37,000	1997	31,000	D	State	1,620	D					
								32,700 (D)			1998	30,000	D				1,568	D			
											1999	34,500	E				(D)	1,803	F		
											2000	35,500	F				1,855	F			
											2001	35,000	F				1,829	F			
											2002	36,500	F				1,907	F			
											2003	33,500	E				1,750	E			
								Local			5011	37,000	2004	35,500	F	Local	1,855	F			
								32,700 (D)					2005	38,500	F				2,012	F	
													2006	45,500	F				(D)	2,377	F
													2007	37,000	F				1,933	F	
													2012	46,600	F				2,435	F	
													2017	52,600	F				2,748	F	
<b>Roadway ID 55010000</b>																					

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 63/ US 27 Cont.</b>																
CR 158/ Tharpe Street to John Knox Road/ Monticello Drive	Principal Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays	2	2.58	0.775	Urban	State 32,700 (D)	3003 5012	45,500 32,500	1997	44,750	F	State 1,710 (D)	2,338	F
								1998			34,250	E	1,790		E	
								1999			36,750	F	1,920		F	
								2000			37,000	F	1,933		F	
								2001			37,500	F	1,959		F	
								2002			36,000	F	1,881		F	
								2003			37,000	F	1,933		F	
								Local 32,700 (D)			2004	39,500	F	Local 1,710 (D)	2,064	F
								2005			40,000	F	2,090		F	
								2006			41,250	F	2,155		F	
								2007			39,000	F	2,038		F	
								2012			44,900	F	2,346		F	
								2017			48,900	F	2,555		F	
								<b>Roadway ID 55010000</b>								
John Knox Road / Monticello Drive to Allen Road	Principal Arterial	6	Divided/ Bays	1	3.97	0.252	Urban	State 49,200 (D)	5108	45,000	1997	46,000	D	State 2,570 (D)	2,404	D
								1998			39,500	C	2,064		C	
								1999			43,000	D	2,247		D	
								2000			43,500	D	2,273		D	
								2001			43,500	D	2,273		D	
								2002			44,500	D	2,325		D	
								2003			43,500	D	2,273		D	
								Local 49,200 (D)			2004	40,000	C	Local 2,570 (D)	2,090	C
								2005			44,000	D	2,299		D	
								2006			47,500	D	2,482		D	
								2007			45,000	D	2,351		D	
								2012			52,400	F	2,738		F	
								2017			57,900	F	3,025		F	
								<b>Roadway ID 55010000</b>								

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.			
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS	
<b>SR 63/ US 27 Cont.</b>																	
Allen Road to SR 8/ I-10	Principal Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays	4	3.72	1.075	Urban	State 32,700 (D)	3027	46,500	1997	38,000	F	State 1,710 (D)	1,986	F	
								1998			38,500	F	2,012		F		
								1999			45,000	F	2,351		F		
								2000			42,500	F	2,221		F		
								2001			48,000	F	2,508		F		
								2002			45,500	F	2,377		F		
								2003			46,000	F	2,404		F		
								Local 32,700 (D)			2004	43,500	F	Local 1,710 (D)	2,273	F	
								2005			45,000	F	2,351		F		
								2006			48,000	F	2,508		F		
								2007			46,500	F	2,430		F		
								2012			51,300	F	2,680		F		
								2017			56,700	F	2,963		F		
								<b>Roadway ID 55010000</b>									
SR 8/ I-10 to CR 356/ Fred George Road/ Crowder Road	Principal Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays	2	1.06	1.880	Urban	State 35,700 (D)	3045 3069	35,000 42,500	1997	32,500	C	State 1,860 (D)	1,698	C	
								1998			33,500	C	1,750		C		
								1999			38,000	F	1,986		F		
								2000			36,250	F	1,894		F		
								2001			39,500	F	2,064		F		
								2002			37,500	F	1,959		F		
								2003			38,500	F	2,012		F		
								Local 35,700 (D)			2004	40,000	F	Local 1,860 (D)	2,090	F	
								2005			37,000	F	1,933		F		
								2006			37,000	F	1,933		F		
								2007			38,750	F	2,025		F		
								2012			42,800	F	2,236		F		
								2017			47,200	F	2,466		F		
								<b>Roadway ID 55010000</b>									

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 63/ US 27 Cont.</b>																
CR 356/ Fred George Road/ Crowder Road to SR 263/ Capital Circle/ CR 361/ Old Bainbridge Road	Principal Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays	2	0.69	2.881	Urban	State 35,700 (D)	3043	24,500	1997	19,500	B	State 1,860 (D)	1,019	B
								1998			20,000	B	1,045		B	
								1999			22,000	B	1,150		B	
								2000			20,500	B	1,071		B	
								2001			21,500	B	1,123		B	
								2002			20,500	B	1,071		B	
								2003			21,500	B	1,123		B	
								Local 35,700 (D)			2004	21,500	B	Local 1,860 (D)	1,123	B
								2005			23,500	B	1,228		B	
								2006			23,500	B	1,228		B	
								2007			24,500	B	1,280		B	
								2012			28,500	B	1,489		B	
								2017			32,500	C	1,698		C	
								<b>Roadway ID 55010000</b>								
SR 263/ Capital Circle/ CR 361/ Old Bainbridge Road to Gadsden County Line	Principal Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays	1	0.50	2.005	Urban	State 35,700 (D)	3043 500110	24,500 18,800	1997	19,200	B	State 1,860 (D)	1,003	B
								1998			18,900	B	988		B	
								1999			20,050	B	1,048		B	
								2000			20,150	B	1,053		B	
								2001			19,600	B	1,024		B	
								2002			20,250	B	1,058		B	
								2003			19,900	B	1,040		B	
								Local 34,700 (C)			2004	18,850	B	Local 1,810 (C)	985	B
								2005			20,450	B	1,069		B	
								2006			21,550	B	1,126		B	
								2007			21,650	B	1,131		B	
								2012			23,900	B	1,249		B	
								2017			26,400	B	1,379		B	
								<b>Roadway ID 55010000</b>								
Urban Services Area extends approximately 0.5 miles north of SR 263 / Capital Circle.																

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.					
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS			
<b>SR 155/ Meridian Road</b>																			
SR 61/ Thomasville Road/ 7th Avenue to John Knox Road	Major Arterial	2	Undivided/ Bays	4	3.45	1.160	Urban	State	5014	6,700	1997	12,934	D	State	676	D			
								15,400	5077		1998	12,730	D				810	665	D
								(D)	207		1999	12,767	D				(D)	667	D
											2000	11,685	D					611	D
											2001	11,623	D					607	D
											2002	11,938	D					624	D
											2003	11,259	D					588	C
								Local			2004	11,545	D				Local	603	D
								15,400			2005	11,605	D				810	606	D
								(D)			2006	11,562	D				(D)	604	D
											2007	11,220	D					586	C
											2012	12,400	D					648	D
											2017	13,700	D					716	D
								<b>Roadway ID 55110000</b>											
John Knox Road to Lake Shore Drive	Major Arterial	2	Undivided/ Bays	1	0.71	1.409	Urban	State	3005	17,500	1997	18,500	F	State	967	F			
								16,400			1998	16,500	E				860	862	E
								(D)			1999	19,000	F				(D)	993	F
											2000	18,000	F					941	F
											2001	17,000	F					888	E
											2002	17,000	F					888	E
											2003	16,700	E					873	E
								Local			2004	16,900	E				Local	883	E
								16,400			2005	17,000	F				860	888	E
								(D)			2006	17,500	F				(D)	914	F
											2007	17,500	F					914	F
											2012	19,300	F					1,008	F
											2017	21,300	F					1,113	F
								<b>Roadway ID 55110000</b>											

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.			
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS	
<b>SR 261/ US 319/ Capital Circle</b>																	
SR 363/ Woodville Highway to Monday Street  <b>Roadway ID 55003000</b> Woodville Highway to Tram Road is funded by the TRIP program.	Principal Arterial	2	Undivided/ Bays	5	1.14	4.384	Urban	State	3030	15,200	1997	14,333	D	State 860 (D)	749	D	
								16,400	5151	21,500	1998	13,333	C		697	C	
								(D)	5150	22,500	1999	15,000	D		784	D	
											2000	17,000	F		888	E	
											2001	11,000	C		575	C	
											2002	18,100	F		946	F	
											2003	18,367	F		960	F	
								Local			2004	18,233	F		Local 860 (D)	953	F
								16,400			2005	20,667	F			1,080	F
								(D)			2006	20,600	F			1,076	F
											2007	19,733	F			1,031	F
											2012	22,900	F			1,197	F
											2017	25,400	F		1,327	F	
								Monday Street to SR 20/ US 27/ Apalachee Parkway  <b>Roadway ID 55003000</b>	Principal Arterial	2	Undivided/ Bays	3	2.45		1.224	Urban	State
15,400	3055	23,000	1998	16,767	E	876	F										
(D)	5149	26,500	1999	17,467	F	913	F										
			2000	20,067	F	1,048	F										
			2001	19,967	F	1,043	F										
			2002	20,767	F	1,085	F										
			2003	20,600	F	1,076	F										
Local			2004	20,033	F	Local 810 (D)	1,047							F			
15,400			2005	23,500	F		1,228							F			
(D)			2006	24,333	F		1,271							F			
			2007	24,000	F		1,254							F			
			2012	28,800	F		1,505							F			
			2017	33,100	F	1,729	F										

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrence Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 261/ US 319/ Capital Circle Cont.</b>																
SR 20/ US 27/ Apalachee Parkway to Park Avenue	Principal Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays	0	0.00	0.960	Urban	State 35,700 (D)	3057	32,000	1997	22,500	B	State 1,860 (D)	1,176	B
								1998			20,000	B	1,045		B	
								1999			21,000	B	1,097		B	
								2000			21,000	B	1,097		B	
								2001			30,500	C	1,594		C	
								2002			33,000	C	1,724		C	
								2003			32,000	C	1,672		C	
								Local 35,700 (D)			2004	33,000	C	Local 1,860 (D)	1,724	C
								2005			31,500	C	1,646		C	
								2006			33,500	C	1,750		C	
								2007			32,000	C	1,672		C	
								2012			35,300	D	1,844		D	
								2017			39,000	F	2,038		F	
								<b>Roadway ID 55003000</b>								
Park Avenue to SR 10/ US 90/ Mahan Drive	Principal Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays	2	1.53	1.308	Urban	State 35,700 (D)	3058	41,000	1997	25,000	B	State 1,860 (D)	1,306	B
								1998			26,000	B	1,359		B	
								1999			37,500	F	1,959		F	
								2000			38,500	F	2,012		F	
								2001			51,500	F	2,691		F	
								2002			48,500	F	2,534		F	
								2003			49,000	F	2,560		F	
								Local 35,700 (D)			2004	42,000	F	Local 1,860 (D)	2,195	F
								2005			45,500	F	2,377		F	
								2006			44,500	F	2,325		F	
								2007			41,000	F	2,142		F	
								2012			45,300	F	2,367		F	
								2017			50,000	F	2,613		F	
								<b>Roadway ID 55003000</b>								

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 261/ US 319/ Capital Circle Cont.</b>																
SR 10/ US 90/ Mahan Drive to CR 146/ Miccosukee Road	Principal Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays	1	1.42	0.702	Urban	State 35,700 (D)	3059	47,000	1997	20,900	B	State 1,860 (D)	1,092	B
								1998			20,400	B	1,066		B	
								1999			21,000	B	1,097		B	
								2000			21,000	B	1,097		B	
								2001			46,500	F	2,430		F	
								2002			46,500	F	2,430		F	
								2003			46,500	F	2,430		F	
								Local 35,700 (D)			2004	43,500	F	Local 1,860 (D)	2,273	F
								2005			46,000	F	2,404		F	
								2006			47,500	F	2,482		F	
								2007			47,000	F	2,456		F	
								2012			51,900	F	2,712		F	
								2017			57,300	F	2,994		F	
								<b>Roadway ID 55003000</b>								
CR 146/ Miccosukee Road to CR 151/ Centerville Drive	Pricipal Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays	2	2.89	0.692	Urban	State 32,700 (D)	5138	55,500	1997	19,500	C	State 1,710 (D)	1,019	C
								1998			19,000	C	993		C	
								1999			22,500	C	1,176		C	
								2000			36,500	F	1,907		F	
								2001			48,000	F	2,508		F	
								2002			46,500	F	2,430		F	
								2003			45,000	F	2,351		F	
								Local 32,700 (D)			2004	50,500	F	Local 1,710 (D)	2,639	F
								2005			54,500	F	2,848		F	
								2006			65,500	F	3,422		F	
								2007			55,500	F	2,900		F	
								2012			74,300	F	3,882		F	
								2017			99,400	F	5,194		F	
								<b>Roadway ID 55003000</b>								

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 261/ US 319/ Capital Circle Cont.</b>																
CR 151/ Centerville Drive to Eastgate Way	Principal Arterial	6	Divided/ Bays	0	0.00	1.152	Urban	State 53,500 (D)	5139	67,000	1997	28,000	B	State 2,790 (D)	1,463	B
								1998			31,500	B	1,646		B	
								1999			33,500	B	1,750		B	
								2000			41,000	B	2,142		B	
								2001			50,000	C	2,613		C	
								2002			50,500	C	2,639		C	
								2003			47,500	C	2,482		C	
								Local 53,500 (D)			2004	53,500	D	Local 2,790 (D)	2,795	F
								2005			56,000	F	2,926		F	
								2006			66,000	F	3,449		F	
								2007			67,000	F	3,501		F	
								2012			86,900	F	4,541		F	
								2017			106,900	F	5,586		F	
								<b>Roadway ID 55003000</b>								
Eastgate Way to SR 61/ US 319/ Thomasville Highway	Principal Arterial	6	Divided/ Bays	3	2.95	1.018	Urban	State 49,200 (D)	3061	44,500	1997	24,000	C	State 2,570 (D)	1,254	C
								1998			26,000	C	1,359		C	
								1999			30,000	C	1,568		C	
								2000			32,000	C	1,672		C	
								2001			39,000	C	2,038		C	
								2002			39,500	C	2,064		C	
								2003			40,500	C	2,116		D	
								Local 49,200 (D)			2004	39,000	C	Local 2,570 (D)	2,038	C
								2005			45,000	D	2,351		D	
								2006			44,000	D	2,299		D	
								2007			44,500	D	2,325		D	
								2012			50,500	E	2,639		E	
								2017			56,100	F	2,931		F	
								<b>Roadway ID 55003000</b>								

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.											
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS									
<b>SR 261/ US 319/ Capital Circle Flyover (South bound only)</b>																									
SR 61/ US 319/ Thomasville Road to North Footer Bridge	Principal Arterial	2	Undivided/ No Bays	0	0.00	0.524	Urban	State 21,300 (D)	5126	13,000	1997	N/A	-	State 1,130 (D)	NA	NA									
								1998			7,100	B	371		B										
								1999			7,400	B	387		B										
								2000			7,500	B	392		B										
								2001			8,200	C	428		C										
								2002			8,500	C	444		C										
								2003			8,400	C	439		C										
								Local 21,300 (D)			2004	9,300	C	Local 1,130 (D)	486	C									
								2005			10,500	C	549		C										
								2006			11,500	C	601		C										
								2007			13,000	C	679		C										
								2012			17,400	D	909		D										
								<b>Roadway ID 55003002</b>																	
								<b>SR 263/ Capital Circle</b>																	
SR 363/ Woodville Highway to SR 61/ US 319/ Crawfordville Road	Principal Arterial	2	Undivided/ Bays	1	0.75	1.330	Urban	State 16,400 (D)	9908 201	NC NC	1997	10,560	C	State 860 (D)	552	C									
								1998			10,865	C	568		C										
								1999			11,225	C	587		C										
								2000			12,791	C	668		C										
								2001			13,575	C	709		C										
								2002			13,868	D	725		D										
								2003			14,023	D	733		D										
								Local 16,400 (D)			2004	14,500	D	Local 860 (D)	758	D									
								2005			15,000	D	784		D										
								2006			NC	NC	NC		NC										
								2007			NC	NC	NC		NC										
								2012			NC	NC	NC		NC										
								<b>Roadway ID 55002000</b>																	
								2017																	

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 263/ Capital Circle Cont.</b>																
SR 61/ US 319/ Crawfordville Road to CR 2203/ Springhill Road	Principal Arterial	2	Undivided/ Bays	1	0.46	2.194	Urban	State 16,400 (D)	3054	13,500	1997	11,000	C	State 860 (D)	575	C
								1998			12,000	C	627		C	
								1999			11,800	C	617		C	
								2000			10,700	C	559		C	
								2001			12,600	C	658		C	
								2002			12,200	C	637		C	
								2003			11,700	C	611		C	
								Local 16,400 (D)			2004	11,000	C	Local 860 (D)	575	C
								2005			11,400	C	596		C	
								2006			12,600	C	658		C	
								2007			13,500	C	705		C	
								2012			14,900	D	779		D	
								2017			16,500	E	862		E	
								<b>Roadway ID 55002000</b>								
CR 2203/ Springhill Road to Airport Entrance	Principal Arterial	2	Undivided/ Bays	1	0.76	1.324	Urban	State 16,400 (D)	3052	15,300	1997	11,300	C	State 860 (D)	590	C
								1998			11,900	C	622		C	
								1999			11,900	C	622		C	
								2000			11,100	C	580		C	
								2001			13,000	C	679		C	
								2002			12,800	C	669		C	
								2003			11,900	C	622		C	
								Local 16,400 (D)			2004	13,200	C	Local 860 (D)	690	C
								2005			14,000	D	732		D	
								2006			14,600	D	763		D	
								2007			15,300	D	799		D	
								2012			18,200	F	951		F	
								2017			21,300	F	1,113		F	
								<b>Roadway ID 55002000</b>								

