

**NOTICE TO CONTRACTORS/CONSULTANTS
STATE OF FLORIDA
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
DISTRICT ONE**

Posting Period: From 2:30 P.M., July 20, 2010 until 2:30 P.M., July 23, 2010

Selection Results:

District 1

CONTRACT NO.: E1141

FINANCIAL PROJECT ID(S): 195338 2 52 01

FEDERAL AID PROJECT ID(S): 3011 021 P

DESCRIPTION: US 41 ROADSIDE ANIMAL DETECTION SYTEMS (RADS), DESIGN/BUILD PROJECT

SHORT LIST DATE: JULY 20, 2010

PLANNED FINAL SELECTION DATE: NOVEMBER 9, 2010

SHORTLISTED CONSULTANTS:

HIGHWAY SAFETY DEVICES, INC./GANNETT FLEMING, INC.

INFRASOURCE UNDERGROUND CONST. SVCS., LLC./METRIC ENGINEERING, INC.

TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES, INC./TINDALE-OLIVER AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

TRANSCORE ITS, LLC./BCC ENGINEERING, INC.

WORLD FIBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC./F.R. ALEMAN & ASSOCIATES, INC.

PROTEST RIGHTS: Any person who is adversely affected by the Department's Request for Letters of Interest solicitation, including the Letter of Interest requirements contained herein, the intended shortlist, or other decision or intended decision shall file a notice of protest within 72 hours of the receipt of the decision or intended decision, or in the case of posted decisions such as the intended shortlist, within 72 hours of posting, and shall file a formal written protest within ten days after filing the notice of protest. The formal written protest shall state with particularity the facts and law upon which the protest is based. Any person who files a notice to protest shall post with the Department, at the time of filing the formal written protest, a bond payable to the Department in the amount of \$5,000. The required notice of protest, bond and formal protest must each be timely filed with the Clerk of Agency Proceedings, Florida Department of Transportation, Mail Section 58, Room 550, 605 Suwannee Street, Tallahassee, Florida, 32399-0458. Failure to file a protest within the time prescribed in Section 120.57(3), Florida Statutes, shall constitute a waiver of proceedings under Chapter 120, Florida Statutes. A protest is not timely filed unless the notice of protest, bond, and the formal written protest are each timely received by the Clerk of Agency Proceedings. A protest, which is filed prematurely, will be deemed abandoned unless timely renewed. Failure to file a protest within the time prescribed in section 120.57(3), Florida Statutes, or failure to post the bond or other security required by law within the time allowed for filing a bond shall constitute a waiver of proceedings under chapter 120, Florida Statutes.

Florida Department of Transportation District One

Firm Evaluation Spreadsheet

Design-Build Team Ranking Sheet, FPID 195338-2
Contract No. E1141

Team Design/Build Teams

A	Contractor: Highway Safety Devices Designer: Gannett Fleming/Varus Inc.
B	Contractor: Infrasource LLC. Designer: Metric Engineering Inc.
C	Contractor: Traffic Control Devices Inc. Designer: Tindale Oliver/Florida Bridge & Transportation
D	Contractor: Transcore ITS, LLC. Designer: BCC Engineering Inc.
E	Contractor: World Fiber Technologies Inc. Designer: F.R. Aleman VBCC Engineering Inc.
F	Contractor: Designer:
G	Contractor: Designer:
H	Contractor: Designer:
I	Contractor: Designer:
J	Contractor: Designer:
K	Contractor: Designer:
L	Contractor: Designer:
M	Contractor: Designer:
N	Contractor: Designer:
O	Contractor: Designer:
P	Contractor: Designer:

Evaluators:

Brian Monkelbaan
Steve Nappi
Chris Piazza

Evaluation Questions:

1. Strength of Contractor/Designer working individually on similar type projects (Consider claim history, grades, resources, timeliness of completion, environmental compliance, etc.) Weighted Factor:
2. Joint experience of both the Contractor and Designer working together as a team or with other Design Build Teams. (Consider Overall Design Build team strengths, composition, effectiveness, and experience). Weighted Factor:
3. Organizational Structure and staffing (personnel capability/availability/experience of key personnel). Weighted Factor:
4. Current workload (Consider their list of active contracts and their projected completion date). Weighted Factor:
5. Approach and understanding of project requirements (Clearly demonstrated knowledge of the project's critical issues). Weighted Factor:

