

I-595 Corridor Roadway Improvements Project

Project Selection Committee Briefing



Briefing Overview

- Description of Project – Patrick Harder
- Composition of Proposer Teams – Antonette Adams
 - Express Access Team
 - ACS Dragados – Macquarie Partnership
 - I-595 Development Partners
 - Sunshine Mobility Partners
 - Partnership for Accelerated Expressway (PAX)
 - Direct Connect Partners
- Summary of Procurement Process – Patrick Harder
- Presentation of Pass/Fail Results – Antonette Adams
 - Administrative, Technical & Financial
- Presentation of SOQ Scores – Patrick Harder
- Questions and Answers (if applicable)
- Short-Listing Determination



Description of Project

Public-private partnership between FDOT and a concessionaire to:

- Design, build, finance, operate, and maintain an urban interstate highway, including frontage roads
- Reconstruct and resurface the I-595 mainline, including the addition of auxiliary lanes and Turnpike Interchanges (and all associated improvements to adjacent cross-roads, frontage roads and ramps)
- Construct a new express lanes system in the I-595 median

Composition of Proposer Teams

ACS Dragados – Macquarie Partnership

- Equity Members
 - ACS Infrastructure Development Inc.
 - MIHI LLC (Macquarie Group)
- Lead Contractor
 - Construction Joint Venture (Dragados USA, Inc. and Grandi Lavori Florida (GLF Construction Corporation))
- Lead Engineering Firm
 - Earth Tech, Inc. (d/b/a Earth Tech Consulting, Inc.)
- Lead Operations and Maintenance Firm
 - ASC Infrastructure Development

Composition of Proposer Teams (cont.)

Direct Connect Partners

- Equity Members
 - Skanska Infrastructure Development AB
 - John Laing PLC
 - Fluor Enterprises, Inc.
- Lead Contractor
 - Construction Joint Venture (Skanska USA Civil, Inc. and Fluor Enterprises, Inc.)
- Lead Engineering Firm
 - HDR Engineering, Inc.
- Lead Operations and Maintenance Firm
 - Roy Jorgensen Associates, Inc.

Composition of Proposer Teams (cont.)

Express Access Team

- Equity Members
 - Babcock & Brown Infrastructure Group US LLC
 - Bilfinger Berger BOT, Inc.
- Lead Contractor
 - Construction Joint Venture (PCL Civil Constructors, Inc. and Archer Western Contractors, Ltd.)
- Lead Engineering Firm
 - PB Americas, Inc.
- Lead Operations and Maintenance Firm
 - Transfield Services Limited

Composition of Proposer Teams (cont.)

I-595 Development Partners

- Equity Members
 - OHL Concesiones, S.L. (OHL Infrastructure, Inc.)
 - GS Global Infrastructure Partners I, LP (Goldman Sachs)
 - Balfour Beatty Capital, Inc.
- Lead Contractor
 - Construction Joint Venture (OHL, S.A., Community Asphalt Corp., and Condotte America, Inc.)
- Lead Engineering Firm
 - Jacobs Engineering Group, Inc.
- Lead Operations and Maintenance Firm
 - OHL Concesiones, S.L.

Composition of Proposer Teams (cont.)

Partnership for Accelerated Expressway (PAX)

- **Equity Members**
 - FCC Construcción, S.A.
 - Global Via Infraestructuras, S.A.
 - Corporación Financiera Caja Madrid, S.A.
- **Lead Contractor**
 - FCC Construcción, S.A.
- **Lead Engineering Firm**
 - HNTB Corporation
- **Lead Operations and Maintenance Firm**
 - Operations and Maintenance Joint Venture (Infrastructure Corporation of America and Global Via Infraestructuras, S.A.)

Composition of Proposer Teams (cont.)

Sunshine Mobility Partners

- Equity Members
 - Cintra Concesiones de Infraestructuras de Transporte, S.A.
 - Ferrovial Servicios, S.A.
- Lead Contractor
 - Construction Joint Venture (Ferrovial Agroman, S.A. and The Middlesex Corporation)
- Lead Engineering Firm
 - Lochner MMM Group, LLC (MMM Group Limited and H.W. Lochner, Inc.)
- Lead Operations and Maintenance Firm
 - Operations and Maintenance Joint Venture (Cintra Concesiones de Infraestructuras de Transporte, S.A. and Ferrovial Servicios, S.A.)