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 263/ Capital Circle Cont.</b>																
Airport Entrance to SR 371/ Orange Avenue  <b>Roadway ID 55002000</b> Emerging Strategic Intermodal System Connector.	Principal Arterial	2	Undivided/ Bays	0	0.00	2.048	Urban	State 16,400 (D)	3049	14,500	1997	12,500	C	State 860 (D)	653	C
								1998			12,000	C	627		C	
								1999			13,500	C	705		C	
								2000			12,000	C	627		C	
								2001			14,000	D	732		D	
								2002			13,500	C	705		C	
								2003			12,900	C	674		C	
								Local 16,400 (D)			2004	14,600	D	Local 860 (D)	763	D
								2005			14,000	D	732		D	
								2006			15,500	D	810		D	
								2007			14,500	D	758		D	
								2012			16,800	E	878		E	
								2017			18,500	F	967		F	
								SR 371/ Orange Avenue to SR 20/ Blountstown Highway  <b>Roadway ID 55002000</b> Emerging Strategic Intermodal System Connector.			Principal Arterial	2	Undivided/ Bays		1	0.88
1998	19,900	F	1,040	F												
1999	18,900	F	988	F												
2000	18,400	F	961	F												
2001	19,200	F	1,003	F												
2002	19,300	F	1,008	F												
2003	17,800	F	930	F												
Local 16,400 (D)	2004	19,000	F	Local 860 (D)	993	F										
2005	21,000	F	1,097		F											
2006	23,000	F	1,202		F											
2007	21,000	F	1,097		F											
2012	25,800	F	1,348		F											
2017	29,500	F	1,541		F											

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 263/ Capital Circle Cont.</b>																
SR 20/ Blountstown Highway to SR 10/ US 90/ Tennessee Street  <b>Roadway ID 55002000</b> Emerging Strategic Intermodal System Connector.	Principal Arterial	2	Undivided/ Bays	2	1.14	1.760	Urban	State 16,400 (D)	3048 5152	15,800 18,000	1997	18,200	F	State 860 (D)	951	F
								1998			17,250	F	901		F	
								1999			18,200	F	951		F	
								2000			16,700	E	873		E	
								2001			17,700	F	925		F	
								2002			17,150	F	896		F	
								2003			16,100	D	841		D	
								2004			16,100	D	841		D	
								2005			17,700	F	925		F	
								2006			18,350	F	959		F	
								2007			16,900	E	883		E	
								2012			18,700	F	977		F	
								2017			20,600	F	1,076		F	
								Local 16,400 (D)			2004	16,100	D		Local 860 (D)	841
2005	17,700	F	925	F												
2006	18,350	F	959	F												
2007	16,900	E	883	E												
2012	18,700	F	977	F												
2017	20,600	F	1,076	F												
SR 10/ US 90/ Tennessee Street to CR 158A/ Tharpe Street  <b>Roadway ID 55002000</b> Emerging Strategic Intermodal System Connector.	Principal Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays	1	3.28	0.305	Urban	State 32,700 (D)	3046	26,000	1997	26,000	C	State 1,710 (D)	1,359	C
								1998			25,500	C	1,332		C	
								1999			25,500	C	1,332		C	
								2000			26,000	C	1,359		C	
								2001			26,500	D	1,385		D	
								2002			31,000	D	1,620		D	
								2003			26,000	C	1,359		C	
								2004			28,000	D	Local 1,463 (D)		1,463	D
								2005			26,000	C	1,359		C	
								2006			26,000	C	1,359		C	
								2007			26,000	C	1,359		C	
								2012			28,700	D	1,500		D	
								2017			31,700	D	1,656		D	
								Local 32,700 (D)			2004	28,000	D		Local 1,710 (D)	1,463
2005	26,000	C	1,359	C												
2006	26,000	C	1,359	C												
2007	26,000	C	1,359	C												
2012	28,700	D	1,500	D												
2017	31,700	D	1,656	D												

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 263/ Capital Circle Cont.</b>																
CR 158A/ Tharpe Street to the divided section (800' north of Brittany Boulevard)  <b>Roadway ID 55002000</b> Emerging Strategic Intermodal System Connector.	Principal Arterial	2	Undivided/ Bays	0	0.00	0.780	Urban	State 16,400 (D)	3065 3068	25,500 26,500	1997	26,750	F	State 860 (D)	1,398	F
								1998			27,750	F	1,450		F	
								1999			27,500	F	1,437		F	
								2000			28,000	F	1,463		F	
								2001			28,750	F	1,502		F	
								2002			29,500	F	1,541		F	
								2003			30,250	F	1,581	F		
								2004			29,500	F	Local 860 (D)	1,541	F	
								2005			30,250	F		1,581	F	
								2006			26,000	F		1,359	F	
								2007			26,000	F		1,359	F	
								2012			28,700	F		1,500	F	
								2017			31,700	F		1,656	F	
								Divided section (800' north of Brittany Boulevard) to SR 8/ I-10  <b>Roadway ID 55002000</b> Emerging Strategic Intermodal System Connector.			Principal Arterial	2	Divided/ Bays	0	0.00	0.361
1998	28,000	F	1,463	F												
1999	27,500	F	1,437	F												
2000	27,500	F	1,437	F												
2001	29,500	F	1,541	F												
2002	29,500	F	1,541	F												
2003	32,000	F	1,672	F												
2004	31,500	F	Local 903 (D)	1,646	F											
2005	32,500	F		1,698	F											
2006	25,500	F		1,332	F											
2007	25,500	F		1,332	F											
2012	28,200	F		1,473	F											
2017	31,100	F		1,625	F											

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 263/ Capital Circle Cont.</b>																
SR 8/ I-10 to Gearhart Road	Principal Arterial	2	Divided/ Bays	1	3.33	0.300	Urban	State 16,170 (D)	3066	13,500	1997	16,100	D	State 851 (D)	841	D
								1998			14,500	D	758		D	
								1999			15,500	D	810		D	
								2000			15,500	D	810		D	
								2001			16,000	D	836		D	
								2002			16,600	E	867		E	
								2003			17,900	F	935		F	
								Local 16,170 (D)			2004	15,100	D	Local 851 (D)	789	D
								2005			16,100	D	841		D	
								2006			15,300	D	799		D	
								2007			13,500	D	705		D	
								2012			14,900	D	779		D	
								2017			16,500	E	862		E	
								<b>Roadway ID 55002000</b>								
Gearhart Road to CR 356/ Fred George Road	Principal Arterial	2	Undivided/ Bays	1	1.49	0.670	Urban	State 16,400 (D)	3066	13,500	1997	16,100	D	State 860 (D)	841	D
								1998			14,500	D	758		D	
								1999			15,500	D	810		D	
								2000			15,500	D	810		D	
								2001			16,000	D	836		D	
								2002			16,600	E	867		E	
								2003			17,900	F	935		F	
								Local 16,400 (D)			2004	15,100	D	Local 860 (D)	789	D
								2005			16,100	D	841		D	
								2006			15,300	D	799		D	
								2007			13,500	C	705		C	
								2012			14,900	D	779		D	
								2017			16,500	E	862		E	
								<b>Roadway ID 55002000</b>								

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 263/ Capital Circle Cont.</b>																
CR 356/ Fred George Road to SR 63/ US 27/ North Monroe Street	Principal Arterial	2	Undivided/ Bays	2	0.70	2.871	Urban	State 16,400 (D)	3044	14,700	1997	13,500	C	State 860 (D)	705	C
								1998			13,500	C	705		C	
								1999			14,500	D	758		D	
								2000			14,000	D	732		D	
								2001			13,300	C	695		C	
								2002			14,300	D	747		D	
								2003			14,600	D	763		D	
								Local 16,400 (D)			2004	14,700	D	Local 860 (D)	768	D
								2005			14,400	D	752		D	
								2006			14,000	D	732		D	
								2007			14,700	D	768		D	
								2012			16,200	D	846		D	
								2017			17,900	F	935		F	
								<b>Roadway ID 55002000</b>								
<b>SR 265/ Magnolia Drive</b>																
SR 20/ US 27/ Apalachee Parkway to Park Avenue	Minor Arterial	6	Divided/ Bays	2	5.93	0.337	Urban	State 44,700 (D)	5035	28,000	1997	19,000	C	State 2,330 (D)	993	C
								1998			17,500	C	914		C	
								1999			19,000	C	993		C	
								2000			17,500	C	914		C	
								2001			18,000	C	941		C	
								2002			19,000	C	993		C	
								2003			30,500	D	1,594		D	
								Local 44,700 (D)			2004	29,500	D	Local 2,330 (D)	1,541	D
								2005			31,000	D	1,620		D	
								2006			32,000	D	1,672		D	
								2007			28,000	D	1,463		D	
								2012			37,500	D	1,959		D	
								2017			50,100	F	2,618		F	
								<b>Roadway ID 55005000</b>								

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 265/ Magnolia Drive Cont.</b>																
Park Avenue to SR 10/ US 90/ Tennessee Street/ Mahan Drive	Minor Arterial	6	Divided/ Bays	2	4.84	0.413	Urban	State 44,700 (D)	5036	37,000	1997	16,500	C	State 2,330 (D)	862	C
								1998			17,500	C	914		C	
								1999			17,500	C	914		C	
								2000			19,500	C	1,019		C	
								2001			21,000	D	1,097		D	
								2002			22,000	D	1,150		D	
								2003			44,000	D	2,299		D	
								Local 44,700 (D)			2004	38,000	D	Local 2,330 (D)	1,986	D
								2005			40,000	D	2,090		D	
								2006			36,500	D	1,907		D	
								2007			37,000	D	1,933		D	
								2012			47,900	E	2,503		E	
								2017			55,700	F	2,910		F	
								<b>Roadway ID 55005000</b>								
SR 10/ US 90/ Tennessee Street/ Mahan Drive to CR 146/ Miccosukee Road	Minor Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays	1	1.83	0.546	Urban	State 35,700 (D)	5078	27,500	1997	31,500	C	State 1,860 (D)	1,646	C
								1998			34,000	C	1,777		C	
								1999			32,500	C	1,698		C	
								2000			33,500	C	1,750		C	
								2001			30,000	C	1,568		C	
								2002			31,000	C	1,620		C	
								2003			26,000	B	1,359		B	
								Local 35,700 (D)			2004	21,500	B	Local 1,860 (D)	1,123	B
								2005			24,500	B	1,280		B	
								2006			26,500	B	1,385		B	
								2007			27,500	B	1,437		B	
								2012			30,400	C	1,588		C	
								2017			33,500	C	1,750		C	
								<b>Roadway ID 55005000</b>								

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 265/ Magnolia Drive Cont.</b>																
CR 146/ Miccosukee Road to East 7th Avenue	Minor Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays	1	8.40	0.119	Urban	State 28,900 (D)	5140	32,500	1997	31,500	E	State 1,510 (D)	1,646	E
								1998			33,000	F	1,724		F	
								1999			30,500	E	1,594		E	
								2000			31,500	E	1,646		E	
								2001			34,500	F	1,803		F	
								2002			30,000	E	1,568		E	
								2003			31,000	E	1,620		E	
								Local 28,900 (D)			2004	25,500	D	Local 1,510 (D)	1,332	D
								2005			29,500	E	1,541		E	
								2006			32,500	E	1,698		E	
								2007			32,500	E	1,698		E	
								2012			35,900	F	1,876		F	
								2017			39,600	F	2,069		F	
								<b>Roadway ID 55005000</b>								
<b>SR 267/ Bloxham Cutoff</b>																
SR 20/ Blountstown Highway to Wakulla County Line	Rural Major	2	Undivided/ No Bays	0	0.00	14.169	Trans	State 13,100 (C)	386	1,450	1997	1,050	B	State 690 (C)	55	B
								1998			1,000	B	53		B	
								1999			1,200	B	63		B	
								2000			1,100	B	58		B	
								2001			1,200	B	63		B	
								2002			1,350	B	71		B	
								2003			1,400	B	74		B	
								Local 13,100 (C)			2004	1,350	B	Local 690 (C)	71	B
								2005			1,450	B	77		B	
								2006			1,350	B	71		B	
								2007			1,450	B	77		B	
								2012			1,600	B	84		B	
								2017			1,800	B	95		B	
								<b>Roadway ID 55300000</b>								

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 363/ Woodville Highway</b>																
Wakulla County Line to CR 2192/ Natural Bridge Road	Minor Arterial	2	Undivided/ Bays	0	0.00	2.739	Urban	State 21,300 (D)	300	9,768	1997	8,590	C	State 1,130 (D)	449	C
								1998			8,787	C	459		C	
								1999			9,221	C	482		C	
								2000			9,370	C	490		C	
								2001			9,305	C	486		C	
								2002			9,352	C	489		C	
								2003			9,600	C	502		C	
								Local 15,000 (C)			2004	9,900	C	Local 790 (C)	517	C
								2005			10,092	C	527		C	
								2006			9,808	C	512		C	
								2007			9,768	C	510		C	
								2012			10,800	C	564		C	
								2017			11,900	C	622		C	
								2017			11,900	C	622		C	
<b>Roadway ID 55040000</b>																
CR 2192/ Natural Bridge Road to CR 2204/ Oakridge Road	Minor Arterial	2	Undivided/ Bays	1	1.69	0.593	Urban	State 16,400 (D)	300	9,768	1997	8,590	C	State 860 (D)	449	C
								1998			8,787	C	459		C	
								1999			9,221	C	482		C	
								2000			9,370	C	490		C	
								2001			9,305	C	486		C	
								2002			9,352	C	489		C	
								2003			9,600	C	502		C	
								Local 13,800 (C)			2004	9,900	C	Local 720 (C)	517	C
								2005			10,092	C	527		C	
								2006			9,808	C	512		C	
								2007			9,768	C	510		C	
								2012			10,800	C	564		C	
								2017			11,900	C	622		C	
								2017			11,900	C	622		C	
<b>Roadway ID 55040000</b>																

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrence Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 363/ Woodville Highway Cont.</b>																
CR 2204/ Oakridge Road to Tallahassee Urbanized Area Boundary (0.3 miles south of Rhodes Cemetary Road)	Minor Arterial	2	Undivided/ Bays	0	0.00	0.342	Urban	State 16,400 (D)	300	9,768	1997	8,590	C	State 860 (D)	449	C
								1998			8,787	C	459		C	
								1999			9,221	C	482		C	
								2000			9,370	C	490		C	
								2001			9,305	C	486		C	
								2002			9,352	C	489		C	
								2003			9,600	C	502		C	
								Local 13,800 (C)			2004	9,900	C	Local 720 (C)	517	C
								2005			10,092	C	527		C	
								2006			9,808	C	512		C	
								2007			9,768	C	510		C	
								2012			10,800	C	564		C	
								2017			11,900	C	622		C	
<b>Roadway ID 55040000</b>																
Tallahassee Urbanized Area Boundary (0.3 miles south of Rhodes Cemetary Road) to Tallahassee Urbanized Area Boundary (0.9 miles south of SR 261 / Capital Circle)	Minor Arterial	2	Undivided/ Bays	0	0.00	2.730	Trans	State 15,500 (D)	300	9,768	1997	8,590	C	State 820 (D)	454	C
								1998			8,787	C	464		C	
								1999			9,221	C	487		C	
								2000			9,370	C	495		C	
								2001			9,305	C	491		C	
								2002			9,352	C	494		C	
								2003			9,600	C	507		C	
	Local 13,100 (C)							2004			9,900	C	Local 690 (C)	523	C	
	2005							10,092			C	533		C		
	2006							9,808			C	518		C		
	2007							9,768			C	516		C		
	2012							10,800			C	570		C		
	2017							11,900			C	628		C		
<b>Roadway ID 55040000</b>																

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrence Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 363/ Woodville Highway Cont.</b>																
Tallahassee Urbanized Area Boundary (0.9 miles south of SR 261 / Capital Circle) to SR 261/ SR 263/ US 319/ Capital Circle	Principal Arterial	2	Undivided/ Bays	1	1.11	0.900	Urban	State 16,400 (D)	3031	15,400	1997	13,100	C	State 860 (D)	684	C
								1998			14,100	D	737		D	
								1999			13,800	C	721		D	
								2000			14,400	D	752		D	
								2001			15,200	D	794		D	
								2002			14,300	D	747		D	
								2003			15,000	D	784		D	
								Local 16,400 (D)			2004	16,200	D	Local 860 (D)	846	D
								2005			15,900	D	831		D	
								2006			14,800	D	773		D	
								2007			15,400	D	805		D	
								2012			17,000	F	888		E	
								2017			18,800	F	982		F	
								<b>Roadway ID 55040000</b>								
SR 261/ SR 263/ US 319/ Capital Circle to divided section (at Sunday Court)	Principal Arterial	2	Undivided/ Bays	1	0.72	1.393	Urban	State 16,400 (D)	3032	12,900	1997	13,000	C	State 860 (D)	679	C
								1998			13,000	C	679		C	
								1999			13,500	C	705		C	
								2000			13,000	C	679		C	
								2001			13,000	C	679		C	
								2002			13,400	C	700		C	
								2003			13,100	C	684		C	
								Local 16,400 (D)			2004	12,600	C	Local 860 (D)	658	C
								2005			13,900	D	726		D	
								2006			12,400	C	648		C	
								2007			12,900	C	674		C	
								2012			14,200	D	742		D	
								2017			15,700	D	820		D	
								<b>Roadway ID 55040000</b>								

