



Bid Questions and Answers Report

Date & Time:

5/25/2016 8:03:55 AM

District Address: District 7 Construction Office, located at 11201 N McKinley, Tampa, FL 33612

District Phone: (813) 975-6285

Proposal: T7381

Project: 255893-3-52-01

Letting Date: 5/25/2016

Location: CENTRAL OFFICE

Description: SR 574 (MLK BLVD)

Question: 13985: Can the contract time be adjusted to at least 350 days. 200 days is not adequate time to construct this project. Posted: 4/13/2016 10:51:13 AM

Answer: Department has reviewed your request. 200 days is adequate contract days to perform the contract work fully, entirely, and in accordance with contract documents. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 5/4/2016 12:59:02 PM

Question: 14123: I have reviewed the plans and the 200 contract days established by the Department is not enough time to construct the project. Considering the phased construction and utility installation the project will need 400 days to complete. Please extend the contract accordingly. Posted: 4/18/2016 2:42:36 PM

Answer: Department has reviewed your request. 200 days is adequate contract days to perform the contract work fully, entirely, and in accordance with contract documents. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 5/4/2016 12:59:52 PM

Question: 14359: Request that the project duration be extended to 400cd minimum due to the extensive amount of underground work & the several TTC phasings required for the proper construction sequencing. Posted: 5/4/2016 10:35:49 AM

Answer: Department has reviewed your request. 200 days is adequate contract days to perform the contract work fully, entirely, and in accordance with contract documents. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 5/4/2016 12:58:31 PM

Question: 14360: For the Railroad Protection Insurance, we need to know the approximate number of trains per day? Posted: 5/4/2016 11:09:55 AM

Answer:	The information required for the Railroad Protection Insurance is the responsibility of the Contractor and not the department. Per the preliminary information provided by CSX the number of trains at this crossing it is approximately 10 trains per day and this number is subject to change. Contractor shall utilize Public Project Information For Construction and Improvement Projects That May Involve the Railroad at https://www.csx.com/index.cfm/library/files/about-us/property/public-project-manual/ from CSX.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED Posted: 5/20/2016 3:25:21 PM
Answer:	The information required for the Railroad Protection Insurance is the responsibility of the Contractor and not the department. Per the preliminary information provided by CSX the number of trains at this crossing it is 10 trains per day and this number is subject to change. Contractor shall contact the CSX for this information.	Status: ANSWER VOIDED Posted: 5/18/2016 10:22:39 PM
Question:	14379: Project note #2 states that Bldgs. w/in limits of construction are to be removed by others, unless otherwise noted. Pls confirm if the 1-story masonry bldg. on sht#25, sta. 1380+40 left is to be removed by others, or is to be removed under the FDOT T7381 contract.	Posted: 5/5/2016 1:16:48 PM
Answer:	If the building at Station 1380+40 is remaining at the start of construction. Pay Item 0000200 1 "Roadway Design Group - Generic Pay Item 1 (Removal of Exist Bldg)" will cover the cost of the building removal per specifications.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED Posted: 5/18/2016 10:10:49 PM
Question:	14380: Re project note #10, sht #19: Does the department have boring/coring data for the roadway w/in the T7381 project limits and/or for the "project to the west"? if so, can this data be provided for use in the costing of this project?	Posted: 5/5/2016 1:26:46 PM
Answer:	Department does not have any boring/coring data for the roadway w/in the T7381 project limits all other boring are shown in the plans on the cross sections.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED Posted: 5/20/2016 3:18:11 PM
Question:	14381: Project note number 10 on page 19 states that brick base should be anticipated and include the cost of removal in clearing and grubbing. Please provide limits of brick base that is to be included in clearing and grubbing or should the bidders assume the entire area of roadway has an existing brick base under the asphalt?	Posted: 5/5/2016 2:24:08 PM
Answer:	The bidder shall assume bricks underneath the existing roadway for the entire project length and in the vicinity of existing Pond 1.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED Posted: 5/18/2016 10:30:14 PM

Question: 14382: Note 4 in the Railroad General Notes states the contractor shall provide a dump truck, equipment and labor to dispose all roadway debris excavated by CSX of CSX contractor. Please provide direction on the quantity and type of material to be removed and the amount of time our equipment will be utilized with CSX. Posted: 5/5/2016 2:30:15 PM

Answer: Based on the information provided by the Department's Rail Office the CSX project is scheduled for late July early September to replace the Highway-Rail Grade Crossing Warning Devices. If delayed the requirements in note 4 will be minimal. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 5/20/2016 3:33:31 PM

Question: 14384: Please provide the schedule used by the Department to establish contract time for this project. Considering the short duration of the project it would be helpful to review how the Department arrived at 200 CDs. Posted: 5/5/2016 2:33:06 PM

Answer: The attached schedule is provided by the Department to show there is a possible way to construct the project in the given time. This is not a schedule of construction that the contractor has to construct by. The Contractor shall perform the contracted work fully, and in accordance with the Contract Documents within the Contract Time specified in the proposal. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 5/19/2016 3:59:19 PM

Document: 4983409: 255893-3 Schedule_CPM.pdf

Const. Schedule

Question: 14404: Will Pay Item 0000200 1 "Roadway Design Group - Generic Pay Item 1 (Removal of Exist Bldg)" be deleted? Posted: 5/6/2016 3:00:47 PM

Answer: If the building at Station 1380+40 is removed prior to the start of construction the pay item will be removed. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 5/18/2016 10:06:44 PM

Question: 14456: There are numerous side street connections shown in the MOT plans. Is temporary asphalt required for these connections or can millings or limerock be used for these connections? Posted: 5/11/2016 9:33:45 AM

Answer: Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 5/19/2016 6:30:27 PM

The temporary side street connection shown on the MOT plans shall be asphalt pavement and are paid under "special detour 1 pay item 102-2-1".

Answer: Millings or limerock can be used for the connections. Status: ANSWER VOIDED

Posted: 5/18/2016 10:03:44 PM

Question: 14546: Plan Sheet 107, Note 2 states 1000' maximum work zone length. Does this apply to paving final friction course? Posted: 5/16/2016 3:07:58 PM

Answer: No it does not apply to paving final friction course. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 5/19/2016 5:45:16 PM

Question: 14608: Is the Department going to supply a schedule for how they came up with 200 days. This project should be at least 365 days Posted: 5/19/2016 1:17:13 PM

Answer: The attached schedule is provided by the Department to show there is a possible way to construct the project in the given time. This is not a schedule of construction that the contractor has to construct by. The Contractor shall perform the contracted work fully, and in accordance with the Contract Documents within the Contract Time specified in the proposal. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 5/19/2016 6:12:12 PM

Document: 4984343: 255893-3-52-02 Duration 05-19-16.pdf

CPM Schedule