FDOT - District One
Design-Build Team Ranking Sheet, FPID 195338-2
Contract No. E1141

Weighted Factor:

Team	Design/Build Teams	4.50		4.50		4.00		3.50		4.50		Overall Ranking
		Raw Score	Weighted Score									
		1	2	3	4	5						
A	Contractor: Highway Safety Devices Designer: Gannett Fleming/Janus Inc.	3.500	15.750	3.500	15.750	3.000	12.000	3.333	11.667	3.500	15.750	70.917
B	Contractor: Infrasource LLC. Designer: Metric Engineering Inc.	4.000	18.000	4.167	18.750	3.833	15.333	3.500	12.250	4.500	20.250	84.583
C	Contractor: Traffic Control Devices Inc. Designer: Tindale Oliver/Florida Bridge & Transportation	3.833	17.250	3.333	15.000	3.333	13.333	3.500	12.250	4.667	21.000	78.833
D	Contractor: Transcore ITS, LLC. Designer: BCC Engineering Inc.	3.500	15.750	3.667	16.500	3.333	13.333	3.000	10.500	3.000	13.500	69.583
E	Contractor: World Fiber Technologies Inc. Designer: F.R. Aleman BCC Engineering Inc.	2.833	12.750	3.333	15.000	3.000	12.000	3.500	12.250	2.167	9.750	61.750
P	Contractor: Designer:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

Scale:
5 pts = Excellent
4 pts = Very Good
3 pts = Good
2 pts = Fair
1 pt = Poor

S.M. Cann, P.E., District Secretary

C.J. Finn, District Director of Transportation Support

E. J.Gonzalez, District Director of Transportation Operations

R. L. Combs, District Director of Transportation Development

J. Limbaugh, District Director of SWAO

FDOT - District One
Design-Build Team Ranking Sheets
 Design-Build Team Ranking Sheet, FPID 195338-2

Team: A

Contractor: **Highway Safety Devices**
 Designer: **Gannett Fleming/Vanus Inc.**

Questions

Evalutator	1	2	3	4	5	Overall
Brian Monkelbaan	3.5	3.5	3	3	3.5	16.500
Steve Nappi	3	3	3	4	3	16.000
Chris Piazza	4	4	3	3	4	18.000
Average Score:	3.500	3.500	3.000	3.333	3.500	16.833

Scale: **5 pts = Excellent**
4 pts = Very Good
3 pts = Good
2 pts = Fair
1 pt = Poor

FDOT - District One
Design-Build Team Ranking Sheets
 Design-Build Team Ranking Sheet, FPID 195338-2

Team: B

Contractor: **Infrasource LLC.**
 Designer: **Metric Engineering Inc.**

Questions

Evaluator	1	2	3	4	5	Overall
Brian Monkelbaan	4	3.5	3.5	3.5	4.5	19.000
Steve Nappi	4	5	4	4	4	21.000
Chris Piazza	4	4	4	3	5	20.000
Average Score:	4.000	4.167	3.833	3.500	4.500	20.000

Scale: **5 pts = Excellent**
4 pts = Very Good
3 pts = Good
2 pts = Fair
1 pt = Poor

FDOT - District One
Design-Build Team Ranking Sheets
 Design-Build Team Ranking Sheet, FPID 195338-2

Team: C

Contractor: **Traffic Control Devices Inc.**
 Designer: **Tindale Oliver\Florida Bridge & Transportation**

Questions

Evalutator	1	2	3	4	5	Overall
Brian Monkelbaan	3.5	3	3	3.5	4	17.000
Steve Nappi	4	3	4	4	5	20.000
Chris Piazza	4	4	3	3	5	19.000
Average Score:	3.833	3.333	3.333	3.500	4.667	18.667

Scale: **5 pts = Excellent**
4 pts = Very Good
3 pts = Good
2 pts = Fair
1 pt = Poor

FDOT - District One
Design-Build Team Ranking Sheets
 Design-Build Team Ranking Sheet, FPID 195338-2

Team: D

Contractor: **Transcore ITS, LLC.**
 Designer: **BCC Engineering Inc.**

Questions

Evaluator	1	2	3	4	5	Overall
Brian Monkelbaan	3.5	3.5	3	3	3	16.000
Steve Nappi	3	3.5	4	3	3	16.500
Chris Piazza	4	4	3	3	3	17.000
Average Score:	3.500	3.667	3.333	3.000	3.000	16.500