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 363/ Woodville Highway Cont.</b>																
Divided section (at Sunday Court) to Gaile Avenue	Principal Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays	1	4.81	0.208	Urban	State 28,900 (D)	3032	12,900	1997	13,000	D	State 1,510 (D)	679	D
								1998			13,000	D	679		D	
								1999			13,500	D	705		D	
								2000			13,000	D	679		D	
								2001			13,000	D	679		D	
								2002			13,400	D	700		D	
								2003			13,100	D	684		D	
								Local 28,900 (D)			2004	12,600	D	Local 1,510 (D)	658	D
								2005			13,900	D	726		D	
								2006			12,400	C	648		C	
								2007			12,900	D	674		D	
								2012			14,200	D	742		D	
								2017			15,700	D	820		D	
								<b>Roadway ID 55040000</b>								
<b>SR 363/ Adams Street</b>																
SR 373/ Orange Avenue to Putnam Drive	Principal Arterial	4	Undivided/ Bays	1	3.24	0.309	Urban	State 31,065 (D)	5058	18,000	1997	16,300	C	State 1,625 (D)	852	C
								1998			18,200	C	951		C	
								1999			16,400	C	857		C	
								2000			16,400	C	857		C	
								2001			17,300	C	904		C	
								2002			18,800	C	982		C	
								2003			17,100	C	893		C	
								Local 31,065 (D)			2004	17,600	C	Local 1,625 (D)	920	C
								2005			18,800	C	982		C	
								2006			18,000	C	941		C	
								2007			18,000	C	941		C	
								2012			19,900	C	1,040		C	
								2017			21,900	C	1,144		C	
								<b>Roadway ID 55100000</b>								

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 363/ Adams Street Cont.</b>																
Putnam Drive to Magnolia Drive	Principal Arterial	2	Undivided/ No Bays	1	4.90	0.204	Urban	State 10,080 (D)	5058	18,000	1997	16,300	F	State 528 (D)	852	F
								1998			18,200	F	951		F	
								1999			16,400	F	857		F	
								2000			16,400	F	857		F	
								2001			17,300	F	904		F	
								2002			18,800	F	982		F	
								2003			17,100	F	893		F	
								Local 10,080 (D)			2004	17,600	F	Local 528 (D)	920	F
								2005			18,800	F	982		F	
								2006			18,000	F	941		F	
								2007			18,000	F	941		F	
								2012			19,900	F	1,040		F	
								2017			21,900	F	1,144		F	
								<b>Roadway ID 55100000</b>								
Magnolia Drive to Bronough Street	Principal Arterial	2	Divided	0	0.00	0.598	Urban	State 17,220 (D)	5058	18,000	1997	16,300	D	State 903 (D)	852	D
								1998			18,200	F	951		F	
								1999			16,400	D	857		D	
								2000			16,400	D	857		D	
								2001			17,300	E	904		E	
								2002			18,800	F	982		F	
								2003			17,100	D	893		D	
								Local 17,220 (D)			2004	17,600	E	Local 903 (D)	920	E
								2005			18,800	F	982		F	
								2006			18,000	F	941		F	
								2007			18,000	F	941		F	
								2012			19,900	F	1,040		F	
								2017			21,900	F	1,144		F	
								<b>Roadway ID 55100000</b>								

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 363/ Duval Street (North bound)</b>																
SR 363/ Adams Street to SR 371/ Gaines Street	Principal Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays	0	0.00	0.506	Urban	State 35,700 (D)	5147	6,700	1997	6,200	B	State 1,860 (D)	324	B
								1998			7,300	B	381		B	
								1999			9,700	B	507		B	
								2000			6,900	B	361		B	
								2001			7,300	B	381		B	
								2002			7,900	B	413		B	
								2003			8,400	B	439		B	
								Local 35,700 (D)			2004	8,300	B	Local 1,860 (D)	434	B
								2005			7,000	B	366		B	
								2006			6,900	B	361		B	
								2007			6,700	B	350		B	
								2012			7,400	B	387		B	
								2017			8,200	B	428		B	
								<b>Roadway ID 55100001</b>								
<b>SR 363/ Bronough Street (South bound)</b>																
SR 371/ Gaines Street to SR 363 Adams Street/ Harrison Street	Principal Arterial	4	Undivided/ Bays	0	0.00	0.320	Urban	State 33,915 (D)	5148	6,900	1997	6,900	B	State 1,767 (D)	361	B
								1998			8,200	B	428		B	
								1999			8,800	B	460		B	
								2000			6,000	B	314		B	
								2001			6,300	B	329		B	
								2002			8,700	B	455		B	
								2003			9,100	B	475		B	
								Local 33,915 (D)			2004	10,000	B	Local 1,767 (D)	523	B
								2005			7,700	B	402		B	
								2006			7,600	B	397		B	
								2007			6,900	B	361		B	
								2012			7,600	B	397		B	
								2017			8,400	B	439		B	
								<b>Roadway ID 55100002</b>								

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 366/ Bryan Street / Stadium Drive Realignment</b>																
SR 366 / Pensacola Street to SR 371 / Lake Bradford Road / Stadium Drive	Principal Arterial	4	Divided	1	1.47	0.679	Urban	State 35,700 (D)	5030	20,700	1997	NA	NA	State 1,860 (D)	NA	NA
								1998			NA	NA	NA		NA	
								1999			NA	NA	NA		NA	
								2000			NA	NA	NA		NA	
								2001			NA	NA	NA		NA	
								2002			16,800	B	878		B	
								2003			16,700	B	873	B		
								Local 35,700 (D)			2004	18,600	B	Local 1,860 (D)	972	B
								2005			20,500	B	1,071		B	
								2006			22,300	B	1,165		B	
								2007			20,700	B	1,082		B	
								2012			27,500	B	1,437		B	
								2017			32,900	C	1,719		C	
								<b>Roadway ID 55090002</b>								
SR 371 / Lake Bradford Road / Stadium Drive to St. Augustine Street	Principal Arterial	4	Divided	0	0.00	0.167	Urban	State 35,700 (D)	5113	7,400	1997	NA	NA	State 1,860 (D)	NA	NA
								1998			NA	NA	NA		NA	
								1999			NA	NA	NA		NA	
								2000			NA	NA	NA		NA	
								2001			NA	NA	NA		NA	
								2002			16,800	B	878		B	
								2003			16,700	B	873	B		
								Local 35,700 (D)			2004	18,600	B	Local 1,860 (D)	972	B
								2005			20,500	B	1,071		B	
								2006			7,400	B	387		B	
								2007			7,400	B	387		B	
								2012			8,200	B	428		B	
								2017			9,000	B	470		B	
								<b>Roadway ID 55090002</b>								

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 366/ Pensacola Street</b>																
SR 20/ Blountstown Highway to Appleyard Drive	Principal Arterial	2	Undivided/ Bays	4	4.09	0.977	Urban	State 15,400 (D)	3010	15,200	1997	14,600	D	State 810 (D)	763	D
								1998			15,000	D	784		D	
								1999			14,200	D	742		D	
								2000			14,400	D	752		D	
								2001			14,100	D	737		D	
								2002			14,000	D	732		D	
								2003			13,500	D	705		D	
								Local 15,400 (D)			2004	12,500	D	Local 810 (D)	653	D
								2005			14,000	D	732		D	
								2006			15,700	E	820		E	
								2007			15,200	D	794		D	
								2012			17,200	F	899		F	
								2017			19,200	F	1,003		F	
								<b>Roadway ID 55090000</b>								
Appleyard Drive to South Ocala Road	Principal Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays	3	2.42	1.238	Urban	State 32,700 (D)	5073 5067 5045	24,500 37,500 38,500	1997	28,500	D	State 1,710 (D)	1,489	D
								1998			28,833	D	1,507		D	
								1999			30,167	D	1,576		D	
								2000			28,833	D	1,507		D	
								2001			31,667	D	1,655		D	
								2002			30,167	D	1,576		D	
								2003			29,833	D	1,559		D	
								Local 32,700 (D)	2004	30,000	D	Local 1,710 (D)	1,568	D		
								2005	32,833	E	1,716		E			
								2006	37,167	F	1,942		F			
								2007	33,500	E	1,750		E			
								2012	41,100	F	2,147		F			
								2017	47,100	F	2,461		F			
								<b>Roadway ID 55090000</b>								

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 366/ Pensacola Street Cont.</b>																
South Ocala Road to Stadium Drive West	Principal Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays	3	4.83	0.621	Urban	State 28,900 (D)	3009	36,500	1997	33,000	F	State 1,510 (D)	1,724	F
								1998			31,500	E	1,646		E	
								1999			37,500	F	1,959		F	
								2000			32,500	E	1,698		E	
								2001			35,000	F	1,829		F	
								2002			36,500	F	1,907		F	
								2003			30,000	E	1,568		E	
								Local 28,900 (D)			2004	30,500	E	Local 1,510 (D)	1,594	E
								2005			34,500	F	1,803		F	
								2006			38,500	F	2,012		F	
								2007			36,500	F	1,907		F	
								2012			40,700	F	2,127		F	
								2017			45,000	F	2,351		F	
								<b>Roadway ID 55090000</b>								
<b>SR 366/ St. Augustine Street (East bound)</b>																
Stadium Drive West to Macomb Street / Civic Center Entrance	Principal Arterial	2	Undivided/ Bays	3	2.51	1.196	Urban	State 15,400 (D)	5117 5115	7,300 7,700	1997	10,050	C	State 810 (D)	525	C
								1998			9,750	C	509		C	
								1999			10,250	C	536		C	
								2000			6,750	C	353		C	
								2001			11,250	D	588		C	
								2002			11,250	D	588		C	
								2003			7,100	C	371		C	
								Local 15,400 (D)			2004	7,350	C	Local 810 (D)	384	C
								2005			7,800	C	408		C	
								2006			8,350	C	436		C	
								2007			7,500	C	392		C	
								2012			8,300	C	434		C	
								2017			9,100	C	475		C	
								<b>Roadway ID 55090000</b>								

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.			
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS	
<b>SR 366/ St. Augustine Street (East bound) Cont.</b>																	
Macomb Street/ Civic Center Entrance to SR 61/ US 27/ Monroe Street	Principal Arterial	3	Undivided/ Bays	3	6.30	0.476	Urban	State 26,820 (D)	5131	8,100	1997	9,500	C	State 1,398 (D)	496	C	
								1998			9,300	C	486		C		
								1999			9,000	C	470		C		
								2000			9,900	C	517		C		
								2001			11,450	C	598		C		
								2002			10,650	C	556		C		
								2003			9,700	C	507		C		
								Local 26,820 (D)			2004	6,900	C	Local 1,398 (D)	361	C	
								Maximum Volume Standard: Multiplied 6-lane divided volume by 0.60 for 1-way facility.			2005	7,400	C		387	C	
											2006	8,100	C		423	C	
											2007	8,100	C		423	C	
											2012	8,900	C		465	C	
											2017	9,900	C		517	C	
											<b>Roadway ID 55090000</b>						
<b>SR 366/ Pensacola Street (West bound)</b>																	
SR 61/ US 27/ Monroe Street to Duval Street	Principal Arterial	2	Undivided/ Bays	0	0.00	0.170	Urban	State 16,400 (D)	5124	8,600	1997	9,100	C	State 860 (D)	475	C	
								1998			9,300	C	486		C		
								1999			10,400	C	543		C		
								2000			9,000	C	470		C		
								2001			9,000	C	470		C		
								2002			9,400	C	491		C		
								2003			8,300	C	434		C		
								Local 16,400 (D)			2004	7,200	C	Local 860 (D)	376	C	
											2005	8,100	C		423	C	
											2006	8,600	C		449	C	
											2007	8,600	C		449	C	
											2012	9,500	C		496	C	
											2017	10,500	C		549	C	
											<b>Roadway ID 55090001</b>						

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.			
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS	
<b>SR 366/ Pensacola Street (West bound) Cont.</b>																	
Duval Street to Martin Luther King Jr. Boulevard	Principal Arterial	3	Undivided/ Bays	0	0.00	0.156	Urban	State 32,100 (D)	5040	6,700	1997	9,500	B	State 1,674 (D)	496	B	
								1998			13,000	B	679		B		
								1999			10,000	B	523		B		
								2000			9,600	B	502		B		
								2001			9,600	B	502		B		
								2002			10,000	B	523		B		
								2003			6,900	B	361		B		
								Local 32,100 (D)			2004	5,600	B	Local 1,674 (D)	293	B	
								Maximum Volume Standard: Multiplied 6-lane divided volume by 0.60 for 1-way facility.			2005	6,200	B		324	B	
											2006	6,300	B		329	B	
											2007	6,700	B		350	B	
											2012	7,400	B		387	B	
											2017	8,200	B		428	B	
											<b>Roadway ID 55090001</b>						
Martin Luther King Jr. Boulevard to Macomb Street / Railroad Avenue	Principal Arterial	2	Undivided/ No Bays	1	4.88	0.205	Urban		State 12,600 (D)	5042	6,800	1997	11,500	D	State 660 (D)	601	D
								1998	12,500			D	653	D			
								1999	12,500			D	653	D			
								2000	12,000			D	627	D			
								2001	13,500			E	705	E			
								2002	13,500			E	705	E			
								2003	7,800			D	408	D			
								Local 12,600 (D)	2004			7,700	D	Local 660 (D)	402	D	
									2005			8,900	D		465	D	
									2006			8,100	D		423	D	
									2007			6,800	D		355	D	
									2012			7,500	D		392	D	
									2017			8,300	D		434	D	
									<b>Roadway ID 55090001</b>								

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 366/ Pensacola Street (West bound) Cont.</b>																
Macomb Street / Railroad Avenue to Lorene Street	Principal Arterial	2	Undivided/ No Bays	1	2.47	0.405	Urban	State 15,400 (D)	5042	6,800	1997	11,500	D	State 810 (D)	601	D
								1998			12,500	D	653		D	
								1999			12,500	D	653		D	
								2000			12,000	D	627		D	
								2001			13,500	D	705		D	
								2002			13,500	D	705		D	
								2003			7,800	C	408		C	
								Local 15,400 (D)			2004	7,700	C	Local 810 (D)	402	C
								2005			8,900	C	465		C	
								2006			8,100	C	423		C	
								2007			6,800	C	355		C	
								2012			7,500	C	392		C	
								2017			8,300	C	434		C	
								<b>Roadway ID 55090001</b>								
Lorene Street to Jefferson / Stadium Drive	Principal Arterial	3	Undivided/ No Bays	2	7.41	0.270	Urban	State 26,820 (D)	5042 5044	6,800 7,800	1997	9,650	C	State 1,398 (D)	504	C
								1998			11,500	D	601		C	
								1999			9,950	C	520		C	
								2000			12,500	D	653		D	
								2001			13,250	D	692		D	
								2002			13,500	D	705		D	
								2003			8,150	C	426		C	
								Local 26,820 (D)			2004	7,600	C	Local 1,398 (D)	397	C
								2005			8,050	C	421		C	
								2006			9,800	C	512		C	
								2007			7,300	C	381		C	
								2012			8,100	C	423		C	
								2017			8,900	C	465		C	
								<b>Roadway ID 55090001</b>								

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 369/ US 319/ Crawfordville Road</b>																
Wakulla County Line / Tallahassee Urbanized Area Boundary to SR 61 / Wakulla Springs Road	Principal Arterial	2	Undivided/ No Bays	0	0.00	4.300	Urban	State 21,300 (D)	139	13,300	1997	10,700	C	State 1,130 (D)	559	C
								1998			10,300	C	538		C	
								1999			10,700	C	559		C	
								2000			10,500	C	549		C	
								2001			12,100	C	632		C	
								2002			11,900	C	622		C	
								2003			11,900	C	622		C	
								Local 15,000 (C)			2004	10,400	C	Local 790 (C)	543	C
								2005			10,000	C	523		C	
								2006			11,800	C	617		C	
								2007			13,300	C	695		C	
								2012			14,700	C	768		C	
								2017			16,200	D	846		D	
								<b>Roadway ID 55170000</b>								
<b>SR 371/ Realignment</b>																
SR 263 / Capital Circle to Rankin Avenue	Minor Arterial	2	Undivided	0	0.00	0.501	Urban	State 16,400 (D)	3050	10,800	1997	9,400	C	State 860 (D)	491	C
								1998			9,800	C	512		C	
								1999			8,000	C	418		C	
								2000			10,500	C	549		C	
								2001			11,100	C	580		C	
								2002			11,300	C	590		C	
								2003			10,300	C	538		C	
								Local 16,400 (D)			2004	10,100	C	Local 860 (D)	528	C
								2005			10,500	C	549		C	
								2006			10,200	C	533		C	
								2007			10,800	C	564		C	
								2012			11,900	C	622		C	
								2017			13,200	C	690		C	
								<b>Roadway ID 55160100</b>								

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.				
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS		
<b>SR 371/ Gaines Street</b>																		
SR 371/ Lake Bradford/ SR 157/ South Woodward Avenue to Railroad Avenue	Minor Arterial	4	Undivided/ Bays	1	1.99	0.502	Urban	State 33,915 (D)	5047 5144	33,500 27,500	1997	21,100	B	State 1,767 (D)	1,102	B		
											1998	20,000	B		1,045	B		
											1999	19,950	B		1,042	B		
											2000	20,250	B		1,058	B		
											2001	21,650	B		1,131	B		
											2002	23,750	B		1,241	B		
								Local 33,915 (D)	5143	26,500	2003	24,500	B	1,280	B			
											2004	29,000	C	1,515	C			
											2005	29,750	C	1,554	C			
											2006	32,250	C	1,685	C			
											2007	30,500	C	1,594	C			
											2012	40,700	F	2,127	F			
											2017	48,900	F	2,555	F			
<b>Roadway ID 55006000</b>																		
Railroad Avenue to Martin Luther King Boulevarc	Minor Arterial	4	Undivided/ Bays	0	0.00	0.245	Urban	State 33,915 (D)	5143	26,500	1997	19,800	B	State 1,767 (D)	1,035	B		
											1998	22,500	B		1,176	B		
											1999	19,700	B		1,029	B		
											2000	17,700	B		925	B		
											2001	18,500	B		967	B		
											2002	20,700	B		1,082	B		
								Local 33,915 (D)	5143	26,500	2003	21,400	B	1,118	B			
											2004	22,500	B	1,176	B			
											2005	25,500	B	1,332	B			
											2006	26,000	B	1,359	B			
											2007	26,500	B	1,385	B			
											2012	33,600	D	1,756	D			
											2017	40,100	F	2,095	F			
<b>Roadway ID 55006000</b>																		