Procurement Schedule

Two Phase Procurement

- Request for Qualifications
 - Released October 1, 2007
 - Statements of Qualifications Received Nov. 5, 2007
 - Short-list Proposers Based on SOQs
- Request for Proposals
 - Industry Review Draft December 2007
 - Proposals Due May 2008
 - Evaluation of Proposals
 - Selection of a “Best Value Proposal” July 2008
 - Award and Execution

Summary of Procurement Process

SOQ Evaluation Process

- Project Selection Committee weights the evaluation criteria and sets the numerical equivalents for the adjectival scores
- Procurement office receives and logs SOQs
- Expert Panels develop pass/fail and evaluation criteria consensus comments
- Expert Panel debriefing of the Scoring Committees
- Scoring Committee members score SOQs and perform pass/fail determination on an individual basis
- Scoring Committee members submit scores and pass/fail results to Procurement office
- Procurement office converts adjectival scores
- Project Selection Committee makes short-listing decision during public meeting

Presentation of Pass/Fail Results

- Administrative
- Technical
- Financial



Presentation of Pass/Fail Results

Proposer Teams	Financial Pass/Fail: Financial Scoring Committee			Technical Pass/Fail: Technical Scoring Committee				Administrative Pass/Fail:	Overall Pass/Fail
	Eval #1	Eval #2	Eval #3	Eval #1	Eval #2	Eval #3	Eval #4	Pass/Fail Expert Panel	
1. ACS Dragados – Macquarie Partnership	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
2. Direct Connect Partners	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
3. Express Access Team	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
4. I-595 Development Partners	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
5. Partnership for Accelerated Expressway	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
6. Sunshine Mobility Partners	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass

Presentation of SOQ Scores



SOQ Score Summary

Possible Max. Score	Express Access Team	ACS Dragados-Macquarie Team	I-595 Development Partners	Direct Connect Partners	Partnership for Accelerated Expressway (PAX)	Sunshine Mobility Partners
Overall Recommended SOQ Score (100 Max. Points)						
100.00	85.86	71.31	66.11	65.74	55.37	45.29
Component 1: Technical Criteria - Qualifications and Capability Total - (47.5 Max. Points)						
47.50	42.71	29.68	36.63	31.53	33.75	20.93
Component 2: Financial Criteria - Qualifications and Capacity Total - (47.5 Max. Points)						
47.50	39.71	38.88	26.58	30.88	18.92	22.08
Component 3: Technical Criteria - Statement of Technical Approach Total - (2.5 Max. Points)						
2.50	1.56	1.38	1.28	1.50	1.45	1.03
Component 4: Financial Criteria - Statement of Financial Approach Total - (2.5 Max. Points)						
2.50	1.88	1.38	1.63	1.83	1.25	1.25

SOQ Score Summary (cont.) Rankings

Overall Criteria Ranking (Max 100 Points)		
Rank	Team	Score
1	Express Access Team	85.86
2	ACS Dragados - Macquarie Team	71.31
3	I-595 Development Partners	66.11
4	Direct Connect Partners	65.74
5	Partnership for Accelerated Expressway	55.37
6	Sunshine Mobility Partners	45.29

Technical Ranking (Max 50 Points)		
Rank	Team	Score
1	Express Access Team	44.28
2	I-595 Development Partners	37.91
3	Partnership for Accelerated Expressway	35.20
4	Direct Connect Partners	33.03
5	ACS Dragados - Macquarie Team	31.06
6	Sunshine Mobility Partners	21.96

Financial Criteria Ranking (Max 50 Points)		
Rank	Team	Score
1	Express Access Team	41.58
2	ACS Dragados - Macquarie Team	40.25
3	Direct Connect Partners	32.71
4	I-595 Development Partners	28.21
5	Sunshine Mobility Partners	23.33
6	Partnership for Accelerated Expressway	20.17

Project Selection Committee

- **Question and Answer Period**
- **Short-Listing Decision**