

ACTIONS FROM THE JUNE 13, 2012 PROCUREMENT ACTIONS REVIEW AND APPROVAL COMMITTEE MEETING

Committee Members: Gus Pego (Present), Harold Desdunes (Present), Debora Rivera (Present), Alicia Trujillo (Present)

Meeting Date	Item	Proposed Action	Committee's Decision	Comments	Project Manager
6/13/2012	13630	Final Selection	Approved	Consultant Selections Committee. Districtwide right-of-way mapping & survey. The Technical Review Committee (TRC) ranked the three shortlisted firms by interviews. The committee selected Biscayne Engineering Company, Inc.	Cristina Kinman-Albury
6/13/2012	13636 / 13637	Final Selection	Approved	Consultant Selections Committee. Districtwide project development and environment (PD&E) consultant support. Two contracts will be awarded from this advertisement. The TRC ranked the four shortlisted firms by presentations. The committee selected Gannett Fleming, Inc. and Corzo Castella Carballo Thompson Salman, P.A.	Jeannine Gaslonde / Nicholas Danu
6/13/2012	13645 / 13646	Final Selection	Approved	Consultant Selections Committee. Districtwide public transportation consultant services. Two contracts will be awarded from this advertisement. The TRC ranked the five shortlisted firms by presentations. The committee selected BCC Engineering, Inc. and Gannett Fleming, Inc.	Paola Baez / Dionne Richardson
6/13/2012	13609	Shortlist Selection	Approved	Consultant Selections Committee. State Road (S.R.) 836/I-395 interim from NE 1 Avenue to S.R. A1A/MacArthur Causeway. The district received ten letters of response from this advertisement. The TRC will rank the shortlisted firms by interviews. The committee shortlisted three firms: CSA Central, Inc.; EAC Consulting, Inc. and The Corradino Group, Inc.	Andres Berisiartu
6/13/2012	13638	Shortlist Selection	Approved	Consultant Selections Committee. Districtwide permit consultant. The district received six letters of response from this advertisement. The TRC will rank the shortlisted firms by interviews. The committee shortlisted four firms: Atkins North America, Inc.; Corzo Castella Carballo Thompson Salman, P.A.; Metric Engineering, Inc. and Reynolds, Smith & Hills, Inc.	John Palenchar

6/13/2012	13661	Shortlist Selection	Approved	<p>Consultant Selections Committee. Districtwide Efficient Transportation Decision Making (ETDM) consultant. ETDM is a statewide process, not a program. It provides a way to coordinate with regulatory agencies in the very early stages of projects, starting before the PD&E study. Potential projects are screened in the ETDM system twice, first at the planning stage to look for fatal flaws and determine if the project should be funded in the department's work program, and again to check the scope of work for the PD&E for issues and concerns. The district received four letters of response from this advertisement. The TRC will rank the shortlisted firms by technical proposals. The committee shortlisted all four firms: Atkins North America, Inc.; David Plummer & Associates, Inc.; Reynolds, Smith & Hills, Inc. and URS Corporation Southern.</p>	Craig James
6/13/2012	Consultant Selections Committee Procedures			<p>Among the information given to the committee members for each shortlist selection are the numbers of contracts that the responding firms have executed with the department in the last year and in the last five years. Brian Arena indicated that it is redundant to include the number for the last year because all those contracts already are included in the number for the last five years. (Also there is a list of 'shortlisted' contracts which includes the contract for which the firms are being considered in that shortlist selection, so that contract number shows for all the firms on the list.) When making a shortlist selection, the committee members may consider the existing contracts a firm has with the department. Harold Desdunes suggested that when making final selections the committee could take other contract selections made at the same meeting into account. However, later Carla Perry of Central Office indicated that it is the department's policy that the Selections Committee may not consider previous and current contracts or shortlists at final selection. The final selection will be based on the TRC's technical scores.</p>	