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 371/ Gaines Street Cont.</b>																
Martin Luther King Boulevard to Bronough Street	Minor Arterial	4	Undivided/ Bays	1	12.50	0.080	Urban	State 27,455 (D)	5142	27,000	1997	19,600	D	State 1,435 (D)	1,024	D
								1998			19,800	D	1,035		D	
								1999			19,500	D	1,019		D	
								2000			19,300	D	1,008		D	
								2001			21,400	D	1,118		D	
								2002			20,300	D	1,061		D	
								2003			19,600	D	1,024	D		
								2004			20,700	D	Local 1,435 (D)	1,082	D	
								2005			23,000	D		1,202	D	
								2006			26,000	D		1,359	D	
								2007			27,000	D		1,411	D	
								2012			34,600	E		1,808	E	
								2017			42,400	F		2,215	F	
								<b>Roadway ID 55006000</b>								
Bronough Street to SR 61/ South Monroe Street	Minor Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays	2	8.70	0.230	Urban	State 28,900 (D)	5142	27,000	1997	19,600	D	State 1,510 (D)	1,024	D
								1998			19,800	D	1,035		D	
								1999			19,500	D	1,019		D	
								2000			19,300	D	1,008		D	
								2001			21,400	D	1,118		D	
								2002			20,300	D	1,061		D	
								2003			19,600	D	1,024	D		
								2004			20,700	D	Local 1,510 (D)	1,082	D	
								2005			23,000	D		1,202	D	
								2006			26,000	D		1,359	D	
								2007			27,000	D		1,411	D	
								2012			34,600	F		1,808	F	
								2017			42,400	F		2,215	F	
								<b>Roadway ID 55006000</b>								

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 371/ Lake Bradford Road</b>																
Cypress Lake Road / Orange Avenue to Coleman Street	Minor Arterial	2	Undivided	1	1.34	0.747	Urban	State 16,400 (D)	3020	4,000	1997	4,700	C	State 860 (D)	246	C
								1998			3,800	B	199		B	
								1999			3,900	B	204		B	
								2000			3,900	B	204		B	
								2001			3,300	B	172		B	
								2002			3,400	B	178		B	
								2003			3,300	B	172	B		
								2004			3,300	B	Local 860 (D)	172	B	
								2005			3,800	B		199	B	
								2006			4,100	B		214	B	
								2007			4,000	B		209	B	
								2012			4,900	C		256	C	
								2017			5,800	C		303	C	
								<b>Roadway ID 55160101</b>								
CR 2205/ Lake Bradford Road to Coleman Street/Springhill Road/ End Exception	Minor Arterial	2	Undivided/ Bays	1	0.57	1.741	Urban	State 16,400 (D)	5098	13,500	1997	13,000	C	State 860 (D)	679	C
								1998			11,500	C	601		C	
								1999			11,000	C	575		C	
								2000			12,500	C	653		C	
								2001			11,500	C	601		C	
								2002			14,000	D	732		D	
								2003			12,000	C	627	C		
								2004			12,500	C	Local 860 (D)	653	C	
								2005			14,000	D		732	D	
								2006			12,500	C		653	C	
								2007			13,500	C		705	C	
								2012			14,900	D		779	D	
								2017			16,500	E		862	E	
								<b>Roadway ID 55160000</b>								

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 371/ Lake Bradford Road Cont.</b>																
Coleman Street/Springhill Road/ End Exception to SR 371 / Gaines Street	Minor Arterial	2	Divided/ Bays	5	4.60	1.088	Urban	State 13,230 (D)	5059 3011 5101	19,400 26,000 35,000	1997	22,500	F	State 693 (D)	1,176	F
											1998	24,400	F		1,275	F
											1999	22,733	F		1,188	F
											2000	21,100	F		1,102	F
											2001	19,867	F		1,038	F
											2002	20,230	F		1,057	F
								Local 13,230 (D)	5059 3011 5101	19,400 26,000 35,000	2003	21,996	F	1,149	F	
											2004	24,999	F	1,306	F	
											2005	28,433	F	1,486	F	
											2006	26,133	F	1,365	F	
											2007	26,800	F	1,400	F	
											2012	35,200	F	1,839	F	
											2017	42,100	F	2,200	F	
											<b>Roadway ID 55160000</b>					
<b>SR 371/ Woodward Street</b>																
SR 371/ Gaines Street to SR 366/ Pensacola Street	Minor Arterial	2	Undivided/ Bays	3	6.77	0.443	Urban	State 12,600 (D)	5048	7,000	1997	8,200	D	State 660 (D)	428	D
											1998	6,800	D		355	D
											1999	8,800	D		460	D
											2000	9,100	D		475	D
											2001	9,700	D		507	D
											2002	8,600	D		449	D
								Local 12,600 (D)	5048	7,000	2003	7,900	D	413	D	
											2004	6,900	D	361	D	
											2005	6,900	D	361	D	
											2006	7,800	D	408	D	
											2007	7,000	D	366	D	
											2012	7,700	D	402	D	
											2017	8,500	D	444	D	
											<b>Roadway ID 55160000</b>					

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 371/ Woodward Street Cont.</b>																
SR 366/ Pensacola Street to SR 10/ US 90/ West Tennessee Street	Minor Arterial	2	Undivided/ Bays	1	1.90	0.525	Urban	State 16,400 (D)	5052 5050	13,800 4,900	1997	13,950	D	State 860 (D)	729	D
								1998			13,500	C	705		C	
								1999			13,700	C	716		C	
								2000			14,400	D	752		D	
								2001			17,400	F	909		F	
								2002			16,800	E	878		E	
								2003			7,400	C	387		C	
								Local 16,400 (D)			2004	7,500	C	Local 860 (D)	392	C
								2005			7,600	C	397		C	
								2006			8,750	C	457		C	
								2007			9,350	C	489		C	
								2012			10,300	C	538		C	
								2017			11,400	C	596		C	
								<b>Roadway ID 55160000</b>								
<b>SR 371/ Orange Avenue</b>																
SR 263/ Capital Circle to CR 2205/ Lake Bradford Road	Minor Arterial	2	Undivided/ Bays	0	0.00	1.533	Urban	State 16,400 (D)	3050	10,800	1997	9,400	C	State 860 (D)	491	C
								1998			9,800	C	512		C	
								1999			8,000	C	418		C	
								2000			10,500	C	549		C	
								2001			11,100	C	580		C	
								2002			11,300	C	590		C	
								2003			10,300	C	538		C	
								Local 16,400 (D)			2004	10,100	C	Local 860 (D)	528	C
								2005			10,500	C	549		C	
								2006			10,200	C	533		C	
								2007			10,800	C	564		C	
								2012			11,900	C	622		C	
								2017			13,200	C	690		C	
								<b>Roadway ID 55160000</b>								

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 373/ Orange Avenue</b>																
SR 371/ Lake Bradford Road to CR 2203/ Springhill Road	Minor Arterial	2	Undivided/ Bays	1	2.38	0.420	Urban	State 15,400 (D)	5099	12,000	1997	9,800	C	State 810 (D)	512	C
								1998			9,200	C	481		C	
								1999			10,000	C	523		C	
								2000			11,500	D	601		D	
								2001			15,500	D	810		D	
								2002			12,500	D	653		D	
								2003			11,500	D	601		D	
								Local 15,400 (D)			2004	11,000	C	Local 810 (D)	575	C
								2005			12,000	D	627		D	
								2006			10,500	C	549		C	
								2007			12,000	D	627		D	
								2012			13,200	D	690		D	
								2017			14,600	D	763		D	
								<b>Roadway ID 55190000</b>								
CR 2203/ Springhill Road to Holton Street	Minor Arterial	2	Undivided/ Bays	1	2.25	0.444	Urban	State 15,400 (D)	3015	18,200	1997	14,900	D	State 810 (D)	779	D
								1998			15,000	D	784		D	
								1999			14,900	D	779		D	
								2000			16,900	F	883		F	
								2001			15,500	E	810		D	
								2002			17,500	F	914		F	
								2003			17,000	F	888		F	
								Local 15,400 (D)			2004	17,400	F	Local 810 (D)	909	F
								2005			18,100	F	946		F	
								2006			16,000	E	836		E	
								2007			18,200	F	951		F	
								2012			20,100	F	1,050		F	
								2017			22,200	F	1,160		F	
								<b>Roadway ID 55190000</b>								

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

STATE ROAD AND SEGMENT	FUNC. CLASS	NO. LNS.	FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL # OF SIG.	SIG PER MI.	SEG. LTH (MI.)	LOS AREA	AADT LOS (STD) / MAX VOL	FDOT COUNT STA #	2007 AADT	AADT			PK HR/ PK DIR.		
											ANALYSIS YEAR	VOL	LOS	LOS (STD)/ MAX VOL	VOL	LOS
<b>SR 373/ Orange Avenue Cont.</b>																
Holton Street to SR 363 / South Adams Street	Minor Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays	3	3.73	0.805	Urban	State 32,700 (D)	209 5072	19,135 26,000	1997	18,607	C	State 1,710 (D)	972	C
								1998			17,715	C	926		C	
								1999			17,272	C	902		C	
								2000			18,556	C	970		C	
								2001			18,596	C	972		C	
								2002			21,157	C	1,105		C	
								2003			21,554	C	1,126		C	
								Local 32,700 (D)			2004	23,033	C	Local 1,710 (D)	1,203	C
								2005			22,909	C	1,197		C	
								2006			22,464	C	1,174		C	
								2007			22,568	C	1,179		C	
								2012			24,900	C	1,301		C	
								2017			27,500	D	1,437		D	
								<b>Roadway ID 55190000</b>								
SR 363/ South Adams Street to SR 61/ South Monroe Street	Minor Arterial	4	Divided/ Bays	1	7.58	0.132	Urban	State 28,900 (D)	5072	26,000	1997	20,500	D	State 1,510 (D)	1,071	D
								1998			19,900	D	1,040		D	
								1999			18,700	D	977		D	
								2000			21,000	D	1,097		D	
								2001			21,000	D	1,097		D	
								2002			24,500	D	1,280		D	
								2003			25,000	D	1,306		D	
								Local 28,900 (D)			2004	27,000	D	Local 1,510 (D)	1,411	D
								2005			28,000	D	1,463		D	
								2006			27,000	D	1,411		D	
								2007			26,000	D	1,359		D	
								2012			29,400	E	1,536		E	
								2017			31,400	E	1,641		E	
								<b>Roadway ID 55190000</b>								

Updated May 2008, using 2007 FDOT Generalized Q / LOS Tables. State LOS Standards and Max Allowable Volumes are based on those established for State Roadways.

Local LOS Standards are based on those established by the adopted local government comprehensive plan.

"E" following the count indicates an 'estimated count. "T" following the Count Station number indicated a Telemetered Traffic Monitoring Site. These Tables Are For General Planning Purposes Only.

Not To Be Used For Concurrency Management Purposes. Prepared for the FY 2006/07 Transportation Planning Organization Congestion Management System.

**GADSDEN COUNTY**

STATE ROAD SECTION	NO. LANES	SIG./ STOPS	FAC. TYPE	LGH. (miles)	LOS AREA	LOS STD MAX. VOL	FDOT STAT.	2007 COUNTS	AADT ANALYSIS			PH/PD ANALYSIS			% OF CAPAC.	ONE-YR. GROWTH	ANNUAL RATE						
									YEAR	AADT	LOS	YEAR	PH/PD	LOS									
<b>SR 12</b>																							
Liberty Co. Line to S. Greensboro Line	2	0	Uninter. Undiv.	5.3	Rural Undev.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 7900	73, 166	<u>AADT</u> 2700 2600	1998	2700	B				<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 33.54%	<u>AADT</u> -1.85%	<u>AADT</u> 0.90%						
						E. Greensboro Line to I-10	2	0	Uninter. Undiv.	2.5	Rural Undev.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 7900	13, 325	<u>AADT</u> 5200 5100	1998	5250	C				<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 65.19%	<u>AADT</u> 7.29%	<u>AADT</u> -0.49%

Annual rate determined as follows: (((2007+2006+2005+2004+2003)/5)/((2002+2001+2000+1999+1998)/5))^-.20-

Appendix A

STATE ROAD SECTION	NO. LANES	SIG./STOPS	FAC. TYPE	LGH. (miles)	LOS AREA	LOS STD MAX.VOL	FDOT STAT.	2005 COUNTS	AADT ANALYSIS			PH/PD ANALYSIS			% OF CAPAC.	ONE-YR. GROWTH	ANNUAL RATE
									YEAR	AADT	LOS	YEAR	PH/PD	LOS			
<b>SR 12</b>																	
E. Quincy Line to W. Havana Line	2	0	Uninter. Undiv.	8.8	Urban Trans.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 14900	117 263	<u>AADT</u> 5700 4800	1998	5250	B				<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 35.23%	<u>AADT</u> 0.00%	<u>AADT</u> 0.15%
									1999	5400	B						
									2000	5200	B						
									2001	5000	B						
									2002	5100	B						
									2003	5500	B						
						2004	5000	B	2005 272 B	2006 277 B	2007 277 B	<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 35.09%					
						2005	5150	B									
						2006	5250	B									
						2007	5250	B									
						2012	5518	B									
						2017	5799	B									
<b>SR 65</b>																	
Liberty Co. Line to SR 12	2	1	Uninter. Undiv.	10.6	Rural Undev.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 7900	170	<u>AADT</u> 2900	1998	2700	B				<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 36.71%	<u>AADT</u> 3.57%	<u>AADT</u> 0.73%
									1999	2400	A						
									2000	2800	B						
									2001	2800	B						
									2002	2800	B						
									2003	2800	B						
						2004	2500	B	2005 162 B	2006 151 B	2007 156 B	<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 37.22%					
						2005	3000	B									
						2006	2800	B									
						2007	2900	B									
						2012	3048	B									
						2017	3203	B									

Annual rate determined as follows:  $((2007+2006+2005+2004+2003)/5)/((2002+2001+2000+1999+1998)/5)^{.20}$   
Appendix A

STATE ROAD SECTION	NO. LANES	SIG./ STOPS	FAC. TYPE	LGH. (miles)	LOS AREA	LOS STD MAX. VOL	FDOT STAT.	2005 COUNTS	AADT ANALYSIS			PH/PD ANALYSIS			% OF CAPAC.	ONE-YR. GROWTH	ANNUAL RATE
									YEAR	AADT	LOS	YEAR	PH/PD	LOS			
<b>SR 267</b>																	
Liberty Co. Line to Spooner Rd. <sup>1</sup>	2	0	Uninter. Undiv.	11.3	Rural Undev.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 7900	27, 322	<u>AADT</u> 4700 5500	1998	3550	B	2005 2006 <b>2007</b>	264 267 <b>275</b>	C C <b>C</b>	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 64.56%	<u>AADT</u> 3.03%	<u>AADT</u> 4.59%
									1999	4100	B						
									2000	4200	B						
									2001	3350	B						
									2002	4100	B						
						2003	4750	C									
						2004	4450	C	<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 65.45%								
						2005	4900	C									
						2006	4950	C									
						<b>2007</b>	<b>5100</b>	<b>C</b>									
						2012	6382	C									
						2017	7985	D*									
						Spooner Road <sup>1</sup> to So. Quincy Line	4	0	Uninter. Undiv. No	1.4	Urban Trans.				<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 32700 (LOS B) 22650	26	<u>AADT</u> 8300
1999	7100	A															
2000	7900	A															
2001	8100	A															
2002	6900	A															
2003	7800	A															
2004	8400	A	<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 25.93% (LOS B) 37.52%														
2005	8100	A															
2006	8300	A															
<b>2007</b>	<b>8300</b>	<b>A</b>															
2012	9378	A															
2017	10595	A															
No. Quincy Line to FL-GA Line <sup>1</sup>	2	0	Uninter. Undiv.	7.6	Rural Undev.							<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 7900	11, 281 1502	<u>AADT</u> 4600 1622 4300	1998	3397	B
						1999	3552	B									
						2000	3564	B									
						2001	3940	B									
						2002	3709	B									
						2003	3671	B									
						2004	3721	B	<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 45.01%								
						2005	3602	B									
						2006	3503	B									
						<b>2007</b>	<b>3507</b>	<b>B</b>									
						2012	3686	B									
						2017	3874	B									

<sup>1</sup> Capital Region Transportation Planning Agency Metropolitan Planning Area Boundary, <sup>2</sup> Capital Region Transportation Planning Agency Urbanized Area Boundary

Annual rate determined as follows:  $((2007+2006+2005+2004+2003)/5)/((2002+2001+2000+1999+1998)/5)^{.20}$

Appendix A

STATE ROAD SECTION	NO. LANES	SIG./STOPS	FAC. TYPE	LGH. (miles)	LOS AREA	LOS STD MAX. VOL	FDOT STAT.	2005 COUNTS	AADT ANALYSIS			PH/PD ANALYSIS			% OF CAPAC.	ONE-YR. GROWTH	ANNUAL RATE
									YEAR	AADT	LOS	YEAR	PH/PD	LOS			
<b>US 27</b>																	
Leon County Line <sup>2</sup> to So. Havana Line	4	0	Uninter. Bays Divided	4.9	Urban Trans.	<u>AADT</u>	110	<u>AADT</u>	1998	14933	A				<u>AADT</u>	3.30%	<u>AADT</u>
						(LOS C)		18800	1999	15100	A				(LOS C)		
						43600		14500	2000	17167	A				38.30%		
						(LOS B)		1601	16800	2001	16267				A		(LOS B)
						30200			2002	16267	A				55.30%		
									2003	15967	A						
						<u>PH/PD</u>			<u>PH/PD</u>	2004	14167				A		<u>PH/PD</u>
						(LOS C)		110	993	2005	15033				A		(LOS C)
						2300		165	766	2006	16167				A		38.34%
						(LOS B)		1601	887	<b>2007</b>	<b>16700</b>				A		(LOS B)
						1590				2012	17552				A		55.46%
										2017	18447				A		
N. Havana Line to FL -GA Line <sup>1</sup>	4	0	Uninter. Bays Divided	4.8	Urban Trans.	<u>AADT</u>	40,**	<u>AADT</u>	1998	8523	A				<u>AADT</u>	-1.98%	<u>AADT</u>
						(LOS C)		6200	1999	8782	A				(LOS B)		
						43600		45#	2000	8323	A				29.00%		
						(LOS B)		54\$	2001	8402	A						
						30200		1603	12200	2002	9043				A		
										2003	8345				A		
						<u>PH/PD</u>			<u>PH/PD</u>	2004	8382				A		<u>PH/PD</u>
						(LOS C)		40,**		2005	8316				A		(LOS B)
						2300		45#	327	2006	8933				A		29.08%
						(LOS B)		54\$	416	<b>2007</b>	<b>8757</b>				A		
						1590		1603	644	2012	9203				A		
										2017	9673				A		

<sup>1</sup> Capital Region Transportation Planning Agency Metropolitan Planning Area Boundary, <sup>2</sup> Capital Region Transportation Planning Agency Urbanized Area Boundary

\*\* Deleted in 2000.