Scale: **5 pts = Excellent**
4 pts = Very Good
3 pts = Good
2 pts = Fair
1 pt = Poor

FDOT - District One
Design-Build Team Ranking Sheets
 Design-Build Team Ranking Sheet, FPID 195338-2

Team: E

Contractor: **World Fiber Technologies Inc.**
 Designer: **F.R. Aleman \BCC Engineering Inc.**

Questions

Evalutator	1	2	3	4	5	Overall
Brian Monkelbaan	2.5	3	3	3.5	2.5	14.500
Steve Nappi	2	3	3	4	2	14.000
Chris Piazza	4	4	3	3	2	16.000
Average Score:	2.833	3.333	3.000	3.500	2.167	14.833

Scale: **5 pts = Excellent**
4 pts = Very Good
3 pts = Good
2 pts = Fair
1 pt = Poor

Longlist Evaluation Criteria

Project Description: FPID 195338-2, Contract No. E1141, US 41 Roadside Animal Detection System (RADS)

Team A

Contractor: Highway Safety Devices

Designer: Yanus/Gannett Fleming Inc.

Rate each firm in the following areas based on the scale: Excellent (5), Very Good (4), Good (3), Fair (2), Poor (1).

Criteria	Rating
1. Strength of Contractor/Designer working individually on similar type projects (Consider claim history, grades, resources, timeliness of completion, environmental compliance, etc.)	3.5
2. Joint experience of both the Contractor and Designer working together as a team or with other Design Build Teams. (Consider Overall Design Build team strengths, composition, effectiveness, and experience).	3.5
3. Organizational Structure and staffing (personnel capability/availability/experience of key personnel).	3
4. Current workload (Consider their list of active contracts and their projected completion date).	3
5. Approach and understanding of project requirements (Clearly demonstrated knowledge of the project's critical issues).	3.5
TOTAL	16.5

Technical Review Committee Member:


 Signature

Brian Markelbaer
 Printed Name

7/15/10
 Date

Longlist Evaluation Criteria

Project Description: EPID 195338-2, Contract No. E1141, US 41 Roadside Animal Detection System (RADS)

Team B

Contractor: Infrasource LLC

Designer: Metric Engineering Inc.

Rate each firm in the following areas based on the scale: Excellent (5), Very Good (4), Good (3), Fair (2), Poor (1).

Criteria	Rating
1. Strength of Contractor/Designer working individually on similar type projects (Consider claim history, grades, resources, timeliness of completion, environmental compliance, etc.)	4
2. Joint experience of both the Contractor and Designer working together as a team or with other Design Build Teams. (Consider Overall Design Build team strengths, composition, effectiveness, and experience).	3.5
3. Organizational Structure and staffing (personnel capability/availability/experience of key personnel).	3.5
4. Current workload (Consider their list of active contracts and their projected completion date).	3.5
5. Approach and understanding of project requirements (Clearly demonstrated knowledge of the project's critical issues).	4.5
TOTAL	19.0

Technical Review Committee Member: *Brian Markelbaay*
 Signature

Brian Markelbaay
 Printed Name
7/15/10
 Date

Longlist Evaluation Criteria

Project Description: FPIID 195338-2, Contract No. E1141, US 41 Roadside Animal Detection System (RADS)

Team C

Contractor: Traffic Control Devices Inc.

Designer: Tindale-Oliver \Florida Bridge & Transportation

Rate each firm in the following areas based on the scale: Excellent (5), Very Good (4), Good (3), Fair (2), Poor (1).

Criteria	Rating
1. Strength of Contractor/Designer working individually on similar type projects (Consider claim history, grades, resources, timeliness of completion, environmental compliance, etc.)	3.5
2. Joint experience of both the Contractor and Designer working together as a team or with other Design Build Teams. (Consider Overall Design Build team strengths, composition, effectiveness, and experience).	3
3. Organizational Structure and staffing (personnel capability/availability/experience of key personnel).	3
4. Current workload (Consider their list of active contracts and their projected completion date).	3.5
5. Approach and understanding of project requirements (Clearly demonstrated knowledge of the project's critical issues).	4
TOTAL	17.0

Technical Review Committee Member: *Brian Markelbaan*
 Signature

Brian Markelbaan
 Printed Name

7/15/10
 Date

Longlist Evaluation Criteria

Project Description: FPID 195338-2, Contract No. E1141, US 41 Roadside Animal Detection System (RADS)

Team D

Contractor: Transcore ITS

Designer: BCC Engineering

Rate each firm in the following areas based on the scale: Excellent (5), Very Good (4), Good (3), Fair (2), Poor (1).

