



Bid Questions and Answers Report

Date & Time:

9/29/2016 7:57:01 AM

District Address: District 2 Construction Office, located at 1109 South Marion Avenue, Lake City, FL 32025

District Phone: (386) 961-7532

Proposal: T2573

Project: 210711-2-52-01

Letting Date: 9/28/2016

Localtion: CENTRAL OFFICE

Description: SR 200 (A1A)

---

Question: 15314: The pay item quantity for 120-1, Regular Excavation, is 154,698 cy. This quantity is also shown on sht SQ-20. But, adding the sht SQ-20 individual breakdown quantities(per location) equals 145,698cy. Pls confirm which should be the more correct 120-1 bid quantity. Thank you.

Posted: 8/9/2016 8:51:48 AM

---

Answer: See Revision 2.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 9/6/2016 8:04:06 AM

---

Question: 15316: On TCP sht 471 the Ph II Ramp C Widening detail shows full width temp overbuild of the inside(left) shldr to have been placed in a previous phase. TCP shts 486 - 488 depicts a partial width (~4' wide, cross hatched) temp asphalt overbuild of this left shldr to be done in Ph 1A. Please confirm that the sht 471 detail is correct & that shts 486-488 should show this temp overbuild to also be full shldr width.

Posted: 8/9/2016 10:40:35 AM

---

Answer: The width of the overbuild/temp pavement limits will only be required for the lane width, similar to the plan sheets. Taper the asphalt to the existing ground outside the limits of the lane.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 8/10/2016 8:21:56 AM

---

Question: 15318: On TCP sht 471 the Ph III Ramp B Widening detail shows full width temp overbuild of the inside(right) shldr to have been placed in a previous phase. TCP shts 502-505 depict a partial width (~4' wide, cross hatched) temp asphalt overbuild of this right shldr to be done in Ph 1B. Please confirm that the sht 471 detail is correct & that shts 502-505 should show this temp overbuild to also be full shldr width.

Posted: 8/9/2016 10:45:42 AM

---

Answer: The width of the overbuild/temp pavement limits will only be required for the lane width, similar to the plan sheets. Taper the asphalt to the existing ground outside the limits of the lane.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 8/10/2016 8:23:32 AM

---

Question: 15336: Plan sheets SQ2 & SQ3 present that there's an initial set of Temp Low Profile Barrier wall in both Ph3 and in Ph4. Ph3 plan shts 526/527 & Ph4 plan shts 543/544 appear to show that 300' of the L/P wall is to be relocated from the Ph3 location to the Ph4 location. If so, then a new bid item may need to be added for Relocating 300' of Temp L/P Barrier Wall; and the bid item 102-71-13 quantity revised to 324'.

Posted: 8/11/2016 10:26:05 AM

---

Answer: See Revision 2.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 9/6/2016 8:04:25 AM

---

Question: 15355: There are 7ea. Special Detour bid items at 1 LS/ea. The Traffic Control plans usually include callouts, &/or notes, as to what related areas & items of Traffic Control work are to be included in each of the Special Detours. Many times the Phase #'s are to correspond with the Special Detour #'s. On this project the Phase #'s and the Special Detour #'s do not seem to directly correlate. The TC plans on this bid are not clear, or obvious, as to what TCP Phase (s) and/or specific areas & items of traffic control work, i.e. temporary pavement, temp signalization, temp detours(ref shts 467 & 468), are to be included in each of the 7ea. Special Detour bid items. Please clarify this by providing a matrix, or summary, that details what TCP plan sheets & phases are to be included in each of the 7ea. Special Detour bid items so that costs can be assigned correctly. Thank you.

