



Bid Questions and Answers Report

Date & Time:

4/27/2016 8:07:17 AM

District Address: District 1 Construction Office, located at 801 N Broadway, Bartow, FL 33830

District Phone: (863) 519-2222

Proposal: T1631

Project: 433272-1-52-01

Letting Date: 4/27/2016

Location: CENTRAL OFFICE

Description: CR 544

Question:	13874: This project has not included any pay item numbers for temporary signalization & maintenance (102-104) and/or temporary traffic detection & maintenance (102-107-1). Will these items be required and if so, how will they be paid for?	Posted:	4/6/2016 2:28:20 PM
Answer:	The Temporary Traffic Detection & Maintenance (102-107-1) was issued on 4/18/2016 as revision #1, addendum #1 and sent out to all prospective bidders.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/19/2016 6:51:27 AM
Question:	14006: Phases 1A, 1B, 2A and 2B(traffic control plan 1 - 4)require the contractor to use channelizing devices for the traffic pattern in each phase. Is the traffic pattern depicted on sheets 40 - 43 only allowed from 8:30 am to 4pm?	Posted:	4/14/2016 12:09:22 PM
Answer:	Yes, due to the lane closure restrictions. Note also that the traffic control officer can assist in maintaining traffic patterns. The contractor has the option to prepare his own TCP for the construction per Standard Specification 102.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/20/2016 1:16:55 PM
Question:	14007: Construction Phasing notes 6 on sheet nos. 40 - 43 are identical. Does the department expect the contractor to remove existing pavement markings that conflict with the traffic patterns identified on sheets 40 - 43 each day and restripe the road each day? If this is the case, there is an extremely small window of opportunity left to do the actual reconstruction work in any particular phase.	Posted:	4/14/2016 12:19:38 PM

Answer:	The traffic patterns are intended to be identified by channelizing devices only, not pavement markings, as the intersection needs to be returned to its original configuration at the end of each work period. The painted pavement marking note refers to the reconstructed portion of the intersection only. Replace the pavement markings before placing traffic on the reconstructed portion of the intersection. This is the same for each phase. The contractor has the option to prepare and have approved his own TCP based on his work schedule/times per Standard Specification 102.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED Posted: 4/20/2016 1:22:24 PM
Question:	14122: Per Addendum #1 pay item #102-107 Temp. Traffic Detection & Maintenance of intersection has been added. This does not cover the temporary signals & maintenance of intersection. Please clarify how temporary signalization & maintenance will be paid?	Posted: 4/18/2016 2:27:57 PM
Answer:	Temporary signals are not required. We have included Traffic Control Officer (520 Hrs.) for work periods when the existing signal is set to flashing. This is repeated for each phase of intersection reconstruction. The plans state that the existing signal is to remain operational, until the new signal is installed. The Department has added 102-107 to cover Maintenance of Intersection.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED Posted: 4/20/2016 1:23:57 PM
Question:	14188: Sheet T-8 of the signalization plans does not match the pay items for the mast arms on the bid form. Will this be revised to correctly reflect the correct mast arms desired for this project? Please advise.	Posted: 4/20/2016 10:22:45 AM
Answer:	No, this will not be revised. The pay items shown on T-1 and T-3 are correct and based on the arm lengths shown on sheet T-7. The BOE does not have a pay item for arm types, but rather for mast arm length and if it has a luminaire or not. Pole 1-1 has an arm length of 46' which corresponds to pay item number 649-31-308; Pole 1-2 has an arm length of 55' which corresponds to pay item number 649-31-308; Pole 1-3 has an arm length of 42' which corresponds to pay item number 649-31-302; Pole 1-4 has an arm length of 68' which corresponds to pay item number 649-31-309; Pole 1-3 was originally designed for a luminaire, but during design the new power lines were too close to the luminaire portion of the pole, so the luminaire was removed from the mast arm. This is why a 64-31-302 is used in lieu of a-307.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED Posted: 4/22/2016 6:42:11 AM
Question:	14189: For pay item 700-5-22 in the signalization plans, are they single sided or double sided? Are they rigidly mounted or free swinging? Are they to be mounted with a separate cantilever arm or to the mast arm pole? The details given in the plans are very conflicting with no clear direction as how to bid. Please advise.	Posted: 4/20/2016 10:34:55 AM

Answer: For clarification, the internally illuminated street name signs will be rigidly mounted on the arm and are single sided. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 4/20/2016 4:58:50 PM

Question: 14190: The signalization plans show pay item 700-5-22 for the illuminated street name signs but per the guide sign worksheet on sheet T-6, it appears the correct pay item should be 700-5-21. Please advise. Posted: 4/20/2016 10:36:02 AM

Answer: All prospective bidders shall prepare their bids based upon the Contract Plans. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 4/22/2016 6:43:54 AM

Question: 14191: Pay item 630-2-11 is incorrectly quantified in the signalization plans. The plan sheet T-3 lists total quantity by actual foot of conduit rather than as total conduit as incidental per foot of trench. This makes is very difficult to bid correctly per the total quantity listed. Will the plans or bid form be revised to correctly show conduit totals? Posted: 4/20/2016 11:08:22 AM

Answer: All prospective bidders shall prepare their bids based upon the Contract Plans. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 4/22/2016 6:44:23 AM