Criteria	Rating
1. Strength of Contractor/Designer working individually on similar type projects (Consider claim history, grades, resources, timeliness of completion, environmental compliance, etc.)	3.5
2. Joint experience of both the Contractor and Designer working together as a team or with other Design Build Teams. (Consider Overall Design Build team strengths, composition, effectiveness, and experience).	3.5
3. Organizational Structure and staffing (personnel capability/availability/experience of key personnel).	3
4. Current workload (Consider their list of active contracts and their projected completion date).	3
5. Approach and understanding of project requirements (Clearly demonstrated knowledge of the project's critical issues).	3
TOTAL	16.0

Technical Review Committee Member:

Brian Markelbaan
Signature

Brian Markelbaan
Printed Name

7/15/10
Date

Longlist Evaluation Criteria

Project Description: FPID 195338-2, Contract No. E1141, US 41 Roadside Animal Detection System (RADs)

Team E

Contractor: World Fiber Technologies Inc.

Designer: F.R. Aleman & Assoc./BCC Engineering Inc.

Rate each firm in the following areas based on the scale: Excellent (5), Very Good (4), Good (3), Fair (2), Poor (1).

#	Criteria	Rating
1.	Strength of Contractor/Designer working individually on similar type projects (Consider claim history, grades, resources, timeliness of completion, environmental compliance, etc.)	2.5
2.	Joint experience of both the Contractor and Designer working together as a team or with other Design Build Teams. (Consider Overall Design Build team strengths, composition, effectiveness, and experience).	3
3.	Organizational Structure and staffing (personnel capability/availability/experience of key personnel).	3
4.	Current workload (Consider their list of active contracts and their projected completion date).	3.5
5.	Approach and understanding of project requirements (Clearly demonstrated knowledge of the project's critical issues).	2.5
TOTAL		14.5

Technical Review Committee Member:

Brian Markelpear
Signature

Brian Markelpear
Printed Name

7/13/10
Date

Longlist Evaluation Criteria

Project Description: FPID 195338-2, Contract No. E1141, US 41 Roadside Animal Detection System (RADS)

Team A


Contractor: Highway Safety Devices

Designer: Yannus/Gannett Fleming Inc.

Rate each firm in the following areas based on the scale: Excellent (5), Very Good (4), Good (3), Fair (2), Poor (1).

Criteria	Rating
1. Strength of Contractor/Designer working individually on similar type projects (Consider claim history, grades, resources, timeliness of completion, environmental compliance, etc.)	3
2. Joint experience of both the Contractor and Designer working together as a team or with other Design Build Teams. (Consider Overall Design Build team strengths, composition, effectiveness, and experience).	3
3. Organizational Structure and staffing (personnel capability/availability/experience of key personnel).	3
4. Current workload (Consider their list of active contracts and their projected completion date).	4
5. <u>Approach and understanding of project requirements (Clearly demonstrated knowledge of the project's critical issues).</u>	3
TOTAL	16

Technical Review Committee Member:


Signature

STEVE NAPPI
Printed Name

7/15/2010
Date

Longlist Evaluation Criteria

Project Description: FPID 195338-2, Contract No. E1141, US 41 Roadside Animal Detection System (RADS)

Team B


Contractor: Infasource LLC

Designer: Metric Engineering Inc.

Rate each firm in the following areas based on the scale: Excellent (5), Very Good (4), Good (3), Fair (2), Poor (1).

Criteria	Rating
1. Strength of Contractor/Designer working individually on similar type projects (Consider claim history, grades, resources, timeliness of completion, environmental compliance, etc.)	4
2. Joint experience of both the Contractor and Designer working together as a team or with other Design Build Teams. (Consider Overall Design Build team strengths, composition, effectiveness, and experience).	5
3. Organizational Structure and staffing (personnel capability/availability/experience of key personnel).	4
4. Current workload (Consider their list of active contracts and their projected completion date).	4
5. Approach and understanding of project requirements (Clearly demonstrated knowledge of the project's critical issues).	4
TOTAL	21

Technical Review Committee Member:


Signature

Steve Napp,
Printed Name

7/15/2010
Date

Longlist Evaluation Criteria

Project Description: FPID 195338-2, Contract No. E1141, US 41 Roadside Animal Detection System (RADDS)

Team C


Contractor: Traffic Control Devices Inc.