Posted: 8/15/2016 11:22:15 AM

---

Answer: The location and TCP phase for each Special Detour is included in the Design Notes on sheet SQ-1.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 8/19/2016 4:08:50 PM

---

Question: 15356: Plan shts 52,73 & 74 call out that certain existing SR-200 Ty E & F curb is to be removed & replaced at the east &/or west ends of the project for TCP Phase purposes. Sht SQ32 Summary shows that the replacement Ty E curb at the east end of the project is to be included, and paid for, in bid item 520-1-7. However the replacement Ty E curb at the west end of the project (~480') does not appear to have been included on sht SQ29. Pls confirm that the replacement Ty E curb at the east end will be paid thru bid item 520-1-7. Also, sht SQ32 apparently includes a location(543.5') of Ty E Curb that is really Ty F curb which could be moved to, included in, the sht SQ35 Ty F Curb Summary.

Posted: 8/15/2016 11:56:13 AM

---

Answer: See Revision 2.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 9/6/2016 8:04:45 AM

Question:	15374: TCP sheets 520 & 521 show to include the construction of Temp Pavt on what appears to be the new outside paved shldr of Ramp C-1. There's no TCP Typical Section provided that shows this temp pavt. The Typ Section on Sht 471 only shows the Ph II new easterly construction of Ramp C; no temp pavt is shown to be placed at Ramp C. There's a TCP Typ Section on sht 471, however, for Ph III that shows the Ph III new construction of Ramp B, including the temp traffic flow location & shldr overbuild. Shts 537 & 538 seem to show Ramp C-1 traffic temp routed onto this temp pavt area (grayed) in order to construct the westerly portion of Ramp C-1. Please confirm that Temp Pavt is to be constructed full width on the 10' wide o/s shldr of new Ramp C1 at the end of Ph II in order to receive Ramp C1 temp traffic during Ph III. And that this temp overbuild will have to be removed(milled off) and repaved with Ty S/P Structure course to final slope prior to acceptance of Ramp C-1.	Posted:	8/17/2016 3:42:14 PM
Answer:	The width of the overbuild/temp pavement limits will only be required for the lane width, similar to the plan sheets. Taper the asphalt to the existing ground outside the limits of the lane. This temp overbuild will need to be removed and final layer of asphalt constructed to final slope.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	8/19/2016 4:11:50 PM
Question:	15387: Phase II TCP sht 521, Ramp C-1 sta. 966+00 right calls out "Temp Barrier Wall" to be placed. No TBW is Shown. Ty II Barricades are shown in this area. Request confirm that no TBW is to be placed in this Ramp C-1 location.	Posted:	8/19/2016 10:48:20 AM
Answer:	See Revision 2.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/6/2016 8:05:10 AM
Question:	15392: Will the Dept. provide existing pavement info (type/thickness of base, asphalt) for the I-95 ML & Shoulders in this area? Similar info was provided on sht SQ-20 for the SR-200 section which is very useful. Thank you.	Posted:	8/22/2016 10:15:15 AM
Answer:	This section of I-95 was last milled and resurfaced under FPID 428809-1 which was completed in July 2014. The Design Coring Information and the As-Built Construction Plans are available for this project. To request this information, please contact Sarah Sands @ sarah.sands@dot.state.fl.us or (386) 961-7854 and reference FPID 428809-1.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	8/25/2016 6:34:28 AM
Question:	15393: It appears that the C/L Fence that surrounds existing Ponds 3, 4 & 6 will have to be removed due to the expansion of these ponds under this contract. The const. of Pond 5 will cause the removal of a portion of the existing around the existing Cell tower. Please confirm that new Fencing will not be required around these expanded Ponds 3,4 & 6 or at Pond 5. Thank you.	Posted:	8/22/2016 10:32:10 AM

---

Answer: Fencing will not be required for these Ponds. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 8/25/2016 6:35:55 AM

---

Question: 15413: In the Utility Plans, on Sheet No. U-10, Sta 1025+50 L, and Sta 1027+05 L there is a note which reads "CONSTRUCT NEW SANITARY MANHOLE FRAME AND COVER". Please clarify whether we are to only replace the frame and cover or the entire manhole/frame & cover. Posted: 8/23/2016 8:03:07 AM

---

Answer: Replace the frame and cover only and install to finished grade. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 8/25/2016 6:37:01 AM