# Not counted from 1995-1999.

\$ Began in 1995.

Annual rate determined as follows:  $((2007+2006+2005+2004+2003)/5)/((2002+2001+2000+1999+1998)/5)^{.20}$

Appendix A

STATE ROAD SECTION	NO. LANES	SIG./STOPS	FAC. TYPE	LGH. (miles)	LOS AREA	LOS STD MAX. VOL	FDOT STAT.	2005 COUNTS	AADT ANALYSIS			PH/PD ANALYSIS			% OF CAPAC.	ONE-YR. GROWTH	ANNUAL RATE
									YEAR	AADT	LOS	YEAR	PH/PD	LOS			
<b>US 90</b>																	
Chattahoochee to W. Gretna	2	0	Uninter. Undiv.	10.1	Rural Undev.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 7900	72, 1704	<u>AADT</u> 4000 4700	1998	4350	B	2005 2006 <b>2007</b>	226 240 <b>234</b>	B C C	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 55.06%	<u>AADT</u> -2.25%	<u>AADT</u> -0.23%
						1999		4150	B								
						2000		4200	B								
						2001		4250	B								
						2002		4700	C								
						2003		4350	B								
						2004		4050	B								
						<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 420		<u>PH/PD</u> 216 253	2005	4200	B						
						2006		4450	C								
						<b>2007</b>		<b>4350</b>	<b>B</b>								
						2012		4572	C								
						2017		4805	C								
						E. Gretna Line to SR 12		2	0	Uninter. Undiv.	1.4				Rural Undev.		
1999	6300	C															
2000	6300	C															
2001	6500	C															
2002	6000	C															
2003	6500	C															
2004	5600	C															
<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 420	<u>PH/PD</u> 329	2005	5700	C													
2006	5600	C															
<b>2007</b>	<b>6100</b>	<b>C</b>															
2012	6411	C															
2017	6738	C															
SR 12 to Ben Boslick Rd. <sup>1</sup>	4	0	Uninter. Bays Divided	1.9	Rural Undev.		<u>AADT</u> (LOS B) 28600					210	<u>AADT</u> 11300	1998		12700	A
						1999	11500	A									
						2000	14100	A									
						2001	12500	A									
						2002	11800	A									
						2003	13000	A									
						2004	12100	A									
						<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS B) 1540	<u>PH/PD</u> 609	2005	10900	A							
						2006	12400	A									
						<b>2007</b>	<b>11300</b>	<b>A</b>									
						2012	11876	A									
						2017	12482	A									

<sup>1</sup> Capital Region Transportation Planning Agency Metropolitan Planning Area Boundary

Annual rate determined as follows:  $((2007+2006+2005+2004+2003)/5)/((2002+2001+2000+1999+1998)/5)^{-1}$

Appendix A

STATE ROAD SECTION	NO. LANES	SIG/ STOPS	FAC. TYPE	LGH. (miles)	LOS AREA	LOS STD MAX.VOL	FDOT STAT.	2005 COUNTS	AADT ANALYSIS			PH/PD ANALYSIS			% OF CAPAC.	ONE-YR. GROWTH	ANNUAL RATE	
									YEAR	AADT	LOS	YEAR	PH/PD	LOS				
<b>US 90</b>																		
E. Quincy Line to W. Midway	4	0	Uninter. Bays Divided	7.8	Urban Trans.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 43600 (LOS B) 30200	1503	<u>AADT</u> 12400	1998	12700	A				<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 28.44% (LOS B) 41.06%	<u>AADT</u> -5.34%	<u>AADT</u> 0.54%	
									1999	12200	A							
									2000	12100	A							
									2001	12800	A							
									2002	12600	A							
									2003	13800	A							
						<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 2300 (LOS B) 1590	1503	<u>PH/PD</u> 655	2004	12600	A				<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 28.47% (LOS B) 41.18%			
									2005	12200	A					2005	644	A
									2006	13100	A					2006	692	A
									<b>2007</b>	<b>12400</b>	<b>A</b>					<b>2007</b>	<b>655</b>	<b>A</b>
									2012	13033	A							
									2017	13697	A							

Annual rate determined as follows:  $((2007+2006+2005+2004+2003)/5)/((2002+2001+2000+1999+1998)/5)^{.20}$   
Appendix A

STATE ROAD SECTION	NO. LANES	SIG/ STOPS	FAC. TYPE	LGH. (miles)	LOS AREA	LOS STD MAX. VOL	FDOT STAT.	2005 COUNTS	AADT ANALYSIS			PH/PD ANALYSIS			% OF CAPAC.	ONE-YR. GROWTH	ANNUAL RATE
									YEAR	AADT	LOS	YEAR	PH/PD	LOS			
<b>I-10</b>																	
Jackson Co. to CR 270A	4	0	Freeway	5.2	Rural Undev.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS B) 35300	2001	<u>AADT</u> 22500	1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004	16400 19600 17400 13400 15400 19100 19000	A A A A A A A				<u>AADT</u> (LOS B) 63.74%	<u>AADT</u> 2.27%	<u>AADT</u> 4.45%
Section is on the Intrastate Highway System						<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS B) 2020	2001	<u>PH/PD</u> 1213	2005 2006 <b>2007</b> 2012 2017	19600 22000 <b>22500</b> 27974 34781	A B <b>B</b> B B	2005 2006 <b>2007</b>	1056 1186 <b>1213</b>	A A <b>A</b>	<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS B) 60.04%		
CR 270A to Ben Boslick Rd. <sup>1</sup>	4	0	Freeway	12.7	Rural Undev.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS B) 35300	2003 2005	<u>AADT</u> 20500 24000	1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 <b>2007</b> 2012 2017	21700 18950 21500 19300 21750 21750 21200 23000 22700 <b>22250</b> 23910 25694	B A B A B B A B B <b>B</b> B B			<u>AADT</u> (LOS B) 63.03%	<u>AADT</u> -1.98%	<u>AADT</u> 1.45%	
Section is on the Intrastate Highway System						<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS B) 2020	2003 2005	<u>PH/PD</u> 1105 1294	2004 2005 2006 <b>2007</b> 2012 2017	21200 23000 22700 <b>22250</b> 23910 25694	A B B <b>B</b> B B	2005 2006 <b>2007</b>	1240 1224 <b>1199</b>	B B <b>B</b>	<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS B) 59.37%		
Ben Boslick Rd. <sup>1</sup> to Leon County Line <sup>2</sup>	4	0	Freeway	15.2	Urban Trans.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 52500	220 2001 L	<u>AADT</u> 28685 37500	1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 <b>2007</b> 2012 2017	25189 25372 29252 27806 28501 28983 30564 32614 33024 <b>33093</b> 38479 44743	B B B B B B B B B <b>B</b> B C*			<u>AADT</u> (LOS B) 63.03%	<u>AADT</u> 0.21%	<u>AADT</u> 3.06%	
Section is on the Intrastate Highway System						<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 2890	220 2001 L	<u>PH/PD</u> 1515 1980	2004 2005 2006 <b>2007</b> 2012 2017	30564 32614 33024 <b>33093</b> 38479 44743	B B B <b>B</b> B C*	2005 2006 <b>2007</b>	1722 1744 <b>1747</b>	B B <b>B</b>	<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS B) 60.46%		

<sup>1</sup> Capital Region Transportation Planning Agency Metropolitan Planning Area Boundary, <sup>2</sup> Capital Region Transportation Planning Agency Urbanized Area Boundary

\* Exceeds FDOT and County standard

"L" - Leon County Station

Annual rate determined as follows:  $((2007+2006+2005+2004+2003)/5)/((2002+2001+2000+1999+1998)/5)^{.20}$

Appendix A

**CITY OF CHATTAHOOCHEE**

STATE ROAD SECTION	NO. LANES	SIG./ STOPS	FAC. TYPE	LGH. (miles)	LOS AREA	LOS STD MAX.VOL	FDOT STAT.	2007 COUNTS	AADT ANALYSIS			PH/PD ANALYSIS			% OF CAPAC.	ONE-YR. GROWTH							
									YEAR	AADT	LOS	YEAR	PH/PD	LOS									
<b>US 90</b>																							
Jackson Co. to CR 269	2	1	Interrup. Bays Divid.	1.0	Rural Devel.	AADT (LOS C) 11600	1701 1702 5036	AADT 11000 7000 11500	1998	8800	C				AADT (LOS C) 84.77%	AADT 1.03%							
									1999	9167	C												
									2000	8800	C												
									2001	8633	C												
									2002	8700	C												
									2003	9067	C												
									2004	8933	C												
									PH/PD (LOS C) 620	1701 1702 5036	PH/PD 587 373 614						2005	9233	C	2005	498	C	PH/PD (LOS C) 85.56%
									2006	9733	C												
									2007	9833	C												
									2012	10435	C												
									2017	11074	C												
									2005	7300	C												
									2006	7300	C												
2007	7300	C																					
2012	8439	C																					
2017	9756	D*																					
CR 269 to Begin Four Lane	2	1	Interrup. No Undiv.	1.3	Rural Devel.	AADT (LOS C) 8800	5034	AADT 7300	1998	6500	C				AADT (LOS C) 82.95%	AADT -2.67%							
									1999	6200	C												
									2000	6100	C												
									2001	6100	C												
									2002	6500	C												
									2003	7600	C												
									2004	6600	C												
									PH/PD (LOS C) 472	5034	PH/PD 393						2005	7300	C	2005	393	C	PH/PD (LOS C) 83.36%
									2006	7500	C												
									2007	7300	C												
									2012	8439	C												
									2017	9756	D*												
									2005	4700	A												
									2006	4700	A												
2007	4700	A																					
2012	4940	A																					
2017	5192	A																					
Begin Four Lane to E. Chattahoochee Line	4	0	Uninter. Bays Undiv.	1.3	Rural Devel.	AADT (LOS C) 39710	1704	AADT 4700	1998	4800	A				AADT (LOS C) 11.84%	AADT 0.00%							
									1999	4500	A												
									2000	4500	A												
									2001	4600	A												
									2002	4600	A												
									2003	4700	A												
									2004	4500	A												
									PH/PD (LOS C) 2119	1704	PH/PD 253						2005	4400	A	2005	235	A	PH/PD (LOS C) 11.84%
									2006	4700	A												
									2007	4700	A												
									2012	4940	A												
									2017	5192	A												
									2005	251	A												
									2006	251	A												
2007	251	A																					

Annual rate determined as follows: (((2007+2006+2005+2004+2003)/5)/((2002+2001+2000+1999+1998)/5))^20-

APPENDIX A

**TOWN OF GREENSBORO**

STATE ROAD SECTION	NO. LANES	SIG./STOPS	FAC. TYPE	LGH. (miles)	LOS AREA	LOS STD MAX.VOL	FDOT STAT.	2007 COUNTS	AADT ANALYSIS			PH/PD ANALYSIS			% OF CAPAC.	ONE-YR. GROWTH					
									YEAR	AADT	LOS	YEAR	PH/PD	LOS							
<b>SR 12</b>																					
Within Greensboro	2	1	Interrup. No Undiv.	1.1	Rural Devel.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 8800	13 76	<u>AADT</u> 5200 3300	1998	4750	C										
									1999	4400	C										
									2000	3650	C										
									2001	4200	C										
									2002	4600	C										
									2003	4200	C										
									2004	4450	C										
						<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 472			13 76			<u>PH/PD</u> 277 176			2005	3950	C	2005	211	C	<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 48.04%
															2006	4000	C	2006	213	C	
															<b>2007</b>	<b>4250</b>	<b>C</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>227</b>	<b>C</b>	
															2012	4467	C				
															2017	4695	C				

Annual rate determined as follows:  $((2007+2006+2005+2004+2003)/5)/((2002+2001+2000+1999+1998)/5)^{.20}$   
APPENDIX A

**CITY OF QUINCY**

STATE ROAD SECTION	NO. LANES	SIG./STOPS	FAC. TYPE	LGH. (miles)	LOS AREA	LOS STD MAX.VOL	FDOT STAT.	2007 COUNTS	AADT ANALYSIS			PH/PD ANALYSIS			% OF CAPAC.	ONE-YR. GROWTH
									YEAR	AADT	LOS	YEAR	PH/PD	LOS		
<b>SR 12</b>																
US 90 to E. Quincy Line	2	3	C I Undiv.	1.7	Over 5,000	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 13100	1509 5012 5015	<u>AADT</u> 6400 6200 5600	1998	7067	C				<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 46.31%	<u>AADT</u> -1.62%
									1999	6967	C					
									2000	6533	C					
									2001	6467	C					
									2002	6767	C					
									2003	7167	C					
						<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 690	1509 5012 5015	<u>PH/PD</u> 338 327 296	2004	6800	C				<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 46.42%	
									2005	6100	C					
									2006	6167	C					
									<b>2007</b>	<b>6067</b>	<b>C</b>					
									2012	6376	C					
									2017	6701	C					
			2006	326	C											
			<b>2007</b>	<b>320</b>	<b>C</b>											
<b>SR267N</b>																
US 90 to N. Quincy Line <sup>1</sup>	2	3	C II Undiv.	0.9	Over 5,000	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 10500	1502 5016 5018 5019	<u>AADT</u> 4300 6200 5500 4900	1998	5050	C				<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 49.76%	<u>AADT</u> -2.34%
									1999	4775	C					
									2000	4950	C					
									2001	5100	C					
									2002	5275	C					
									2003	4875	C					
						<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 560	1502 5016 5018 5019	<u>PH/PD</u> 227 327 290 259	2004	5150	C				<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 49.26%	
									2005	5450	C					
									2006	5350	C					
									<b>2007</b>	<b>5225</b>	<b>C</b>					
									2012	5492	C					
									2017	5772	C					
			2006	282	C											
			<b>2007</b>	<b>276</b>	<b>C</b>											

<sup>1</sup> Capital Region Transportation Planning Agency Metropolitan Planning Area Boundar

Annual rate determined as follows:  $((2007+2006+2005+2004+2003)/5)/((2002+2001+2000+1999+1998)/5)^{.20}$   
APPENDIX A

STATE ROAD SECTION	NO. LANES	SIG./STOPS	FAC. TYPE	LGH. (miles)	LOS AREA	LOS STD MAX. VOL	FDOT STAT.	2007 COUNTS	AADT ANALYSIS			PH/PD ANALYSIS			% OF CAPAC.	ONE-YR. GROWTH														
									YEAR	AADT	LOS	YEAR	PH/PD	LOS																
<b>SR267S</b>																														
S. Quincy Line to US 90	4	2	C I Divid.	2.7	Over 5,000	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 32800	1505* 5030 5031 9940#	<u>AADT</u> 11100 11900 8654	1998	7653	B				<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 32.17%	<u>AADT</u> 2.46%														
									1999	8879	B																			
									2000	9247	B																			
									2001	8419	B																			
									2002	8617	B																			
									2003	10533	B																			
									2004	10188	B																			
									2005	10341	B						2005	546	B											
									2006	10298	B						2006	544	B											
									2007	<b>10551</b>	<b>B</b>						<b>2007</b>	<b>557</b>	<b>B</b>											
									2012	12793	B																			
									2017	15511	B																			
									<b>US 90</b>																					
									W. Quincy Line <sup>1</sup> to S. SR 267	4	2						C I Divid.	1.2	Over 5,000	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 32800	1506 5043	<u>AADT</u> 15000 16800	1998	15250	B				<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 48.48%	<u>AADT</u> -3.93%
1999	17400	B																												
2000	16800	B																												
2001	18250	B																												
2002	16700	B																												
2003	17350	B																												
2004	15450	B																												
2005	16050	B	2005	847	B																									
2006	16550	B	2006	874	B																									
2007	<b>15900</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>840</b>	<b>B</b>																									
2012	16711	B																												
2017	17563	B																												
S. SR 267 to N. SR 267	4	4	C II Divid.	0.8	Over 5,000	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 24400	1504 5026	<u>AADT</u> 17300 19200				1998	18550	C										<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 74.80%	<u>AADT</u> -2.41%					
												1999	18800	C																
									2000	18050	C																			
									2001	19800	C																			
									2002	18700	C																			
									2003	23500	C																			
									2004	18750	C																			
									2005	19300	C	2005	1019	C																
									2006	18700	C	2006	987	C																
									2007	<b>18250</b>	<b>C</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>964</b>	<b>C</b>																
									2012	19181	C																			
									2017	20159	C																			

