



Bid Questions and Answers Report

Date & Time: 1/27/2016 9:03:56 AM

District Address: District 2 Construction Office, located at 1109 South Marion Avenue, Lake City, FL 32025

District Phone: (386) 961-7532

Proposal: T2612

Project: 423608-2-52-01

Letting Date: 1/27/2016

Location: CENTRAL OFFICE

Description: SR 226

---

Question: 12798: Pay item #660-3-11 & #660-3-12 Call for Microwave vehicle detection. However the provided TSP in the bid documents. Calls for (Iteris) video detection. Can you please clarify if microwave or video devices are required? Posted: 1/6/2016 10:18:19 AM

---

Answer: Vehicle detection shall be a Video Detection System as called for in TSP T660 and meeting all requirements for a Video Detection System as described in FDOT Specification 660. See Revision 2. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED  
Posted: 1/19/2016 12:02:08 PM

---

Question: 12957: Relating to Pay Item 0521-8-3, can the environment be considered slightly aggressive or must it be classed moderately or extremely aggressive for bidding purposes? Posted: 1/19/2016 1:54:44 PM

---

Answer: For bidding purposes, the environment shall be considered moderately aggressive. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED  
Posted: 1/21/2016 9:02:03 AM

---

Question: 12960: Several borings indicate Stratum 3 (Plastic A-2-6) material exists within 48 inches of the bottom of the base. This is not acceptable per Index 505 and the Plans do not indicate Subsoil Excavation in these areas, nor is there a pay item for Subsoil Excavation in the Advertisement. Is it the Department's intent for this material to remain in place without over excavation as currently indicated in the plans? Posted: 1/19/2016 2:24:11 PM

---

Answer: Leave the material in place, per the plans. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED  
Posted: 1/22/2016 9:16:05 AM

Question:	12962: The plan sheets only indicate 96 feet of Gravity Wall from Station 164+25.00 to Station 165+21.00, however, the Plans indicate 142 feet of Traffic Railing Barrier with Junction Slab, from Station 164+25.00 to Station 165+65.10. Per the detail on Sheet 77 and Index 6120, this item must be placed atop wall. Is it the Department's intent to extend the Gravity Wall to allow for the use of the above referenced railing item, or will the Department provide an additional pay item for the added railing with an integral footer?	Posted:	1/19/2016 2:42:50 PM
Answer:	The gravity wall is not required to extend the total length of the Traffic Railing Barrier with Junction Slab. Per note 4 on sheet 77, gravity wall may be eliminated where drop-off from back of sidewalk elevation to proposed grade elevation is 1'-0" or less.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	1/21/2016 9:01:38 AM
Question:	12978: You have labeled bike messages and arrows as regular messages. Under the new specs preformed bike messages and arrows need to be under the pay items 711-14-160 and 711-14-170. Can you please correct this?	Posted:	1/20/2016 10:49:50 AM
Answer:	The bike messages and arrows shall be bid per the design standards and paid for under the pay item numbers as shown in the plans.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	1/22/2016 8:09:12 AM
Question:	12979: Does the Department maintain that all Utility relocation will occur concurrently? The UWS's included with the bid package indicate a total of 80.5 days of utility work during construction. It appears that most of this work cannot occur concurrently. If the work is not concurrent, and factoring in a 30 day cure period for Thermoplastic, the Department has only provided 59.5 days for construction, excluding any time for placement of Thermoplastic. This is not a reasonable period for the construction of the project.	Posted:	1/20/2016 11:02:32 AM
Answer:	Bid the contract documents with sufficient resources to complete the construction in accordance with these documents.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	1/21/2016 1:05:08 PM
Question:	12980: The driveway at STA 155+50 +/- is shown to be removed however no reconstruction detail is provided. What Optional Base Group does the Department intend for this item of work?	Posted:	1/20/2016 11:19:46 AM
Answer:	The optional base required for the referenced turnout construction shall match the optional base of the adjacent pavement widening.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	1/21/2016 9:02:29 AM

Question:	12981: A power service pole, located at approximately STA 150+90 appears to be in direct conflict with the proposed drainage in this location. The pole is not included in the UWS provided for GRU Electrical. Does the Department have a separate agreement with the land owner for the relocation of this pole?	Posted:	1/20/2016 11:26:24 AM
Answer:	The wood pole in question is included in the GRU Electric UWS as Wood Pole at Sta. 150+92, 43' Lt. and is to remain. Per the work schedule, GRU will provide support as needed during construction.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	1/21/2016 9:53:58 AM
Question:	12982: You show removal between sta 162+00 thru 177+00 but no pay item for it. Could you please clarify if there is going to be removal and if so can you add the pay item?	Posted:	1/20/2016 11:29:23 AM
Answer:	Remove the existing pavement markings as shown in the plans. Payment is included under pay item 102-1 (Maintenance of Traffic, LS).	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	1/21/2016 9:03:04 AM
Question:	12983: The plans do not provide for any temporary pedestrian access to the bus stop / shelter at STA 159+27LT +/- . Is it the Department's intent to terminate pedestrian access at this location until final construction is complete?	Posted:	1/20/2016 11:39:44 AM
Answer:	Temporary pedestrian access to all RTS Bus Stop locations is to be maintained as provided for in Note 3 on Sheet 66, Temporary Traffic Control Plan. Payment for providing temporary access is covered under Section 102-1 MOT Lump Sum.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	1/21/2016 9:54:24 AM