---

Question: 15424: Re sht SQ-1, Special Detour 5 - the Design Note states "I-95 NB - Ramp B, Ph II". The Phase II Ramp B shts 518-522 do not show, or have any callouts, for any special detour const. in the Ramp B area; there's no cross-hatched areas indicating any new temp detours. Also, contrary to the design note, Ramp B is seems to only be associated with I-95 SB; not I-95 NB. Is SD 5 intended to be the 2ea. x-hatched areas shown on Ph II sht 508 at each side of Ramp B1 just south of SR-200? Please advise the location of this Special Detour 5; or should the note be revised? Posted: 8/23/2016 3:32:34 PM

---

Answer: See Revision 2. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 9/6/2016 8:05:28 AM

---

Question: 15425: Re the const of Ramp A1: TCP shts 466(Ph 4 notes 5 & 6), 541 & 553 call to const the o/s of Ramp A-1 in Ph 4. Ramp traffic's to use the exist Ramp A pavt. Shts 466(Ph V, notes 5 & 6), 555 & 565 call to const the i/s of Ramp A-1 in Ph V. Using station offset distances, the const areas shown on Ph 5 shts 555 & 556 tie-into the EXISTING Ramp A pavt; i.e. not tying into the new Ph 4 Ramp A pavt. And, again, Ramp traffic continuing to use the existing Ramp A pavt. Neither of shts 553 & 565 seem to show the remvl/reconst of the existing Ramp A pavt area shown in the x-sect's. (It appears that the const. of Ramp D-1 is similar to Ramp A-1, but, the TCP for Ramp D-1 calls for the use of Temp pavt to handle ramp traffic.) Is the west 50% of Ramp A-1 to be const'd in Ph 4, and the east 50% in Ph 5? Or is all of the exist Ramp A pavt to be removed & reconst'd in Ph V to tie into the Ph IV o/s work? And, If so, will Temp Barr Wall or temp pavt also be needed? Posted: 8/23/2016 4:22:33 PM

---

Answer: See Revision 2. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 9/6/2016 8:06:22 AM

Question:	15501: The Phase II TCP plans depict pipe run S700 as being completely installed in this phase. Can this pipe run be installed in phases like the remainder of the crossings or is this run required to be 100% installed for drainage purposes prior to phase III?	Posted:	9/1/2016 11:19:22 AM
Answer:	An Alternative Traffic Control Plan can be submitted in accordance with FDOT Specification 102-4.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/9/2016 8:58:35 AM
Question:	15502: The 48" pipe run from S129 to S130 along Mentoria Road will require the removal and replacement of the Mc Donald's access road, existing storm drain and mitered end sections, JEA lift station driveway and a portion of the Sidney Roadway to include curb inlets and curb & gutter. These items are not called out in the plans to be removed/replaced. How will this work be compensated?	Posted:	9/1/2016 11:29:28 AM
Answer:	No widening or work is to be performed on Mentoria Road outside the intersection except for the placement of the 48" pipe run and drainage structures. Therefore, the area for constructing the 48" pipe and drainage structures along Mentoria Road is to be restored to its original condition per specifications 125-11, 125-14 and 430-12.3.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/8/2016 7:13:36 AM
Question:	15512: Plan sht SQ-1 design notes for the Special Detours do not appear to include Ramp A. Please confirm which Special Detour is to include the const of Ramp A. Special Detour 4?	Posted:	9/5/2016 10:44:06 AM
Answer:	See Revision 2.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/8/2016 7:12:20 AM
Question:	15513: TCP shts 520 & 521 show temp asphalt overbuild to be placed on the new Ramp C o/s shldr during Ph II. Pls confirm if this new paved shldr is to be restored during the end of the Phase III Ramp C inside construction.	Posted:	9/5/2016 11:40:14 AM
Answer:	Yes, the outside shoulder is to be restored after traffic is moved off the shoulder.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/8/2016 7:12:33 AM