<sup>1</sup> Capital Region Transportation Planning Agency Metropolitan Planning Area Boundar

#New in 1998

\*Deleted in 2005

Annual rate determined as follows: (((2007+2006+2005+2004+2003)/5)/((2002+2001+2000+1999+1998)/5))^20-

APPENDIX A

STATE ROAD SECTION	NO. LANES	SIG./STOPS	FAC. TYPE	LGH. (miles)	LOS AREA	LOS STD MAX. VOL	FDOT STAT.	2007 COUNTS	AADT ANALYSIS			PH/PD ANALYSIS			% OF CAPAC.	ONE-YR. GROWTH
									YEAR	AADT	LOS	YEAR	PH/PD	LOS		
<b>US 90</b>																
SR 267 N. to Road Divides	4	2	C II Undiv.	0.8	Over 5,000	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 23200	5022	<u>AADT</u> 13700	1998	14900	C				<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 59.05%	<u>AADT</u> -0.72%
									1999	16800	C					
									2000	14100	C					
									2001	15400	C					
									2002	15200	C					
									2003	16200	C					
						<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 1290	5022	<u>PH/PD</u> 723	2004	15500	C	2005 2006 <b>2007</b>	771 729 <b>723</b>	C C <b>C</b>	<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 56.07%	
									2005	14600	C					
									2006	13800	C					
									<b>2007</b>	<b>13700</b>	<b>C</b>					
									2012	14399	C					
									2017	15133	C					
Road Divides to East Quincy Line	4	0	Unint. Divid.	1.3	Over 5,000	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 43600	1503	<u>AADT</u> 12400	1998	12700	A				<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 28.44%	<u>AADT</u> -5.34%
									1999	12200	A					
									2000	12100	A					
									2001	12800	A					
									2002	12600	A					
									2003	13800	A					
						<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 2300	1503	<u>PH/PD</u> 655	2004	12600	A	2005 2006 <b>2007</b>	644 692 <b>655</b>	A A <b>A</b>	<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 28.47%	
									2005	12200	A					
									2006	13100	A					
									<b>2007</b>	<b>12400</b>	<b>A</b>					
									2012	13033	A					
									2017	13697	A					

Annual rate determined as follows:  $\frac{((2007+2006+2005+2004+2003)/5)}{((2002+2001+2000+1999+1998)/5)}^{.20}$

APPENDIX A

TOWN OF HAVANA

STATE ROAD SECTION	NO. LANES	SIG./STOPS	FAC. TYPE	LGH. (miles)	LOS AREA	LOS STD MAX. VOL	FDOT STAT.	2007 COUNTS	AADT ANALYSIS			PH/PD ANALYSIS			% OF CAPAC.	ONE-YR. GROWTH
									YEAR	AADT	LOS	YEAR	PH/PD	LOS		
<b>SR 12</b>																
West Havana Line to US 27	2	1	Interrup. No Undiv.	0.7	Urban Trans.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 10480	1605	<u>AADT</u> 5600	1998	3300	C				<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 53.44%	<u>AADT</u> 33.33%
									1999	3900	C					
									2000	4300	C					
									2001	4300	C					
									2002	4100	C					
									2003	4300	C					
						<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 552	1605	<u>PH/PD</u> 296	2004	4100	C				<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 53.57%	
									2005	4400	C					
									2006	4200	C					
									<b>2007</b>	<b>5600</b>	<b>C</b>					
									2012	6360	C					
									2017	7223	C					
									2005	232	C					
2006	222	C														
<b>2007</b>	<b>296</b>	<b>C</b>														
<b>SR 159</b>																
SR 12 to US 27	2	1	Interrup. No Undiv.	0.4	Urban Trans.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 10480	1607	<u>AADT</u> 2500	1998	1400	B				<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 23.85%	<u>AADT</u> -7.41%
									1999	1650	B					
									2000	2000	B					
									2001	2400	B					
									2002	2400	B					
									2003	2300	B					
						<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 552	1607	<u>PH/PD</u> 132	2004	2300	B				<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 23.91%	
									2005	2300	B					
									2006	2700	B					
									<b>2007</b>	<b>2500</b>	<b>B</b>					
									2012	3071	B					
									2017	3773	C					
									2005	121	B					
2006	143	B														
<b>2007</b>	<b>132</b>	<b>B</b>														
<b>US 27</b>																
Within Havana	4	2	Interrup. No Undiv.	1.7	Urban Trans.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 18300	1601 1602 1603	<u>AADT</u> 16800 14500 12200	1998	12267	C				<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 79.23%	<u>AADT</u> 7.67%
									1999	12600	C					
									2000	12700	C					
									2001	13600	C					
									2002	12700	C					
									2003	12633	C					
						<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 968	1601 1602 1603	<u>PH/PD</u> 887 766 644	2004	11800	C				<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 79.13%	
									2005	12933	C					
									2006	13467	C					
									<b>2007</b>	<b>14500</b>	<b>C</b>					
									2012	15240	C					
									2017	16017	C					
									2005	683	C					
2006	711	C														
<b>2007</b>	<b>766</b>	<b>C</b>														

\*Exceeds FDOT and Town LOS standard

Annual rate determined as follows:  $((2007+2006+2005+2004+2003)/5)/((2002+2001+2000+1999+1998)/5)^{.20}$

APPENDIX A

**CITY OF GRETNA**

STATE ROAD SECTION	NO. LANES	SIG./STOPS	FAC. TYPE	LGH. (miles)	LOS AREA	LOS STD MAX.VOL	FDOT STAT.	2007 COUNTS	AADT ANALYSIS			PH/PD ANALYSIS			% OF CAPAC.	ONE-YR. GROWTH
									YEAR	AADT	LOS	YEAR	PH/PD	LOS		
<b>US 90</b>																
Within Gretna	2	0	Uninter. Undiv.	1.8	Rural Devel.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 15300	69 72	<u>AADT</u> 6100 4000	1998	5100	B				<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 33.01%	<u>AADT</u> 3.06%
									1999	5050	B					
									2000	5100	B					
									2001	5200	B					
									2002	5400	B					
									2003	5250	B					
									2004	4600	B					
						<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 810	69 72	<u>PH/PD</u> 325 213	2005	4850	B	2005	259	B	<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 33.26%	
									2006	4900	B	2006	261	B		
									<b>2007</b>	<b>5050</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>269</b>	<b>B</b>		
									2012	5308	B					
									2017	5578	B					
<b>SR 12</b>																
I-10 to US 90 <sup>1</sup>	2	1	Uninter. Undiv.	3.9	Rural Undev.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 7900	18, 145	<u>AADT</u> 6000 4000	1998	5300	C				<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 63.29%	<u>AADT</u> 4.17%
									1999	4900	C					
									2000	5250	C					
									2001	5450	C					
									2002	5000	C					
									2003	5000	C					
									2004	4650	C					
						<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 420	18, 145	<u>PH/PD</u> 323 216	2005	4700	C	2005	253	C	<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 64.17%	
									2006	4800	C	2006	259	C		
									<b>2007</b>	<b>5000</b>	<b>C</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>270</b>	<b>C</b>		
									2012	5255	C					
									2017	5523	C					

<sup>1</sup> Segment contains some area within unincorporated Gadsden County.

Annual rate determined as follows:  $((2007+2006+2005+2004+2003)/5)/((2002+2001+2000+1999+1998)/5)^{.20}$

APPENDIX A

**CITY OF MIDWAY**

STATE ROAD SECTION	NO. LANES	SIG./STOPS	FAC. TYPE	LGH. (miles)	LOS AREA	LOS STD MAX.VOL	FDOT STAT.	2007 COUNTS	AADT ANALYSIS			PH/PD ANALYSIS			% OF CAPAC.	ONE-YR. GROWTH			
									YEAR	AADT	LOS	YEAR	PH/PD	LOS					
<b>US 90</b>																			
CR 159 to Dupont Rd.	4	0	Uninter. Bays Divid.	2.0	Urban Trans.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 43600	321	<u>AADT</u> 19700	1998	15300	A				<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 45.18%	<u>AADT</u>  5.35%			
									1999	15400	A								
									2000	15900	A								
									2001	17300	A								
									2002	16400	A								
									2003	18100	A								
						<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 2300	321	<u>PH/PD</u> 1040	2004	17600	A				<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 45.22%				
									2005	17000	A						2005	898	A
									2006	18700	B						2006	987	B
									<b>2007</b>	<b>19700</b>	<b>B</b>						<b>2007</b>	<b>1040</b>	<b>B</b>
									2012	22350	B								
									2017	25355	B								
Dupont Rd. to Leon Line <sup>1</sup>	4	1	Uninter. Bays Divided	2.0	Urban Trans.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 43600	38	<u>AADT</u> 20500	1998	15200	A				<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 47.02%	<u>AADT</u>  6.22%			
									1999	15500	A								
									2000	15800	A								
									2001	17600	A								
									2002	17600	A								
									2003	19400	B								
						<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 2300	38	<u>PH/PD</u> 1082	2004	17800	A				<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 47.06%				
									2005	18900	B						2005	998	B
									2006	19300	B						2006	1019	B
									<b>2007</b>	<b>20500</b>	<b>B</b>						<b>2007</b>	<b>1082</b>	<b>B</b>
									2012	24063	B								
									2017	28245	B								

<sup>1</sup> Segment contains some area within unincorporated Gadsden County

Annual rate determined as follows:  $((2007+2006+2005+2004+2003)/5)/((2002+2001+2000+1999+1998)/5)^{.20}$

APPENDIX A

**JEFFERSON COUNTY**

STATE ROAD SECTION	NO. LANES	SIG./ STOPS	FAC. TYPE	LGH. (miles)	LOS AREA	LOS STD MAX.VOL	FDOT STAT.	2007 COUNTS	AADT ANALYSIS			PH/PD ANALYSIS			% OF CAPAC.	ONE-YR. GROWTH	ANNUAL RATE						
									YEAR	ADDT	LOS	YEAR	PH/PD	LOS									
<b>SR 59</b>																							
US 98 to Wacissa Springs Road	2	1	Uninter. Undiv.	13.1	Rural Undev.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 7900	81	<u>AADT</u> 1150	1998	1000	A												
									1999	950	A												
									2000	1000	A												
									2001	900	A												
									2002	650	A												
						2003	850	A															
											<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 420	81	<u>PH/PD</u> 62	2004	900	A							<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C)
														2005	900	A	2005	49	A				14.76%
														2006	800	A	2006	43	A				
								<b>2007</b>	<b>1150</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>A</b>										
								2012	1209	A													
								2017	1270	A													
Wacissa Springs Road to US 27	2	3	Inter. Undiv.	4.6	Rural Undev.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 8000	64	<u>AADT</u> 1500	1998	1250	B												
									1999	1300	B												
									2000	1500	B												
									2001	1550	B												
									2002	1700	B												
						2003	1400	B															
											<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 430	64	<u>PH/PD</u> 80	2004	1700	B							<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C)
														2005	1550	B	2005	84	B				18.61%
														2006	1500	B	2006	80	B				
								<b>2007</b>	<b>1500</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>B</b>										
								2012	1577	B													
								2017	1657	B													
US 27 to I-10	2	0	Uninter. Undiv.	5.8	Rural Undev.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 7900	235	<u>AADT</u> 3700	1998	2353	A												
							245		1666	1999	2373								A				
										2000	2393								A				
										2001	2426								B				
										2002	2495								B				
								2003	2909	B													
											<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 420	235	<u>PH/PD</u> 199	2004	2645	B							<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C)
											245	90	2005	2673	B	2005	144	B				34.43%	
														2006	2691	B	2006	145	B				
								<b>2007</b>	<b>2683</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>145</b>	<b>B</b>										
								2012	3031	B													
								2017	3424	B													

Annual rate determined as follows: (((2007+2006+2005+2004+2003)/5)/((2002+2001+2000+1999+1998)/5))^20-1

Appendix A

STATE ROAD SECTION	NO. LANES	SIG./STOPS	FAC. TYPE	LGH. (miles)	LOS AREA	LOS STD MAX.VOL	FDOT STAT.	2007 COUNTS	AADT ANALYSIS			PH/PD ANALYSIS			% OF CAPAC.	ONE-YR. GROWTH	ANNUAL RATE
									YEAR	ADDT	LOS	YEAR	PH/PD	LOS			
<b>SR 59</b>																	
I-10 to US 90	2	1	Inter. Undiv. No	2.4	Rural Undev.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 6400	9	<u>AADT</u> 1400	1998	850	B				<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 21.88%	-12.50%	<u>AADT</u> 4.45%
									1999	1100	B						
									2000	1200	B						
									2001	1100	B						
									2002	1300	B						
						2003	1200	B									
						<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 344	9	<u>PH/PD</u> 75	2004	1200	B	2005	81	B	<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C)		
									2005	1500	B						
									2006	1600	C						
									<b>2007</b>	<b>1400</b>	<b>B</b>						
2012	1741	C															
2017	2164	C															
<b>US 19</b>																	
US 27 to I-10	4	0	Uninter. Divid.	4.8	Rural Undev.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS B) 28600 (LOS C) 40800	16	<u>AADT</u> 5400	1998	4500	A				<u>AADT</u> (LOS B) 18.88% (LOS C) 13.24%	17.39%	<u>AADT</u> 1.51%
									1999	4600	A						
									2000	4100	A						
									2001	4100	A						
									2002	4500	A						
						2003	4400	A									
						<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS B) 1540 (LOS C) 2200	16	<u>PH/PD</u> 291	2004	4800	A	2005	232	A	<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS B)		
									2005	4300	A						
									2006	4600	A						
									<b>2007</b>	<b>5400</b>	<b>A</b>						
2012	5821	A															
2017	6275	A															
Section is on the Intrastate Highway System																	
I-10 to South City Line	4	0	Uninter. Divid.	3.3	Rural Undev.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS B) 28600 (LOS C) 40800	102 1503	<u>AADT</u> 6900 6800	1998	5150	A				<u>AADT</u> (LOS B) 23.95% (LOS C) 16.79%	-0.72%	<u>AADT</u> 4.45%
									1999	4950	A						
									2000	5100	A						
									2001	5250	A						
									2002	5250	A						
						2003	6050	A									
						<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS B) 1540 (LOS C) 2200	102 1503	<u>PH/PD</u> 676 666	2004	5800	A	2005	342	A	<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS B)		
									2005	6350	A						
									2006	6900	A						
									<b>2007</b>	<b>6850</b>	<b>A</b>						
2012	8516	A															
2017	10587	A															
Section is on the Intrastate Highway System																	

Annual rate determined as follows:  $\frac{((2007+2006+2005+2004+2003)/5)}{((2002+2001+2000+1999+1998)/5)} \wedge .20-1$

Appendix A

STATE ROAD SECTION	NO. LANES	SIG./STOPS	FAC. TYPE	LGH. (miles)	LOS AREA	LOS STD MAX.VOL	FDOT STAT.	2007 COUNTS	AADT ANALYSIS			PH/PD ANALYSIS			% OF CAPAC.	ONE-YR. GROWTH	ANNUAL RATE	
									YEAR	ADDT	LOS	YEAR	PH/PD	LOS				
<b>US 19</b>																		
N. City Line to Groveville Rd.	4	0	Uninter Undiv. Yes	0.4	Rural Undev.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS B) 27170 (LOS C) 38760	1504	<u>AADT</u> 6900	1998	6400	A				<u>AADT</u> (LOS B) 25.40% (LOS C) 17.80%	0.00%	2.21%	
									1999	6700	A							
									2000	5000	A							
									2001	6100	A							
									2002	6100	A							
									2003	6300	A							
						<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS B) 1463 (LOS C) 2090	1504	<u>PH/PD</u> 372	2004	7000	A	2005	361	A	<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS B)			
									2005	6700	A				25.42%			
									2006	6900	A				2006	372	A	(LOS C)
									<b>2007</b>	<b>6900</b>	<b>A</b>				<b>2007</b>	<b>372</b>	<b>A</b>	(LOS C)
									2012	7697	A				17.79%			
									2017	8586	A							
Section is on the Intrastate Highway System																		
Groveville Rd. to Georgia	4	0	Uninter Divid.	7.2	Rural Undev.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS B) 28600 (LOS C) 40800	2	<u>AADT</u> 6000	1998	4500	A				<u>AADT</u> (LOS B) 20.98% (LOS C) 14.71%	22.45%	2.60%	
									1999	4700	A							
									2000	4300	A							
									2001	3700	A							
									2002	4000	A							
									2003	4300	A							
						<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS B) 1540 (LOS C) 2200	2	<u>PH/PD</u> 323	2004	4200	A	2005	253	A	<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS B)			
									2005	4700	A				21.00%			
									2006	4900	A				2006	264	A	(LOS C)
									<b>2007</b>	<b>6000</b>	<b>A</b>				<b>2007</b>	<b>323</b>	<b>A</b>	(LOS C)
									2012	6821	A				14.70%			
									2017	7754	A							
Section is on the Intrastate Highway System																		
<b>US 27</b>																		
Leon County to US 19	4	0	Uninter Divid.	10.0	Rural Undev.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS B) 28600 (LOS C) 40800	49	<u>AADT</u> 4500	1998	4900	A				<u>AADT</u> (LOS B) 18.53% (LOS C) 12.99%	0.00%	0.23%	
									1999	5167	A							
									2000	5267	A							
									2001	5233	A							
									2002	5533	A							
									2003	5167	A							
						<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS B) 1540 (LOS C) 2200	49	<u>PH/PD</u> 243	2004	5600	A	2005	271	A	<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS B)			
									2005	5033	A				18.55%			
									2006	5300	A				2006	286	A	(LOS C)
									<b>2007</b>	<b>5300</b>	<b>A</b>				<b>2007</b>	<b>286</b>	<b>A</b>	(LOS C)
									2012	5570	A				12.99%			
									2017	5854	A							