6/13/2012	E-6G62	Award Contract	Approved	Procurement Awards Committee. Bridge repair and rehabilitation improvements along S.R. 9A/I-95 Ramp 12A at S.R. 91/Florida Turnpike, bridge painting, bridge number 870349. There were five bids, all found responsive. Project budget amount \$479,000.00; contractor's bid \$472,428.50. The contract has a term of 100 calendar days. The committee awarded the contract to the lowest responsive bidder (Klicos Painting Company, Inc.) at the bid amount until the awarded contractor acquires a performance bond.	Luis Amigo
6/13/2012	E-6G55	Award Contract	Approved	Procurement Awards Committee. Maintenance resurfacing (flex) of S.R. 953/LeJeune Road/E 8 Avenue from SE 11 Place to south of S.R. 934/E 25 Street. There were six bids, all found responsive. Project budget amount \$1,833,353.00; contractor's bid \$1,784,436.94. The contract has a term of 180 calendar days. The committee awarded the contract to the lowest responsive bidder (H & J Asphalt, Inc.) at the bid amount until the awarded contractor acquires a performance bond.	Javier Manso
6/13/2012	E-6G57: Options			Procurement Awards Committee. Bridge repair and rehabilitation improvements along S.R. 5/U.S. 1 Long Key Bridge V-Piers for bridge number 900094 in Monroe County. There were five bids, four of which were found responsive. Project budget amount \$8,264,000.00; contractor's bid \$13,446,192.60. The contract has a term of 700 calendar days. Initially there was a lower bid, but then that company withdrew its bid. As per procedure number 600-010-001-f (Preparation of the Authorization / Official Construction Cost Estimate and Contract Bid Review Package), Section 5.5, the TRC does not review contracts with a low bid greater than 15% above the official estimate and a budget amount greater than \$5 million. Instead, the Awards Committee would make a recommendation for the Secretary to award the contract or reject all bids. Linda Glass Johnson located additional funds which could be used to increase the project's budget to match the lowest responsive bid if the department awards the contract. If the department rejects all bids, District Six (D6) will unencumber the project's current budget amount and let it roll forward.	

6/13/2012	E-6G57: Factors Influencing the Bids			<p>The district got the final permit for this project only days before the letting. D6 got Central Office's permission to advertise the project as contingent on getting the permit. However, the permit 'is part of the bid documents.'</p> <p>Without knowing the permit's terms, the bidders faced uncertainty and might have raised their bid amounts accordingly. If the department re-advertises this project, the new bids might be lower. Among the responsive bids, the lowest and the next lowest ones are only about 1.5% from each other. Also this is a unique project with 'huge' temporary works (supports), no historical data and five lump sum bid items. One estimator in Central Office said that the existing estimate for this project is fine.</p>	
6/13/2012	E-6G57: Next Steps	Award Contract	Deferred	<p>Either Central Office (CO) will do a desk review of this project or Michelle Guidry of D6 will do one with assistance from CO. Review the scope, adjust the estimate and bring this item back to the Awards Committee. Be consistent, predictable and repeatable. Contracts greater than \$10 million are supposed to be let by Central Office. If the revised estimate is greater than \$10 million, the district would need to get permission to keep the letting in the district. District Secretary Gus Pego will send a letter to Secretary Ananth Prasad, unless the revised estimate for this project is no more than 15% higher than the original estimate. It could take up to six months to execute the contract if it is re-advertised; the work could wait that long.</p>	Yaroslav Concepcion
6/13/2012	E-6H66	Award Contract	Approved	<p>Procurement Awards Committee. Install reflective pavement markers (RPM's) in Miami-Dade County, predominantly in the South Dade Area. There were five bids, all found responsive. Project budgetary ceiling amount \$125,000.00; contractor's bid \$111,253.68. The contract has a term of 365 calendar days. The committee awarded the contract to the lowest responsive bidder (Amroad LLC) at the budgetary ceiling amount until the awarded contractor acquires a performance bond.</p>	Khaled (Sam) Al-Said