Question:	15520: This portion of SR-200 has a history of pavement failures due to the high traffic loads/volume in relation to the existing subgrades, embankment and pavement system. We understand that recent pavt resurfacing did not correct this issue and that, presumably, the design of this project has included taking these existing conditions into account. How will the current existing warranty periods apply for any construction deficiencies that are directly attributable to existing subgrade deficiencies? Thank you.	Posted:	9/6/2016 7:55:39 AM
Answer:	The warranty provisions in the contract documents apply.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/9/2016 8:43:40 AM
Question:	15524: The Bid item 0102-62, Barrier Mntd Work Zone Sign pay quantity is 54ed. The "each" quantities per phase are provided on shts SQ-1 -> SQ3. It doesn't appear that the Phase durations have been applied against these "each" quantities to arrive at an "ED" quantity. Should the bid quantity be 17,120 ed?	Posted:	9/6/2016 10:10:30 AM
Answer:	See Revision #3.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/16/2016 8:36:00 AM
Question:	15525: Per TCP shts 502->505, temp overbuild of the I-95 SB inside shoulder is to be done in TCP phase 1B. Existing Guardrail is immediately adjacent to this i/s shoulder. Since the I-95 SB traffic is temp shifted to this inside shldr, i.e., closer & higher due to the temp overbuild, will this exist Guardrail be required to be temp raised using current Guardrail spec height & splice location req'ments? And then perm reset/replace this Guardrail to these same spec req'ments when this I-95 inside shldr is restored to the original condition under this bid? And also include the replacement of the Misc. Asphalt at this guardrail location?	Posted:	9/6/2016 10:18:50 AM
Answer:	The width of the overbuild/temp pavement limits will only be required for the lane width, similar to the plan sheets. Taper the asphalt to the existing ground outside the limits of the lane. Existing guardrail can remain.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/8/2016 7:12:54 AM
Question:	15532: Pond No. 1, 2 & 4 show pockets of organic material but have a zero quantity for subsoil removal. How will the removal & disposal of this material be compensated?	Posted:	9/7/2016 10:13:57 AM
Answer:	Subsoil Excavation is excavation, removal and disposal of any material that is unsuitable in its original position and that is excavated below the finished grading template. Ponds will not have excavation below the finished grade. Excavated material in ponds are included in Regular Excavation.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/8/2016 7:14:18 AM

Question:	15533: A portion of the proposed embankment encroaches on the limits of the abandon Seaboard Airline RR line. Will the line be required to be removed where embankment is to be placed over top of the rail?	Posted:	9/7/2016 10:20:58 AM
Answer:	No.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/9/2016 8:44:08 AM
Question:	15534: Project Notes on sheet 51 call out removal of an existing retaining wall at station 1021+00 RT. The plans do not depict this wall. Please provide some details of this wall. Length, height, etc.	Posted:	9/7/2016 10:24:57 AM
Answer:	This retaining wall was removed by others.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/12/2016 9:52:11 AM
Question:	15535: Project notes, sheet 51. Who is to take ownership of the removed business signs? Who is responsible for terminating power to the business sign located at 1021+00 RT?	Posted:	9/7/2016 10:29:56 AM
Answer:	The Contractor.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/12/2016 9:50:48 AM
Question:	15554: Plan sheet #585 showing the Temporary Concrete Strain Poles states to see Index 11725 for pole details. Is this meant to be 17725, since 11725 does not exist?	Posted:	9/9/2016 1:46:26 PM
Answer:	See Index 17725.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/12/2016 9:53:09 AM
Question:	15555: In the tabulation of quantities on sheet L-4 there is 1095LF of Bridge mount conduit indicated on sheet L-21. This also equals the total bid quantity for the entire project.  Our measurements and takeoff indicate on sheet L-21 there is approximately 500LF of 3/4" RGS Conduit (Bridge Mount).  Where is the other almost 600LF located at?	Posted:	9/9/2016 1:52:18 PM

---

Answer: See Revision #3. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 9/16/2016 8:36:12 AM