Designer: Tindale-Oliver Florida Bridge & Transportation

Rate each firm in the following areas based on the scale: Excellent (5), Very Good (4), Good (3), Fair (2), Poor (1).

	Criteria	Rating
1.	Strength of Contractor/Designer working individually on similar type projects (Consider claim history, grades, resources, timeliness of completion, environmental compliance, etc.)	4
2.	Joint experience of both the Contractor and Designer working together as a team or with other Design Build Teams. (Consider Overall Design Build team strengths, composition, effectiveness, and experience).	3
3.	Organizational Structure and staffing (personnel capability/availability/experience of key personnel).	4
4.	Current workload (Consider their list of active contracts and their projected completion date).	4
5.	Approach and understanding of project requirements (Clearly demonstrated knowledge of the project's critical issues).	5
TOTAL		20

Technical Review Committee Member:


 Signature

STEVE WAPP
 Printed Name

7/15/2010
 Date

Longlist Evaluation Criteria

Project Description: FPID 195338-2, Contract No. E1141, US 41 Roadside Animal Detection System (RADS)


Team D

Contractor: Transcore ITS.

Designer: BCC Engineering

Rate each firm in the following areas based on the scale: Excellent (5), Very Good (4), Good (3), Fair (2), Poor (1).

Criteria	Rating
1. Strength of Contractor/Designer working individually on similar type projects (Consider claim history, grades, resources, timeliness of completion, environmental compliance, etc.)	3
2. Joint experience of both the Contractor and Designer working together as a team or with other Design Build Teams. (Consider Overall Design Build team strengths, composition, effectiveness, and experience).	3.5
3. Organizational Structure and staffing (personnel capability/availability/experience of key personnel).	4
4. Current workload (Consider their list of active contracts and their projected completion date).	3
5. Approach and understanding of project requirements (Clearly demonstrated knowledge of the project's critical issues).	3
TOTAL	16.5

Technical Review Committee Member: _____
 Signature 

Printed Name STBKE ALP

Date 7/15/2010

Longlist Evaluation Criteria

Project Description: FPID 195338-2, Contract No. E1141, US 41 Roadside Animal Detection System (RADS)

Team E

Contractor: World Fiber Technologies Inc.

Designer: F.R. Aleman & Assoc.\BCC Engineering Inc.

Rate each firm in the following areas based on the scale: Excellent (5), Very Good (4), Good (3), Fair (2), Poor (1).

Criteria	Rating
1. Strength of Contractor/Designer working individually on similar type projects (Consider claim history, grades, resources, timeliness of completion, environmental compliance, etc.)	2
2. Joint experience of both the Contractor and Designer working together as a team or with other Design Build Teams. (Consider Overall Design Build team strengths, composition, effectiveness, and experience).	3
3. Organizational Structure and staffing (personnel capability/availability/experience of key personnel).	3
4. Current workload (Consider their list of active contracts and their projected completion date).	4
5. Approach and understanding of project requirements (Clearly demonstrated knowledge of the project's critical issues).	2
TOTAL	14

Technical Review Committee Member:


 Signature

STEVE WARR
 Printed Name

7/15/2010
 Date

Longlist Evaluation Criteria

Project Description: FPID 195338-2, Contract No. E1141, US 41 Roadside Animal Detection System (RADS)

Team A

Contractor: Highway Safety Devices

Designer: Vanus/Gannett Fleming Inc.

Rate each firm in the following areas based on the scale: Excellent (5), Very Good (4), Good (3), Fair (2), Poor (1).

	Criteria	Rating
1.	Strength of Contractor/Designer working individually on similar type projects (Consider claim history, grades, resources, timeliness of completion, environmental compliance, etc.)	4
2.	Joint experience of both the Contractor and Designer working together as a team or with other Design Build Teams. (Consider Overall Design Build team strengths, composition, effectiveness, and experience).	4
3.	Organizational Structure and staffing (personnel capability/availability/experience of key personnel).	3
4.	Current workload (Consider their list of active contracts and their projected completion date).	3
5.	Approach and understanding of project requirements (Clearly demonstrated knowledge of the project's critical issues).	4
TOTAL		18

Technical Review Committee Member: _____


Signature

CHRIS PIAZZA
Printed Name

7/19/10
Date

Longlist Evaluation Criteria

Project Description: FPID 195338-2, Contract No. E1141, US 41 Roadside Animal Detection System (RADS)

Team B


Contractor: Infrasource LLC

Designer: Metric Engineering Inc.

