



Bid Questions and Answers Report

Date & Time:

6/20/2016 8:10:49 AM

District Address: District 7 Construction Office, located at 11201 N McKinley, Tampa, FL 33612

District Phone: (813) 975-6285

Proposal: T7383

Project: 405822-2-52-01

Letting Date: 6/20/2016

Location: CENTRAL OFFICE

Description: SR 55 (US 19)

Question: 14748: This project has a total of 960 days of utility relocation work during construction per the approved UWSs provided. Allowable contract time is 730 days per the advertisement. Please provide the Department's schedule analysis or documents supporting the Department's allocation of contract time for this project for bidders to review. Such documents should include information on planned concurrency for utility relocation work.

Posted: 5/31/2016 12:18:00 PM

Answer: Department has considered your request. The Contract plans and the utility work schedules were reviewed again during this assessment. The contract time was developed with concurrent contract and utility relocation work anticipated. The contractor shall determine the number of crews necessary to complete the contract work within allowable contract time and in coordination with utility owner relocations. The UWHCA contract work is a part of contract work in control of the contractor and while the UWS "back-out" schedules that indicate one way that the utility owner could schedule the work, the UWHCA work itself is a part of contractor's work schedule. Attached please find a CPM schedule used for contract time development.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 6/3/2016 8:54:29 AM

Document: 5029497: 405822-2-52-01 Final Duration US19.pdf

CPM Schedule

Question: 14910: In the previous response to the question relating to contract time, and specifically due to concerns with accounting for utility relocation work in the contract time calculation, the Department provided, as attachment, "a CPM schedule used for contract time development." This schedule does not reflect the utility relocation durations of the approved utility work schedules. It does not account for work in various phases by utility companies (Duke Energy Distribution is most notable) which will significantly impact and reduce the concurrency of utility work. Finally, the CPM schedule used for contract time development uses extremely high, but achievable, production rates for construction activities. When this fact is coupled with the errors in the CPM schedule and contract time as developed, the project is not constructible by simply adding additional resources, at any price. Please re-evaluate the previous question regarding contract time based upon the facts iterated herein.

Posted: 6/6/2016 10:01:13 PM

Answer:	The use of CPM scheduling software is one tool that the Department uses in the development of contract time. For the CPM working document schedule requested and provided, the times shown on the document do not necessarily mirror the finalized utility work schedules, however the utility work schedules (UWS) are accounted for in the contract duration. For example, specific to the Duke utility work in the working CPM there are titles in Phase I with activity ID titles of "Duke" and in Phase III "utility", both of which provide for durations necessary for the work to be performed by Duke although the working document CPM may not use the same wording. Other utility relocations and the UWHCA work schedules that are in control of the contractor are accounted for in the contract duration as well.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 6/8/2016 4:17:44 PM
Question:	15003: Several sheets of the Traffic Control Plan reference a "Temporary Steel Cover." No details for a "Temporary Steel Cover" is provided for in the plans or specifications for the project. Moreover, the FDOT's Design Standards do not reference a "Temporary Steel Cover." Please provide the intent for these appurtenances and an associated design for them so that they may be incorporated into our bid for the project.	Posted: 6/14/2016 2:07:40 PM
Answer:	The intent for the temporary steel covers is to protect the drainage system until the permanent drainage system is installed. These covers are not fully detailed in the plans and will require additional drawings and coordination prior to construction.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 6/16/2016 4:21:14 PM
Question:	15008: The "Clay Liner Note" number one (1) on Sheet 182 of the contract plans requires the clay liner material to be 0% organic however the "Prepared Soil Layed [sic] Note" number 1 of the same sheet requires the prepared soil (placed directly atop the clay liner) to be organic. The plans do not call for a synthetic liner to be placed atop the natural clay liner. As such, the prepared soil layer will most certainly mix with the clay liner material during its placement due to the equipment traffic necessary to place the prepared soil layer. Please confirm that this mixing of materials during construction has been contemplated in the design of these ponds.	Posted: 6/15/2016 9:44:29 AM
Answer:	The clay liner and prepared soil layer shall be constructed per the plans.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 6/16/2016 4:22:07 PM
Question:	15017: The plans indicate the drainage system outfall for Pone 3 runs parallel to W. Homossassa Trail for approximately 1,000 feet. This run of pipe will require a patch to be constructed along Homossassa Trail approximately 8 feet in width. Is it the Department's (or County's) intent to re-construct Homossassa Trail in the near future, as the required patch will not be aesthetically pleasing and the roadway is highly traveled by local business patrons. For the patching work, what OBG and Asphalt combination should be utilized?	Posted: 6/16/2016 12:11:32 PM
Answer:	We are the unaware of any future plans to reconstruct W. Homosassa Trail. The replacement and restoration of W. Homosassa Trail shall be per the contract requirements.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 6/17/2016 11:46:28 AM