---

Question: 15586: In reference to question No. 15502. Please provide a typical section of Mentoria Road and As-Built drawings for the JEA lift station so we can properly bid the restoration work. There is also a water valve and sanitary manhole located at the intersection of Mentoria & Sidney that appear to conflict with the proposed storm pipe. Please advise how to proceed. Posted: 9/12/2016 5:18:52 PM

---

Answer: Mentoria Road is to be restored to its exiting condition, typical section not required. JEA lift stations As-Built drawings are not available. Water Valve and Sanitary manhole to be adjusted as necessary. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 9/15/2016 12:49:22 PM

---

Question: 15590: JEA Utility - Sheets U-25 and U-26 - As an example of a situation that is repeated in the work, these sheets have removal and replacement of a 12" WM as a wet cut in replacement. The outage required for this approach may not allow adequate time for flushing, disinfection of the new main, and connection to existing mains. A realistic time frame required for the outage may be 24 hours with no guarantees. Please provide locations of existing valves, duration of allowed outage, and whether an application of tapping sleeves and line stops that will eliminate any outage has been considered. Posted: 9/13/2016 4:41:20 PM

---

Answer: All of the existing valves are indicated on the plans and each outage will only be allowed up to 8 hours. Tapping sleeves and/or line stops will be considered on a case by case basis. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 9/15/2016 12:55:17 PM

---

Question: 15591: Regarding the roadway portions of the job, are the Regular Excavation & Embankment quantities calculated by using the top of the existing pavement to the bottom of the proposed pavt base? (This being the established approach). Or, are these quantities calculated by using the BOTTOM of the existing pavt base to the bottom of the proposed pavt base? Posted: 9/14/2016 9:32:21 AM

---

Answer: Regular Excavation and Embankment is calculated to the finish grading template which is defined as the slope lines, bottom of the proposed base and bottom of the existing base. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 9/15/2016 1:13:27 PM

---

Question: 15593: What firm has been designated as the CEI for this project? Posted: 9/14/2016 10:34:23 AM

Answer:	To Be Determined	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/15/2016 3:05:34 PM
Question:	15609: Refer to SQ-28. Pay item 0521-72-3, the first wall is from Sta 1005+22.48 to Sta 1005+89.32. Please provide typical section or show the wall in a cross section.	Posted:	9/15/2016 9:22:08 AM
Answer:	Please see Plan Sheet 54 for wall location and Index 410 for wall details.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/22/2016 9:18:30 AM
Question:	15610: Refer to SQ-28. Pay item 0521-72-3, the second wall is from Sta 1014+25.16 to Sta 1014+89.32. Please provide typical section or show the wall in a cross section.	Posted:	9/15/2016 9:22:52 AM
Answer:	Please see Plan Sheet 55 for wall location and Index 410 for wall details.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/22/2016 9:18:52 AM
Question:	15611: Refer to SQ-28. There are two walls for pay item 0521-72-5. Please provide details for the modified leveling pad and barrier wall footing for each section of wall.	Posted:	9/15/2016 9:23:10 AM
Answer:	Leveling Pad details are included for information purposes on as-built Plan Sheets 181-184. The existing concrete pad area to be removed is shown on Plan Sheet 175.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/21/2016 7:35:57 AM
Question:	15612: Refer to SQ-24. The second wall for pay item 400-0-11 is from Sta 1015+58 to Sta 1016+60. The bid quantity for this wall section is 67.9 CY. I calculated the quantity to be 32.64 CY when referencing the table in Index 6011 for scheme #1. The exposed height of the wall is assumed to be no higher than 4' as shown in roadway cross sections 1016+00 and 1016+50 on sheet 258.  Please verify the height and length of the wall and please revise the quantity if necessary.	Posted:	9/15/2016 9:30:45 AM
Answer:	The height and length shown for this wall is correct. Bid the quantity.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/21/2016 7:34:40 AM