Rate each firm in the following areas based on the scale: Excellent (5), Very Good (4), Good (3), Fair (2), Poor (1).

	Criteria	Rating
1.	Strength of Contractor/Designer working individually on similar type projects (Consider claim history, grades, resources, timeliness of completion, environmental compliance, etc.)	4
2.	Joint experience of both the Contractor and Designer working together as a team or with other Design Build Teams. (Consider Overall Design Build team strengths, composition, effectiveness, and experience).	4
3.	Organizational Structure and staffing (personnel capability/availability/experience of key personnel).	4
4.	Current workload (Consider their list of active contracts and their projected completion date).	3
5.	Approach and understanding of project requirements (Clearly demonstrated knowledge of the project's critical issues).	5
TOTAL		20

Technical Review Committee Member: _____



Signature

CHRIS PIAZZA

Printed Name

7/19/10

Date

Longlist Evaluation Criteria

Project Description: FPID 195338-2, Contract No. E1141, US 41 Roadside Animal Detection System (RADS)

Team C


Contractor: Traffic Control Devices Inc.

Designer: Tindale-Oliver \Florida Bridge & Transportation

Rate each firm in the following areas based on the scale: Excellent (5), Very Good (4), Good (3), Fair (2), Poor (1).

	Criteria	Rating
1.	Strength of Contractor/Designer working individually on similar type projects (Consider claim history, grades, resources, timeliness of completion, environmental compliance, etc.)	4
2.	Joint experience of both the Contractor and Designer working together as a team or with other Design Build Teams. (Consider Overall Design Build team strengths, composition, effectiveness, and experience).	4
3.	Organizational Structure and staffing (personnel capability/availability/experience of key personnel).	3
4.	Current workload (Consider their list of active contracts and their projected completion date).	3
5.	Approach and understanding of project requirements (Clearly demonstrated knowledge of the project's critical issues).	5
TOTAL		19

Technical Review Committee Member: _____



Signature

CHRIS PIAZZA

Printed Name

7/19/10

Date

Longlist Evaluation Criteria

Project Description: FPID 195338-2, Contract No. E1141, US 41 Roadside Animal Detection System (RADS)

Team D


Contractor: Transcore ITS

Designer: BCC Engineering

Rate each firm in the following areas based on the scale: Excellent (5), Very Good (4), Good (3), Fair (2), Poor (1).

	Criteria	Rating
1.	Strength of Contractor/Designer working individually on similar type projects (Consider claim history, grades, resources, timeliness of completion, environmental compliance, etc.)	4
2.	Joint experience of both the Contractor and Designer working together as a team or with other Design Build Teams. (Consider Overall Design Build team strengths, composition, effectiveness, and experience).	4
3.	Organizational Structure and staffing (personnel capability/availability/experience of key personnel).	3
4.	Current workload (Consider their list of active contracts and their projected completion date).	3
5.	Approach and understanding of project requirements (Clearly demonstrated knowledge of the project's critical issues).	3
TOTAL		17

Technical Review Committee Member: _____



Signature

CHRIS PIAZZA

Printed Name

7/19/10

Date

Longlist Evaluation Criteria

Project Description: FPID 195338-2, Contract No. E1141, US 41 Roadside Animal Detection System (RADS)

Team E


Contractor: World Fiber Technologies Inc.

Designer: F.R. Aleman & Assoc.\BCC Engineering Inc.

Rate each firm in the following areas based on the scale: Excellent (5), Very Good (4), Good (3), Fair (2), Poor (1).

	Criteria	Rating
1.	Strength of Contractor/Designer working individually on similar type projects (Consider claim history, grades, resources, timeliness of completion, environmental compliance, etc.)	4
2.	Joint experience of both the Contractor and Designer working together as a team or with other Design Build Teams. (Consider Overall Design Build team strengths, composition, effectiveness, and experience).	4
3.	Organizational Structure and staffing (personnel capability/availability/experience of key personnel).	3
4.	Current workload (Consider their list of active contracts and their projected completion date).	3
5.	Approach and understanding of project requirements (Clearly demonstrated knowledge of the project's critical issues).	2
TOTAL		16

Technical Review Committee Member: _____



Signature

CHRIS PIAZZA

Printed Name

7/19/10

Date