Annual rate determined as follows:  $\frac{((2007+2006+2005+2004+2003)/5)}{((2002+2001+2000+1999+1998)/5)} \wedge .20-1$

Appendix A

STATE ROAD SECTION	NO. LANES	SIG./STOPS	FAC. TYPE	LGH. (miles)	LOS AREA	LOS STD MAX.VOL	FDOT STAT.	2007 COUNTS	AADT ANALYSIS			PH/PD ANALYSIS			% OF CAPAC.	ONE-YR. GROWTH	ANNUAL RATE
									YEAR	ADDT	LOS	YEAR	PH/PD	LOS			
<b>US 27</b>																	
US 19 to Madison County	4	0	Uninter. Divid.	7.0	Rural Undev.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS B) 28600 (LOS C) 40800	312	<u>AADT</u> 5919	1998	5735	A				<u>AADT</u> (LOS B) 20.70% (LOS C) 14.51%	-0.15%	0.42%
									1999	5932	A						
									2000	5718	A						
									2001	5747	A						
									2002	5850	A						
									2003	5886	A						
						<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS B) 1540 (LOS C) 2200	312	<u>PH/PD</u> 319	2004	5975	A	2005	318	A	<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS B) 20.72% (LOS C) 14.50%		
									2005	5892	A						
									2006	5928	A						
									<b>2007</b>	<b>5919</b>	<b>A</b>						
									2012	6221	A						
									2017	6538	A						
<b>US 90</b>																	
Western Corner	2	0	Uninter. Undiv.	0.6	Rural Undev.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 7900	35 Leon	<u>AADT</u> 4700	1998	4200	B				<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 59.49%	0.00%	0.90%
									1999	4300	B						
									2000	4200	B						
									2001	4800	C						
									2002	4400	B						
									2003	4400	B						
						<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 420	35 Leon	<u>PH/PD</u> 253	2004	4700	C	2005	237	C	<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 60.32%		
									2005	4400	B						
									2006	4700	C						
									<b>2007</b>	<b>4700</b>	<b>C</b>						
									2012	4940	C						
									2017	5192	C						
Leon County to W. City Line	2	0	Uninter. Undiv.	5.8	Rural Undev.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 7900	94 1501	<u>AADT</u> 3600 5000	1998	3700	B				<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 54.43%	-1.15%	2.48%
									1999	3900	B						
									2000	3600	B						
									2001	4000	B						
									2002	4000	B						
									2003	4550	C						
						<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 420	94 1501	<u>PH/PD</u> 194 270	2004	4250	B	2005	229	B	<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 55.18%		
									2005	4250	B						
									2006	4350	B						
									<b>2007</b>	<b>4300</b>	<b>B</b>						
									2012	4860	C						
									2017	5493	C						

Annual rate determined as follows:  $\frac{((2007+2006+2005+2004+2003)/5)}{((2002+2001+2000+1999+1998)/5)} \wedge .20-1$

Appendix A

STATE ROAD SECTION	NO. LANES	SIG./STOPS	FAC. TYPE	LGH. (miles)	LOS AREA	LOS STD MAX.VOL	FDOT STAT.	2007 COUNTS	AADT ANALYSIS			PH/PD ANALYSIS			% OF CAPAC.	ONE-YR. GROWTH	ANNUAL RATE	
									YEAR	ADDT	LOS	YEAR	PH/PD	LOS				
<b>US 90</b>																		
E. City Line to Madison Co.	2	0	Uninter. Undiv.	8.7	Rural Undev.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 7900	105 1502	<u>AADT</u> 2500 2600	1998	2500	B				<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 32.28%	2.00%	<u>AADT</u> 0.08%	
									1999	2350	A							
									2000	2500	B							
									2001	2500	B							
									2002	2350	A							
									2003	2400	A							
						<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 420	105 1502	<u>PH/PD</u> 135 140	2004	2450	B	2005 2006 <b>2007</b>	127 135 <b>137</b>	B B <b>B</b>	2004	2450	B	<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 32.73%
									2005	2350	A							
									2006	2500	B							
									<b>2007</b>	<b>2550</b>	<b>B</b>							
									2012	2680	B							
									2017	2817	B							
<b>US 98</b>																		
Wakulla County to Taylor Co.	2	0	Uninter. Undiv.	7.5	Rural Undev.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 7900	55	<u>AADT</u> 2300	1998	2400	A				<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 29.11%	0.00%	<u>AADT</u> -0.09%	
									1999	2200	A							
									2000	2200	A							
									2001	1950	A							
									2002	2400	A							
									2003	2200	A							
						<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 420	55	<u>PH/PD</u> 124	2004	2100	A	2005 2006 <b>2007</b>	119 124 <b>124</b>	A B <b>B</b>	2004	2100	A	<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 29.52%
									2005	2200	A							
									2006	2300	A							
									<b>2007</b>	<b>2300</b>	<b>A</b>							
									2012	2417	B							
									2017	2541	B							
<b>US 221</b>																		
Madison County to Georgia	2	0	Uninter. Undiv.	6.0	Rural Undev.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 7900	218 237	<u>AADT</u> 950 1150	1998	1050	A				<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 13.29%	16.67%	<u>AADT</u> -0.72%	
									1999	1125	A							
									2000	975	A							
									2001	900	A							
									2002	850	A							
									2003	850	A							
						<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 420	218 237	<u>PH/PD</u> 51 62	2004	900	A	2005 2006 <b>2007</b>	55 49 <b>57</b>	A A <b>A</b>	2004	900	A	<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 13.48%
									2005	1025	A							
									2006	900	A							
									<b>2007</b>	<b>1050</b>	<b>A</b>							
									2012	1104	A							
									2017	1160	A							

Annual rate determined as follows:  $((2007+2006+2005+2004+2003)/5)/((2002+2001+2000+1999+1998)/5)^{-1}$

Appendix A

STATE ROAD SECTION	NO. LANES	SIG./STOPS	FAC. TYPE	LGH. (miles)	LOS AREA	LOS STD MAX.VOL	FDOT STAT.	2007 COUNTS	AADT ANALYSIS			PH/PD ANALYSIS			% OF CAPAC.	ONE-YR. GROWTH	ANNUAL RATE						
									YEAR	ADDT	LOS	YEAR	PH/PD	LOS									
<b>I-10</b>																							
Leon County to US 19	4		Freeway	9.3	Rural Undev.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS B) 35300	2001 2003	<u>AADT</u> 31500 30500	1998	19350	A												
									1999	22650	B												
									2000	23750	B												
									2001	23250	B												
									2002	22750	B												
									2003	24500	B												
						<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS B) 2020	2001 2003	<u>PH/PD</u> 1698 1644	2004	25250	B	2005 2006 <b>2007</b>	1388 1455 <b>1671</b>	B B <b>B</b>									
									2005	25750	B												
									2006	27000	B												
									<b>2007</b>	<b>31000</b>	<b>B</b>												
									2012	37034	C												
									2017	44241	C*												
									Section is on the Intrastate Highway System														
US 19 to Madison County	4		Freeway	10.2	Rural Undev.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS B) 35300	2005 9901	<u>AADT</u> 25500 25305	1998	21641	B												
									1999	22901	B												
									2000	23250	B												
									2001	23297	B												
									2002	23253	B												
									2003	24521	B												
						<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS B) 2020	2005 9901	<u>PH/PD</u> 1374 1364	2004	24437	B	2005 2006 <b>2007</b>	1337 1335 <b>1369</b>	B B <b>B</b>									
									2005	24813	B												
									2006	24763	B												
									<b>2007</b>	<b>25403</b>	<b>B</b>												
									2012	27534	B												
									2017	29845	B												
									Section is on the Intrastate Highway System														

\*Exceeds FDOT and County standard

Annual rate determined as follows:  $((2007+2006+2005+2004+2003)/5)/((2002+2001+2000+1999+1998)/5)^{.20-1}$

Appendix A

**CITY OF MONTICELLO**

STATE ROAD SECTION	NO. LANES	SIG./STOPS	FAC. TYPE	LGH. (miles)	LOS AREA	LOS STD MAX.VOL	FDOT STAT.	2007 COUNTS	AADT ANALYSIS			PH/PD ANALYSIS			% OF CAPAC.	ONE-YR. GROWTH	ANNUAL RATE						
									YEAR	ADDT	LOS	YEAR	PH/PD	LOS									
<b>US 19</b>																							
S. City Line to DOT Rd.	4	0	Uninter. Divid.	0.5	Rural Devel.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 41800	1503	<u>AADT</u> 6800	1998	5300	A												
									1999	5400	A												
									2000	5300	A												
									2001	5100	A												
									2002	5300	A												
									2003	6200	A												
						<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 2230	1503	<u>PH/PD</u> 367	2004	6000	A	2005	367	A	2005	6800	A	2006	404	A	16.44%		
									2006	7500	A												
									<b>2007</b>	<b>6800</b>	<b>A</b>												
									2012	8577	A												
									2017	10819	A												
Section is on the Intrastate Highway System																							
DOT Rd. to US 90	4	1	Inter. Undiv. No	1.1	Rural Devel.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 19125	5006	<u>AADT</u> 10700	1998	10400	C												
									1999	10300	C												
									2000	9700	C												
									2001	9800	C												
									2002	10500	C												
									2003	10100	C												
						<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 1020	5006	<u>PH/PD</u> 571	2004	10300	C	2005	566	C	2005	10500	C	2006	571	C	55.97%		
									2006	10700	C												
									<b>2007</b>	<b>10700</b>	<b>C</b>												
									2012	11246	C												
									2017	11819	C												
Section is on the Intrastate Highway System																							
US 90 to Bishop St.	2	0	Uninter. Undiv. No	0.3	Rural Devel.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 12240	1504	<u>AADT</u> 6900	1998	6400	B												
									1999	6700	B												
									2000	5000	B												
									2001	6100	B												
									2002	6100	B												
									2003	6300	B												
						<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 648	1504	<u>PH/PD</u> 372	2004	7000	C	2005	361	B	2005	6700	B	2006	372	B	57.39%		
									2006	6900	B												
									<b>2007</b>	<b>6900</b>	<b>B</b>												
									2012	7697	C												
									2017	8586	C												
Section is on the Intrastate Highway System																							

Annual rate determined as follows: (((2007+2006+2005+2004+2003)/5)/((2002+2001+2000+1999+1998)/5))^20-1

Appendix A

STATE ROAD SECTION	NO. LANES	SIG./STOPS	FAC. TYPE	LGH. (miles)	LOS AREA	LOS STD MAX.VOL	FDOT STAT.	2007 COUNTS	AADT ANALYSIS			PH/PD ANALYSIS			% OF CAPAC.	ONE-YR. GROWTH	ANNUAL RATE					
									YEAR	ADDT	LOS	YEAR	PH/PD	LOS								
<b>US 19</b>																						
Bishop St. to North City Line	4	0	Uninter. Undiv. No	0.4	Rural Devel.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 31350	1504	<u>AADT</u> 6900	1998	6400	A				<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 22.01%	0.00%	<u>AADT</u> 2.21%					
									1999	6700	A											
									2000	5000	A											
									2001	6100	A											
									2002	6100	A											
						2003	6300	A														
											<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 1673	1504	<u>PH/PD</u> 361	2004	7000	A				<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 22.24%		
														2005	6700	A	2005	361	A			
														2006	6900	A	2006	372	A			
								<b>2007</b>	<b>6900</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>372</b>	<b>A</b>									
								2012	7697	A												
								2017	8586	A												
Section is on the Intrastate Highway System																						
<b>US 90</b>																						
W. City Line to Railroad Street	2	1	Inter. Undiv. No	1.3	Rural Devel.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 8800	1501 1505	<u>AADT</u> 5000 7600	1998	6200	C				<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 71.59%	-16.00%	<u>AADT</u> 1.38%					
									1999	6550	C											
									2000	5900	C											
									2001	6000	C											
									2002	6350	C											
						2003	6600	C														
											<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 472	1501 1505	<u>PH/PD</u> 267 405	2004	6150	C				<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 71.21%		
														2005	6650	C	2005	358	C			
														2006	7500	C	2006	400	C			
								<b>2007</b>	<b>6300</b>	<b>C</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>336</b>	<b>C</b>									
								2012	6747	C												
								2017	7226	C												
Railroad St. to East City Line	4	0	Uninter. Undiv. No	0.5	Rural Devel.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 31350	1502	<u>AADT</u> 2600	1998	2800	A				<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 8.29%	-7.14%	<u>AADT</u> -0.44%					
									1999	2500	A											
									2000	2800	A											
									2001	2800	A											
									2002	2800	A											
						2003	2600	A														
											<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 1673	1502	<u>PH/PD</u> 151	2004	2800	A				<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 8.38%		
														2005	2600	A	2005	140	A			
														2006	2800	A	2006	151	A			
								<b>2007</b>	<b>2600</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>140</b>	<b>A</b>									
								2012	2733	A												
								2017	2872	A												

Annual rate determined as follows:  $((2007+2006+2005+2004+2003)/5)/((2002+2001+2000+1999+1998)/5)^{.20-1}$

Appendix A

**WAKULLA COUNTY**

STATE ROAD SECTION	NO. LANES	FAC. TYPE	SIG./STOPS	LENGTH (miles)	LOS AREA	LOS STD MAX.VOL.	FDOT STAT.	2007 COUNTS	AADT ANALYSIS			PH/PD ANALYSIS			% OF CAPAC.	ONE-YR. GROWTH
									YEAR	COUNT	LOS	YEAR	PH/PD	LOS		
<b>SR 267</b>																
Leon County Line <sup>2</sup> to CR 373 <sup>1</sup>	2	Uninter. Undiv.	0	1.8	Rural Undev.	AADT (LOS C) 7900 (LOS E) 27500	252	AADT 1300	1998	1141	A				AADT (LOS C) 16.46% (LOS E) 4.73%	AADT 0.62%
									1999	1181	A					
									2000	1173	A					
									2001	1156	A					
									2002	1206	A					
									2003	1175	A					
						PH/PD (LOS C) 420 (LOS E) 1470	252	PH/PD 70	2004	1223	A	2005 2006 <b>2007</b>	70 70 <b>70</b>	A A A	PH/PD (LOS C) 16.68% (LOS E) 4.77%	
									2005	1300	A					
									2006	1292	A					
									<b>2007</b>	<b>1300</b>	<b>A</b>					
									2012	1396	A					
									2017	1499	A					
CR 373 <sup>1</sup> to U.S. 319	2	Interup. Undiv.	1	2.6	Trans Urban	AADT (LOS C) 13100 (LOS E) 16300	219	AADT 4700	1998	3900	B			AADT (LOS C) 35.88% (LOS E) 28.83%	AADT -4.08%	
									1999	4000	B					
									2000	4000	B					
									2001	4300	C					
									2002	4500	C					
									2003	4700	C					
						PH/PD (LOS C) 690 (LOS E) 860	219	PH/PD 248	2004	5200	C	2005 2006 <b>2007</b>	285 259 <b>248</b>	C C C	PH/PD (LOS C) 35.97% (LOS E) 28.86%	
									2005	5400	C					
									2006	4900	C					
									<b>2007</b>	<b>4700</b>	<b>C</b>					
									2012	5654	C					
									2017	6801	C					
US 319 to SR 363	2	Interup. Undiv.	1	8.4	Trans Urban	AADT (LOS C) 13100 (LOS E) 16300	227 228	AADT 3400 2200	1998	1983	B			AADT (LOS C) 21.37% (LOS E) 17.18%	AADT 3.70%	
									1999	1967	B					
									2000	1833	B					
									2001	2150	B					
									2002	2083	B					
									2003	2000	B					
						PH/PD (LOS C) 690 (LOS E) 860	227 228	PH/PD 180 116	2004	2450	B	2005 2006 <b>2007</b>	140 143 <b>148</b>	B B B	PH/PD (LOS C) 21.43% (LOS E) 17.19%	
									2005	2650	B					
									2006	2700	B					
									<b>2007</b>	<b>2800</b>	<b>B</b>					
									2012	3522	B					
									2017	4430	C					

<sup>1</sup>Capital Region Transportation Planning Agency Metropolitan Planning Area Boundary, <sup>2</sup>Capital Region Transportation Planning Agency Urbanized Area Boundary

Annual rate determined as follows:  $((2007+2006+2005+2004+2003)/5)/((2002+2001+2000+1999+1998)/5)^{.20-1}$