Question:	15640: Are ponds able to be over excavated as long as the finish grade template remains unchanged?	Posted:	9/15/2016 12:36:00 PM
Answer:	Wet detention ponds can be excavated deeper than what is shown on the plans provided the requirements of SJRWMD for wet detention ponds and anoxic depth criteria are not violated.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/16/2016 6:59:18 AM
Question:	15664: Please confirm if we will need to include replacing the existing Rumble Strips as part of restoring the I-95 NB & SB inside paved shoulders after the removal of the temp asphalt overbuild in Special Detour #2. Also, at the Ramp A north tie-in to I-95 SB in Special Detour #7. Thank you.	Posted:	9/19/2016 7:55:49 AM
Answer:	Yes.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/22/2016 8:54:05 AM
Question:	15665: Please confirm if we will need to include costs in the 1 L/S Special Detour #2 for replacing any existing Pavt markings as part of restoring the I-95 NB & SB inside paved shoulders after the removal of the temp asphalt overbuild in Special Detour #2. Also, at the Ramp A north tie-in to I-95 SB in Special Detour #7. Or, will this work be paid under the existing bid items for Pavement Marking?	Posted:	9/19/2016 8:08:07 AM
Answer:	Final I-95 pavement markings are included in the Signing and Pavement Marking Pay Items.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/21/2016 7:32:47 AM
Question:	15668: As a follow up to Question 15591: Volume 1 Chapter 3 Table 3.5.1 of the PPM defines the control lines of Fill or Embankment as "from the original ground to the finished grading template" and Cut or Regular Excavation as "from original ground to the finished grading template". Will the department pay for quantities for bid items 120-1, 120-3, 120-4 and 120-6 based on the original ground per the PPM or the bottom of existing base per your previous answer to question 15591?	Posted:	9/19/2016 2:01:57 PM
Answer:	See Question 15591.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/22/2016 9:13:43 AM
Question:	15670: Could you give us the dates for the special events that are designated on sheet 466? Please include these dates though 2019 when the project will be complete.	Posted:	9/19/2016 4:04:31 PM

Answer:	No. The dates are set by local/sponsoring entities. FDOT Specification 8 -6.4 applies to all the special events listed.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/22/2016 11:51:56 AM
Question:	15680: Is the expansion joint sealant between the curb/gutter and mainline PCC included in the 126,013 LF for bid item 0350 5 Cleaning & Sealing Joint-Concrete Pavement or is that joint sealant incidental to the 95,275 SY of 10.5" PCCP?	Posted:	9/20/2016 10:07:26 AM
Answer:	Joint material between the curb and gutter and the concrete pavement is included in the curb and gutter pay item.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/22/2016 7:12:06 AM
Question:	15685: Typical Section 1 indicates the new construction to include 5" thick SP TL-C PG 76-22 PMA and the new shoulder pavement to include 1.5" thick SP TL-C PG 76-22 PMA. However, the 5" SP TL-C PG 76-22 PMA is not included in the summary calculations. Also, the 1.5" SP TL-C PG 76-22 PMA is included in the summary for the 334-1-13 pay item quantity. Will the Department provide the calculations for this typical section?	Posted:	9/20/2016 3:30:30 PM
Answer:	No. The quantities for the items in question are included within the summary sheets.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/22/2016 11:55:02 AM
Question:	15686: Sheet 18 (Typical Section No. 3) does not include a note referring back to sheet 16 Pavement Design Notes which indicates all sections but this one is to be compacted in Static Mode only. Is the Contractor to assume Typical Section No. 3 is to be compacted in the vibratory mode?	Posted:	9/20/2016 3:40:52 PM
Answer:	All compaction for any material shall be limited to the static mode only unless approved by the Engineer.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/22/2016 8:53:18 AM
Question:	15714: The Roadway plans show that there are Federal Funds on the T2573 SR200 project but it doesn't show Federal Funds on the Utilities plans, do we have to conform with "Buy American" for the JEA work?	Posted:	9/21/2016 4:37:15 PM
Answer:	Yes.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/22/2016 11:52:36 AM