



Bid Questions and Answers Report

Date & Time:

9/30/2015 8:20:41 AM

District Address: District 7 Construction Office, located at 11201 N McKinley, Tampa, FL 33612

District Phone: (813) 975-6285

Proposal: T7373

Project: 431315-1-52-01

Letting Date: 9/30/2015

Location: CENTRAL OFFICE

Description: SR 52

Question:	11462: On plan sheet 7, Typical Section, the resurfacing mainline shows SP Structural Course (Traffic C) while the Widening Typical show SP Structural Course (Traffic C, PG 76-22). Which mix should we include in our bid or is it the intention of the engineer to use both of these mixes?	Posted:	9/1/2015 1:40:26 PM
Answer:	Revision No 1 will be uploaded by the department which will include the revised Plan Sheet 7. PG 76-22 was added to the structural course description call out under the RESURFACING (MAINLINE).	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/4/2015 5:27:25 PM
Question:	11597: Article 108-2 not found in bid documents. See items 0108-1 and 0108-2 and note on plan sheet 4 re Monitoring of existing structures.	Posted:	9/9/2015 1:08:50 PM
Answer:	An addendum to the Specifications package will be posted to provide this information.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/11/2015 2:59:24 PM
Question:	11714: Addendum #2 issued Supplemental Specification Number One - Section 108 which appears to have added a bid item for monitoring groundwater to be paid as a lump sum. Will FDOT be issuing another addendum to include this as a pay item, thereby changing the bid items?	Posted:	9/17/2015 4:32:17 PM
Answer:	Pay Item references in the Method of Payment section in a Specification do not imply that all Pay Items are used in the project. Pay items and quantities will not be affected and monitoring ground water is not applicable to this project.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/18/2015 11:04:18 AM
Question:	11732: Posted T7373Addendum002 refers to a 3-page supplemental specification package number 1 (one)not found on bid posting site. Please provide.	Posted:	9/18/2015 11:39:58 AM

Answer: Supplemental Spec Package 1 includes 3 pages which has uploaded to the posting site. Attached please find a copy of the posted Supp. Spec No.1.
For reference attached please find Section 108 from the July 2015 Specification.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 9/23/2015 3:56:09 PM

Document: 4351489: spec_ e book 2015_ section 108.pdf

Section 108- July 2015 Spec

Document: 4351497: 43131515201-SPECS-SUPP1.pdf

Supplemental Spec Package No.1

Answer: Supplemental Spec Package 1 includes 3 pages which has uploaded to the posting site. Attached please find a copy of the posted Supp. Spec No.1.

Status: ANSWER VOIDED
Posted: 9/21/2015 12:03:02 PM

Document: 4342765: 43131515201-SPECS-SUPP1.pdf

Supp. Spec Package No. 1

Question: 11755: On the sign portion the pay items call out for 2 multi post signs one on page S-16 and 1 on page S-27 however on those pages it says that they are single post Cantilevers. The sign Guide Sheet doesn't show any multi post signs either. If in deed there are some multi post signs we would need to know the column size and foundation elevation vs road elevation at that location.

Posted: 9/21/2015 10:52:47 AM

Answer: Sign 106 on plan sheet S-16 and Sign 107 on plan sheet S-27, are both single post signs per Index 11861 as indicated on the plan sheets. Multi-post column size and foundation elevation vs road elevation at these two locations is not required.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 9/24/2015 1:52:04 PM

Question: 11797: There is a 12SF sign (sign 112) on page S-24 that states to use index 11861. There is also a note that says there is a gas line nearby. This sign can be put on a 3.5" post or a 4" post per index 11860 instead of using a larger post and a larger foundation per index 11861. Can we use index 11860 in lieu of index 11861?

Posted: 9/22/2015 3:33:00 PM

Answer: Please use Index 11861 per plan.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 9/23/2015 3:59:11 PM

Question: 11798: There are many single post sign pay items that are incorrect. Incorrect pay items for the wrong SF. Sign assemblies that are less than 12 SF should be labeled 700-1-11. Sign assemblies that are 12-20 SF are 700-1-12. Sign assemblies that are 21-30 SF are 700-1-13.

In addition VARIES is not an appropriate size. The typical sponsor plaque for an adopt a highway sign is 18"x 48". Not VARIES x 30". The same goes for the Suncoast Parkway sign. VARIES x 30" is not typical. In the cluster that it is with an appropriate size would be 30" x 15".

Answer: Please note: Sign assemblies with combined sheeting area of all panels on post, less than and equal to 12 SF are paid under 700-1-11 as indicated in the plans.

Sign assemblies with area greater than 12 SF and less than or equal to 20 SF are paid under 700-1-12 as indicated in the plans.

Please refer to Section 2-3.2 "Contracts other than Lump Sum" of the July 2015 of Standard Specification for Road and Bridge Construction.

Question: 11799: All of the R4-7/OM4-1 signs have the OM4-1 being 36". These OM4-1(RED) should be OM1-1(YELLOW) and they should be 18" not 36". If these are 36" then they will be wider than the medians they will be going in. These will not meet the 2' distance requirement by index 17302.

Also the FTP18-06 signs should be 24"(used for 1-2 digit roads) not 42"(used for 4 digit roads).

Answer: Please refer to Section 2-3.2 "Contracts other than Lump Sum" of the July 2015 of Standard Specification for Road and Bridge Construction.