STATE ROAD SECTION	NO. LANES	FAC. TYPE	SIG./ STOPS	LENGTH (miles)	LOS AREA	LOS STD MAX.VOL.	FDOT STAT.	2007 COUNTS	AADT ANALYSIS			PH/PD ANALYSIS			% OF CAPAC.	ONE-YR. GROWTH
									YEAR	COUNT	LOS	YEAR	PH/PD	LOS		
<b>SR 267</b>																
SR 363 to U.S. 98	2	Uninter. Undiv.	1	3.8	Rural Undev.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 7900 (LOS E) 27500	226	<u>AADT</u> 1400	1998	1141	A				<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 17.72% (LOS E) 5.09%	<u>AADT</u> 3.70%
									1999	1181	A					
									2000	1173	A					
									2001	1156	A					
									2002	1206	A					
									2003	1175	A					
						<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 420 (LOS E) 1470	226	<u>PH/PD</u> 75	2004	1250	A	2005 2006 <b>2007</b> 2012 2017	65 73 <b>75</b>	A A A A A	<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 17.97% (LOS E) 5.13%	
									2005	1200	A					
									2006	1350	A					
									<b>2007</b>	<b>1400</b>	A					
									2012	1400	A					
									2017	1524	A					
<b>SR 363</b>																
N. St. Marks Line to US 98 <sup>1</sup>	2	Interup. Undiv. No	1	1.0	Rural Undev.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 6400 (LOS E) 9680	20\$ 9946#	<u>AADT</u> 1864	1998	2002	C				<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 29.13% (LOS E) 19.26%	<u>AADT</u> -2.97%
									1999	2423	C					
									2000	2705	C					
									2001	2503	C					
									2002	2255	C					
									2003	2218	C					
						<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 344 (LOS E) 520	9946#	<u>PH/PD</u> 99	2004	2161	C	2005 2006 <b>2007</b> 2011 2016	110 104 <b>100</b>	C C C	<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 29.21% (LOS E) 19.32%	
									2005	2044	C					
									2006	1921	C					
									<b>2007</b>	<b>1864</b>	C					
									2011	1959	C					
									2016	2059	C					
US 98 <sup>1</sup> to Leon County Line 2	2	Uninter. Undiv.	0	5.9	Rural Undev.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 7900 (LOS E) 27500	12, 212	<u>AADT</u> 3200 7400	1998	4300	B				<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 67.09% (LOS E) 19.27%	<u>AADT</u> 2.91%
									1999	4700	C					
									2000	4750	C					
									2001	4850	C					
									2002	4600	C					
									2003	4950	C					
						<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 420 (LOS E) 1470	12, 212	<u>PH/PD</u> 172 399	2004	5050	C	2005 2006 <b>2007</b> 2012 2017	280 278 <b>286</b>	C C C	<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 68.02% (LOS E) 19.43%	
									2005	5200	C					
									2006	5150	C					
									<b>2007</b>	<b>5300</b>	C					
									2012	5860	C					
									2017	6479	C					

<sup>1</sup>Capital Region Transportation Planning Agency Metropolitan Planning Area Boundary, <sup>2</sup>Capital Region Transportation Planning Agency Urbanized Area Boundary

# New station in 1998  
\$ Not counted 2002-2004

Annual rate determined as follows:  $((2007+2006+2005+2004+2003)/5)/((2002+2001+2000+1999+1998)/5)^{.20-1}$

STATE ROAD SECTION	NO. LANES	FAC. TYPE	SIG./STOPS	LENGTH (miles)	LOS AREA	LOS STD MAX.VOL.	FDOT STAT.	2007 COUNTS	AADT ANALYSIS			PH/PD ANALYSIS			% OF CAPAC.	ONE-YR. GROWTH						
									YEAR	COUNT	LOS	YEAR	PH/PD	LOS								
<b>US 98</b>																						
E. Franklin Co. Line to Bottoms Road	2	Uninter. Undiv. No	0	5.6	Rural Devel.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 12240 (LOS E) 21120	22	<u>AADT</u> 3700	1998	3600	B				<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 30.23% (LOS E) 17.52%	<u>AADT</u> -7.50%						
									1999	3900	B											
									2000	4500	B											
									2001	4900	B											
									2002	3600	B											
									2003	3600	B											
						<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 648 (LOS E) 1120	22	<u>PH/PD</u> 199	2004	3700	B	2005 2006 <b>2007</b>	216 216 <b>199</b>	B B <b>B</b>	2004	3700	B	2005 2006 <b>2007</b>	216 216 <b>199</b>	B B <b>B</b>	<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 30.78% (LOS E) 17.81%	
									2005	4000	B											
									2006	4000	B											
									<b>2007</b>	<b>3700</b>	<b>B</b>											
									2012	3889	B											
									2017	4087	B											
Bottoms Rd. to SR 375/US 319	2	Uninter. Undiv.	0	2.9	Rural Undev.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 7900 (LOS E) 27500	7	<u>AADT</u> 6800	1998	5200	C			<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 86.08% (LOS E) 24.73%	<u>AADT</u> 23.64%							
									1999	5200	C											
									2000	5300	C											
									2001	6200	C											
									2002	5500	C											
									2003	5600	C											
						<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 420 (LOS E) 1470	7	<u>PH/PD</u> 367	2004	5500	C	2005 2006 <b>2007</b>	340 296 <b>367</b>	C C <b>C</b>	2004	5500	C	2005 2006 <b>2007</b>	340 296 <b>367</b>	C C <b>C</b>	<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 87.27% (LOS E) 24.93%	
									2005	6300	C											
									2006	5500	C											
									<b>2007</b>	<b>6800</b>	<b>C</b>											
									2012	7371	C											
									2017	7990	D*											
SR 375/US 319 to Carter Rd <sup>1</sup>	2	Uninter. Undiv.	0	0.5	Rural Devel.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 15300 (LOS E) 26400	6	<u>AADT</u> 10500	1998	9000	C			<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 68.63% (LOS E) 39.77%	<u>AADT</u> 14.13%							
									1999	9400	C											
									2000	9400	C											
									2001	9400	C											
									2002	9100	C											
									2003	8900	C											
						<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 810 (LOS E) 1400	6	<u>PH/PD</u> 566	2004	8900	C	2005 2006 <b>2007</b>	517 496 <b>566</b>	C C <b>C</b>	2004	8900	C	2005 2006 <b>2007</b>	517 496 <b>566</b>	C C <b>C</b>	<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 69.87% (LOS E) 40.43%	
									2005	9600	C											
									2006	9200	C											
									<b>2007</b>	<b>10500</b>	<b>C</b>											
									2012	11036	C											
									2017	11599	C											

<sup>1</sup>Capital Region Transportation Planning Agency Metropolitan Planning Area Boundary

\*Exceeds FDOT recommended standard

Annual rate determined as follows:  $((2007+2006+2005+2004+2003)/5)/((2002+2001+2000+1999+1998)/5)^{.20-1}$

APPENDIX A

STATE ROAD SECTION	NO. LANES	FAC. TYPE	SIG./ STOPS	LENGTH (miles)	LOS AREA	LOS STD MAX.VOL.	FDOT STAT.	2007 COUNTS	AADT ANALYSIS			PH/PD ANALYSIS			% OF CAPAC.	ONE-YR. GROWTH	
									YEAR	COUNT	LOS	YEAR	PH/PD	LOS			
<b>US 98</b>																	
Carter Rd to US 319	2	Uninter. Undiv.	0	1.1	Trans Urban	<u>AADT</u>	6	<u>AADT</u>	1998	9000	C					<u>AADT</u>	<u>AADT</u>
						(LOS C)		10500	1999	9400	C					(LOS C)	
						14900		2000	9400	C	70.47%						
						(LOS E)		26700	2001	9400	C					(LOS E)	
						26700		2002	9100	C	39.33%						
								2003	8900	C							
						<u>PH/PD</u>	6	<u>PH/PD</u>	2004	8900	C					<u>PH/PD</u>	
						(LOS C)		554	2005	9600	C					(LOS C)	
						790		2006	9200	C	70.18%						
						(LOS E)		<b>2007</b>	<b>10500</b>	<b>C</b>	<b>2007</b>					<b>554</b>	<b>C</b>
						1410		2012	11036	C	39.32%						
								2017	11599	C							
US 319 to SR 363 <sup>1</sup>	2	Uninter. Undiv.	0	12.2	Trans Urban	<u>AADT</u>	224	<u>AADT</u>	1998	3600	B					<u>AADT</u>	<u>AADT</u>
						(LOS C)		4800	1999	3700	B					(LOS C)	
						14900		2000	3400	B	26.85%						
						(LOS E)		26700	2001	4100	B					(LOS E)	
						26700		2002	4150	B	14.98%						
								2003	3650	B							
						<u>PH/PD</u>	225	<u>PH/PD</u>	2004	3500	B					<u>PH/PD</u>	
						(LOS C)		169	2005	3950	B					(LOS C)	
						790		253	2006	4050	B					26.73%	
						(LOS E)		<b>2007</b>	<b>4000</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>2007</b>					<b>211</b>	<b>B</b>
						1410		2012	4204	B	14.98%						
								2017	4418	B							
SR 363 <sup>1</sup> to E. Jefferson Co. Line	2	Uninter. Undiv.	0	8.5	Rural Undev.	<u>AADT</u>	14, 229	<u>AADT</u>	1998	2125	A					<u>AADT</u>	<u>AADT</u>
						(LOS C)		2600	1999	2200	A					(LOS C)	
						7900		1300	2000	2000	A					24.68%	
						(LOS E)		27500	2001	2000	A					(LOS E)	
						27500		2002	1950	A	7.09%						
								2003	1900	A							
						<u>PH/PD</u>	14, 229	<u>PH/PD</u>	2004	2050	A					<u>PH/PD</u>	
						(LOS C)		140	2005	1825	A					(LOS C)	
						420		70	2006	2050	A					25.03%	
						(LOS E)		<b>2007</b>	<b>1950</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>2007</b>					<b>105</b>	<b>A</b>
						1470		2012	2049	A	7.15%						
								2017	2154	A							

<sup>1</sup>Capital Region Transportation Planning Agency Metropolitan Planning Area Boundary

Annual rate determined as follows:  $\frac{((2007+2006+2005+2004+2003)/5)}{((2002+2001+2000+1999+1998)/5)}^{.20-1}$

APPENDIX A

STATE ROAD SECTION	NO. LANES	FAC. TYPE	SIG./STOPS	LENGTH (miles)	LOS AREA	LOS STD MAX.VOL.	FDOT STAT.	2007 COUNTS	AADT ANALYSIS			PH/PD ANALYSIS			% OF CAPAC.	ONE-YR. GROWTH
									YEAR	COUNT	LOS	YEAR	PH/PD	LOS		
<b>US 319</b>																
E. Franklin Co. Line to S. Sopchoppy	2	Uninter. Undiv.	0	4.2	Rural Undev.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 7900 (LOS E) 27500	3	<u>AADT</u> 2300	1998	2050	A				<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 29.11% (LOS E) 8.36%	<u>AADT</u> -14.81%
									1999	1800	A					
									2000	1850	A					
									2001	2400	A					
									2002	2300	A					
									2003	2400	A					
						<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 420 (LOS E) 1470	3	<u>PH/PD</u> 124	2004	2400	A	2005 2006 <b>2007</b> 2011 2016	135 146 <b>124</b>	B B <b>B</b>	<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 29.52% (LOS E) 8.43%	
									2005	2500	B					
									2006	2700	B					
									<b>2007</b>	<b>2300</b>	A					
									2011	2720	B					
									2016	3217	B					
E. Sopchoppy to US 98	2	Uninter. Undiv.	1	5.5	Rural Undev.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 7900 (LOS E) 27500	21 26	<u>AADT</u> 5000 4300	1998	4550	C				<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 58.86% (LOS E) 16.91%	<u>AADT</u> 9.41%
									1999	4250	B					
									2000	3900	B					
									2001	4500	C					
									2002	4400	B					
									2003	4550	C					
						<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 420 (LOS E) 1470	21 26	<u>PH/PD</u> 270 232	2004	4300	B	2005 2006 <b>2007</b> 2011 2016	243 229 <b>251</b>	C B <b>C</b>	<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 59.68% (LOS E) 17.05%	
									2005	4500	C					
									2006	4250	B					
									<b>2007</b>	<b>4650</b>	C					
									2011	4887	C					
									2016	5136	C					

\*Exceeds FDOT recommended standard

Annual rate determined as follows:  $((2007+2006+2005+2004+2003)/5)/((2002+2001+2000+1999+1998)/5)^{.20-1}$

APPENDIX A

STATE ROAD SECTION	NO. LANES	FAC. TYPE	SIG./STOPS	LENGTH (miles)	LOS AREA	LOS STD MAX.VOL.	FDOT STAT.	2007 COUNTS	AADT ANALYSIS			PH/PD ANALYSIS			% OF CAPAC.	ONE-YR. GROWTH
									YEAR	COUNT	LOS	YEAR	PH/PD	LOS		
<b>US 319</b>																
US 98 to Lower Bridge Rd	2	Inter. Undiv.	1	5.2	Trans Urban	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 13100 (LOS E) 16300	29	<u>AADT</u> 12900	1998	9000	C				<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 98.47% (LOS E) 79.14%	<u>AADT</u> 17.27%
									1999	9400	C					
									2000	9400	C					
									2001	9400	C					
									2002	9100	C					
									2003	8900	C					
						<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 690 (LOS E) 860	29	<u>PH/PD</u> 681	2004	8900	C	2005 2006 <b>2007</b> 2011 2016	507 581 <b>681</b>	C C C	<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 98.71% (LOS E) 79.20%	
									2005	9600	C					
									2006	11000	C					
									<b>2007</b>	<b>12900</b>	C					
									2011	14293	D*					
									2016	15837	E*					
Lower Bridge Rd to Bloxham Cutoff Road	2	Inter. Undiv.	2	6.1	Trans Urban	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 13100 (LOS E) 16300	296	<u>AADT</u> 15445	1998	10200	C			<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 117.90% (LOS E) 94.75%	<u>AADT</u> 3.66%	
									1999	10200	C					
									2000	9500	C					
									2001	12500	C					
									2002	11800	C					
									2003	10000	C					
						<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 690 (LOS E) 860	296	<u>PH/PD</u> 815	2004	13380	D*	2005 2006 <b>2007</b> 2012 2017	738 787 <b>815</b>	D D <b>D</b>	<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 118.19% (LOS E) 94.83%	
									2005	13973	D*					
									2006	14899	D*					
									<b>2007</b>	<b>15445</b>	D*					
									2012	19291	E*					
									2017	24095	E*					
Bloxham Cutoff Road to Leon County Line <sup>2</sup>	2	Uninter. Undiv.	0	1.0	Trans Urban	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 14900 (LOS E) 26700	52\$ 296	<u>AADT</u> 15445	1998	11943	C			<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 103.66% (LOS E) 57.85%	<u>AADT</u> 3.66%	
									1999	12668	C					
									2000	12317	C					
									2001	12532	C					
									2002	12944	C					
									2003	13247	C					
						<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 790 (LOS E) 1410	296	<u>PH/PD</u> 815	2004	13380	C	2005 2006 <b>2007</b> 2012 2017	738 787 <b>815</b>	C C <b>D</b>	<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 103.23% (LOS E) 57.84%	
									2005	13973	C					
									2006	14899	C					
									<b>2007</b>	<b>15445</b>	D*					
									2012	17559	D*					
									2017	19962	D*					

<sup>2</sup>Capital Region Transportation Planning Agency Urbanized Area Boundary

\*Exceeds FDOT recommended standard

Annual rate determined as follows:  $((2007+2006+2005+2004+2003)/5)/((2002+2001+2000+1999+1998)/5)^{.20-1}$

APPENDIX A

**TOWN OF ST. MARKS**

STATE ROAD SECTION	NO. LANES	FAC. TYPE	SIG./STOPS	LENGTH (miles)	LOS AREA	LOS STD MAX.VOL.	FDOT STAT.	2006 COUNTS	AADT ANALYSIS			PH/PD ANALYSIS			% OF CAPAC.	ONE-YR. GROWTH					
									YEAR	COUNT	LOS	YEAR	PH/PD	LOS							
<b>SR 363</b>																					
Within City	2	Inter. Undiv.	1	1.6	Rural Devel.	AADT (LOS C) 11000	9946#	1864	1998	2004	B				AADT (LOS C) 17.46%	AADT -6.02%					
									1999	2446	C										
									2000	2609	C										
									2001	2305	C										
									2002	2255	C										
									2003	2218	C										
												PH/PD (LOS C) 590	9946#	98	2004		2161	B	2005 109 2006 101 <b>2007 101</b>	B B <b>B</b>	PH/PD (LOS C) 17.12%
						2005	2044	B													
						2006	1921	B													
						<b>2007</b>	<b>1864</b>	<b>B</b>													
						2011	1959	B													
						2016	2059	B													

#New station in 1998

Annual rate determined as follows:  $((2007+2006+2005+2004+2003)/5)/((2002+2001+2000+1999+1998)/5)^{.20-1}$   
APPENDIX A

**TOWN OF SOPCHOPPY**

STATE ROAD SECTION	NO. LANES	FAC. TYPE	SIG./STOPS	LENGTH (miles)	LOS AREA	LOS STD MAX.VOL.	FDOT STAT.	2006 COUNTS	AADT ANALYSIS			PH/PD ANALYSIS			% OF CAPAC.	ONE-YR. GROWTH
									YEAR	COUNT	LOS	YEAR	PH/PD	LOS		
<b>US 319</b>																
S. City Line to CR 22	2	Uninter. Undiv.	0	1.0	Rural Devel.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 15300	3	<u>AADT</u> 2300	1998	2125	A				<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 15.03%	<u>AADT</u> -14.81%
									1999	2200	A					
									2000	2000	A					
									2001	2000	A					
									2002	1950	A					
									2003	1900	A					
						<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 810	3	<u>PH/PD</u> 124	2004	2050	A	2005 2006 <b>2007</b>	98 146 <b>124</b>	A A A	<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 15.30%	
									2005	1825	A					
									2006	2700	B					
									<b>2007</b>	<b>2300</b>	A					
									2012	2417	A					
									2017	2541	B					
CR 22 to E. City Line	2	Uninter. Undiv.	0	0.9	Rural Devel.	<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 15300	26	<u>AADT</u> 4300	1998	4300	B				<u>AADT</u> (LOS C) 28.10%	<u>AADT</u> 7.50%
									1999	4000	B					
									2000	3600	B					
									2001	4300	B					
									2002	4200	B					
									2003	4300	B					
						<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 810	26	<u>PH/PD</u> 232	2004	3900	B	2005 2006 <b>2007</b>	221 216 <b>232</b>	B B B	<u>PH/PD</u> (LOS C) 28.61%	
									2005	4100	B					
									2006	4000	B					
									<b>2007</b>	<b>4300</b>	B					
									2012	4519	B					
									2017	4750	B					

Annual rate determined as follows: (((2007+2006+2005+2004+2003)/5)/((2002+2001+2000+1999+1998)/5))^20-1

APPENDIX A