6/13/2012	E-6H67	Award Contract	Approved	Procurement Awards Committee. Landscape maintenance on various state roads in Miami-Dade County, predominantly in the North Dade Area. This contract has renewal options. There were six bids, five of which were found responsive. (The non-responsive bid did not have a bid bond.) Project budgetary ceiling amount \$100,000; contractor's bid \$84,122. The contract has a term of 365 calendar days. The committee awarded the contract to the lowest responsive bidder (T.T.C. Environmental, Inc.) at the budgetary ceiling amount until the awarded contractor acquires a performance bond.	Khaled (Sam) Al-Said
6/13/2012	E-6H68	Award Contract	Approved	Procurement Awards Committee. Install reflective pavement markers (RPM's) in Miami-Dade County, predominantly in the North Dade Area. There were five bids, all found responsive. Project budgetary ceiling amount \$150,000.00; contractor's bid \$126,676.90. The contract has a term of 365 calendar days. The committee awarded the contract to the lowest responsive bidder (Amroad LLC) at the budgetary ceiling amount until the awarded contractor acquires a performance bond.	Khaled (Sam) Al-Said
6/13/2012	E-6G58	Award Contract	Approved	Procurement Awards Committee. Pavement resurfacing and rehabilitation improvements along S.R. 90/U.S. 41/Tamiami Trail from Collier County line to west of Loop Road. There were four bids, all found responsive. Project budget amount \$1,709,440.00; contractor's bid \$1,270,334.34. The contract has a term of 120 calendar days. The committee awarded the contract to the lowest responsive bidder (H & R Paving, Inc.) at the bid amount until the awarded contractor acquires a performance bond.	Javier Manso
6/13/2012	E-6H79	Award Contract	Withdrawn	Procurement Awards Committee. Provide performance based limited access roadway lighting maintenance and repairs on S.R. 9A/I-95, S.R. 913/Rickenbacker Causeway, S.R. 970/Downtown Connector, S.R. 112/I-195/Julia Tuttle Causeway, S.R. A1A/I-395/MacArthur Causeway Bridge, S.R. 826/Palmetto Expressway and S.R. 93/I-75.	Khaled (Sam) Al-Said
6/13/2012	Old Business			None	
6/13/2012	New Contract Actions			None	

6/13/2012	BD459	Time Extension	Approved	<p>Time Extension 1. Districtwide contract technical support. Brightwing (a.k.a. MEC Personnel Consultants). Original contract amount \$1,130,000.00; current amount \$8,687,681.44; no additional funds requested. (The percentage of the contract committed is not applicable because the work under this contract is issued by various offices via letters of authorization. There is a \$700,000 budgetary ceiling per year.) Five year contract, executed on September 9, 2002, renewed for five years, and due to expire on September 8, 2012. A six month time extension, to February 28, 2013, is requested so the offices can transition to Department of Management Services (DMS) state term contracts for similar services. There is one contract for Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) consultants and another for temporary employees. (The latter contract reportedly has 255 vendors.) The offices must write a scope of services (which could include health benefits and/or time off) and issue a request for quotes (RFQ). It takes time to identify classifications and costs, and the offices may not be ready by September 8. The committee specified</p>	Arnie Fernandez
6/13/2012	BD026	Time Extension	Approved	<p>Time Extension 1. Government intergovernmental affairs and community liaison services. Kommunikatz, Inc. Original contract amount \$550,000; current amount \$954,735; 100% of contract committed; requested amount \$134,800. Two year contract, executed July 21, 2009, due to expire on July 20, 2012. A six month time extension with additional funds is requested to carry out the upcoming 2012 work program briefings and activities, including commission meetings. The district is in the process of procuring a new contract which should start in July or August, after which the district will transition to the new contract.</p>	Maribel Lena

6/13/2012	C8B44	Time Extension	Rejected	<p>Time Extension 4. Districtwide Efficient Transportation Decision Making (ETDM). URS Corporation Southern. Original contract amount \$150,000; current amount \$1,500,000; 100% of contract committed; no additional funds are requested. Five year contract, executed August 4, 2004, due to expire on July 31, 2012. A one year time extension, to July 31, 2013, is requested under extenuating circumstances so the consultant can complete work on an existing open task work order for ongoing project number 412479-2 on S.R. 985/SW 107 Avenue from SW 12 Street to S.R. 968/W Flagler Street. This project has been delayed in design while the district debates how much right of way to purchase and tries to determine what the South Florida Water Management District will allow D6 to do with the bridge, both of which would affect what noise wall might be built for this project. The project is going to 60% plans. Craig James was keeping this contract open to hold on to about \$30,000 designated to complete the final design noise study and re-evaluation. No new work will be assigned under this contract. The committee directed</p>	Craig James
6/13/2012	C8Z35			<p>Districtwide Environmental Data & Report Consultant. Corzo Castella Carballo Thompson Salman, P.A. (CT3S). Craig James indicated that he has a contract which can be used to do the final design noise study and re-evaluation for project number 412479-2. Debora Rivera indicated that there are Expense and Consultant funds available to pay for that work, replacing the approximately \$30,000 previously encumbered under contract number C8B44. Recently a supplement for this contract was 'bumped back' in the D6 Consultant Acquisition Plan (CAP) from the fall to December or January. Craig will tell Leigh Cann to advance those funds in the CAP because Craig will need the funds soon.</p>	Craig James

6/13/2012	C6735 MIC Item	Supplement	Approved	Supplement 39. Professional and technical support for the Miami Intermodal Center (MIC). AECOM Technical Services, Inc. Original contract amount \$3,000,000.00; current amount \$75,846,178.66; 100% of contract committed; requested amount \$1,578,842.00. Ten year contract, executed May 8, 1998, and due to expire on December 31, 2014. The current task work order (TWO) expires on June 30, 2012. The new TWO will cover the period of July 1, 2012 to June 30, 2013. It includes \$568,378.00 for program management services, \$957,239.00 for West Concourse and East Concourse post design services and \$53,225.00 for record drawing for the West Concourse. Soon the consultant should finish compiling the plans for the West Concourse, which are required by the building permit. This item was added to the agenda at the meeting.	Adolfo Fassrainer
6/13/2012	Joint Participation Agreements			None	
6/13/2012	Locally Funded Agreements			None	
6/13/2012	CIGP			None	
6/13/2012	Construction Supplemental			None	
6/13/2012	Landscaping: Request on Ives Dairy Road near I-95: Situation			The Chateaux Homeowners Association (HOA) wrote to District Six to request that the district plant additional trees, possibly sea grapes, along the perimeter of the newly constructed holding lane along Ives Dairy Road near S.R. 9A/I-95. The request also included the adjacent community of Oak Hammock. (Both of these are in the southeast quadrant of that interchange. The northeast quadrant also is residential, but already has a noise wall.) The request specified that no existing trees or plants should be removed to make way for the new trees. District Landscape Architect Paul Moss reported that the department removed landscaping on its right of way on eastbound Ives Dairy Road when adding the lane. The adjacent communities did not qualify for a noise wall, so they requested landscaping. Sea grapes are hard to maintain and clusters of those plants often become encampments for the homeless.	

6/13/2012	Landscaping: Request on Ives Dairy Road near I-95: Options and Next Steps		Deferred	Rough estimates for adding bushes and trees at this location ranged from \$49,444.25 to \$204,291.75. Debora Rivera mentioned that District Four was rebuked for adding landscaping in areas which already had landscaping, and that every community could request more landscaping. Gus Pego said to evaluate the whole interchange after construction is finished there. To be a 'good neighbor,' send the HOA saying that the district will develop a plan and 'run it by' the HOA. Program something during gaming.	Paul Moss
6/13/2012	Landscaping: S.R. 976/Bird Road Median near S.R. 826		Approved	In 2008 the district committed to planting new landscaping in the median of S.R. 976/SW 40 Street/Bird Road just west of S.R. 826/Palmetto Expressway. Trees were planted in the median during construction of the Palmetto Section 2 project. Now there is a request for the department to plant shrubs there also. Paul Moss needed to adjust the plans because there is a wider median than previously planned and no turbo lane. The estimate is about \$112,000 and the work could be done with a push-button contract. Gus Pego said to verify what is planted there now and where the sign for Tropical Park will be. The district already has a maintenance memorandum of agreement (MMA) with the Miami-Dade County Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces Department; get them to agree to include the new landscaping under that agreement.	Paul Moss
6/13/2012	Landscaping: S.R. 826 from Coral Way to SW 8 Street: Situation			The district committed to install certain shrubs at the interchange of S.R. 826/Palmetto Expressway and S.R. 90/U.S. 41/SW 8 Street. This will be done in the northwest and southwest quadrants under a contract to be let soon. Someone asked why the department does not have any landscaping along the far side of the noise wall (not the side that faces the highway) on the west side of S.R. 826 from SW 24 Street/Coral Way to SW 8 Street. From SW 16 to SW 21 Street, most of the department's right of way on that side of the noise wall is very narrow, ranging from 2.5' to 4.5', so the department had not planned to install landscaping there.	

6/13/2012	Landscaping: S.R. 826 from Coral Way to SW 8 Street: Options and Next Steps		Approved	D6 could plant native shrubs along the wall; they would require hardly any maintenance and would block graffiti artists from the wall. However, the shrubs also would block the department's access from that side to the wall to maintain it. Reportedly District Two (D2) plants the 'creeping fig' vine to grow on its walls. Vines are cheaper than shrubs, would block graffiti artists from the wall and make it unnecessary for the department to paint the wall. However, vines also would prevent the department from inspecting the wall. Gus Pego said to plant shrubs behind the noise wall only if Miami-Dade County will maintain them. Paul Moss will ask David Cardenas if the county would maintain shrubs there. Also he will ask the District Landscape Architect in D2 if that district has any problems with cracks in the walls covered with vines.	Paul Moss
6/13/2012	FHP Fuel Reimbursement Clause			On May 21 it was reported that District Six is working towards a new contract with the Florida Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles (DHSMV), Division of Florida Highway Patrol (FHP) for enhanced enforcement of the S.R. 9A/I-95 Express Lanes use restrictions and enforcement of the I-95 ramp signaling (metering) system. FHP wants to include 100% fuel reimbursement in the new contract. They proposed to bill the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) for 2.5 gallons of fuel for each hour a FHP vehicle patrols under the contract, using the American Automobile Association (AAA)'s current average cost per gallon for Florida, less taxes, for the month invoiced. FDOT countered with a proposal to reimburse fuel based on actual miles driven (as reported in mileage logs) divided by the reported average fuel consumption rate for FHP vehicles of 18 miles per gallon (mpg). On June 11 Arnie Fernandez reported that the DHSMV agreed to that method of calculating the fuel reimbursement for the new contract.	

6/13/2012	FHP Collocation Agreement			<p>FDOT and FHP have had a collocation agreement in place since March 2, 2007. The agreement calls for DHSMV to pay FDOT \$125,000 per year for the Transportation Management Center (TMC) operation and maintenance costs. On May 21 Arnie Fernandez indicated that he would contact Joe Kowalski in the Comptroller's Office to verify this amount and then report the result. On June 11 Arnie reported that the collocation agreement indicates that if the Florida Legislature does not approve the DHSMV's budget issue request for the collocation agreement costs, DHSMV isn't required to pay the full amount. In fiscal years (FYs) 2007/08 and 2009/10 DHSMV paid \$40,118 a year. In FY 2008/09, in lieu of payment, D6 allowed DHSMV to pay for new air conditioning equipment for the Sunguide TMC building. In FY 2010/11 and 2011/12 the DHSMV paid the full \$125,000 per year.</p>	
6/13/2012	Meeting Time			<p>The meeting began at approximately 8:30 AM, adjourned at about 10:00 AM, re-convened at about 1:08 PM and ended at approximately 2:40 PM. Mark Croft substituted for Debora Rivera for most of the morning session, which included the Consultant Selections Committee, Procurement Awards Committee and the start of discussion of the first action item for the Procurement Actions Review and Approval Committee. Debora attended most of the afternoon session, which began with more discussion of the first action item and continued through the rest of the PARA agenda. She left before Gus Pego, Harold Desdunes and Brian Arena discussed the Consultant Selections Committee procedural items.</p>	