



Bid Questions and Answers Report

Date & Time: 3/25/2015 10:36:15 AM

District Address: District 6 Construction Office, located at 1000 NW 111 Ave, Miami, FL 33172

District Phone: (305) 640-7448

Proposal: T6393
Project: 249615-8-52-01
Letting Date: 3/25/2015
Location: CENTRAL OFFICE
Description: SR 997 (KROME AVE) (NW 177TH AVE)

Question: 9034: Plan sheet No. 44 of the drawings, Cross Section Note 2 states "The existing ground features in the cross sections from sta. 450+00 to sta. 472+00 area based in the anticipated roadway improvements constructed in previous segment (FPN 249615 7 52 01)". Based on our understanding, Project FPN 249615 7 52 01 will not advertise until March 28, 2015. This means that the improvements shown to be constructed by the FPN 249615 7 52 01 contractor will not be completed prior to FPN 249615 8 52 01 start date. Will the contractor be provided with revised cross sections?

Posted: 2/6/2015 12:03:14 PM

Answer: The existing ground on the cross-sections from Sta. 450+00 to 472+00 is shown incorrectly. Plans will be revised and new cross-sections will be included in the next Amendment.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 2/9/2015 9:41:40 AM

Question: 9035: Please clarify the intention of the note in the Cross Section plan sheets (No. 46-93) that states "All A-8 material (muck outside 2 (horiz.) : 1 (vert.) line from existing edge of pavement (shoulder where present) shall be removed in accordance with Index 505 prior to construction of new embankment". The note is contradicting the excavation limits shown in the cross sections.

Posted: 2/6/2015 12:14:15 PM

Answer: The note in the Cross Section plan sheets is correct. The Contractor is responsible for removing unsuitable (A-8) material from the existing shoulder line (or edge of pavement if there is no shoulder) at a 1:2 slope as shown in Index 500 of the Design Standards and cross sections.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 2/11/2015 2:54:50 PM

Question: 9036: The specifications for item number 0580-1-2 Landscape Complete - Large Plants 24961585201 in the Summary of Pay Items in sheet No. 3 is not included in the 2015 FDOT Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction or in the issued special provisions for the project. Will the contractors receive a special provision with the specifications for this item? Please provide clarification.

Posted: 2/6/2015 12:15:52 PM

Answer:	The specifications will be revised as part of the upcoming amendment to include Section 580 -Landscaping.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	2/10/2015 1:01:51 PM
Question:	9039: The quantities for the special detours appear to be based on tying into a 4 lane divided road at the beginning of the project. Since at this time there is only a two lane road, there does not appear to be a need for special detour # 1 and that the quantities for special detour # 2 will double in quantity. Please clarify if we are to bid the special detours that are depicted on the TCP's or actual existing conditions.	Posted:	2/9/2015 9:04:20 AM
Answer:	The special detours will be used as needed depending on the level of completion of the adjacent projects.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	2/10/2015 3:01:05 PM
Question:	9045: According to Sheet No. 98 Traffic Control Typical; Phase 1 directs the Contractor to install a 6-inch Yellow Solid Line, 6-inch Spacing (for Delineators), and another 6-inch Yellow Solid Line. This totals to 1-ft 6-inch. During our field visits we have noticed that the current configuration is only 23-ft (center of 6-inch White Edge line to the other Edge Line). This would only allow for 10-ft ¾-inch of lane for traffic to ride on. This does not conform with the FDOT Plans Preparation Manual, Volume 1. Please provide direction if the Department is aware of this current issue and should the Contractor adjust its proposal to include the construction of a temporary shoulder for the complete 71,329-lf of the project? Please provide us direction on how to proceed.	Posted:	2/9/2015 3:23:20 PM
Answer:	Installation of the delineators will required additional 2" space (the standard distance between the double solid yellow lines is 4"; the details for the delineators calls for 6"). This is a temporary application. Contractors shall bid in accordance with the Traffic Control Plans.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	2/10/2015 1:15:14 PM
Question:	9046: According to Sheet No. 98 Traffic Control Typical; Phase 1 directs the Contractor to install a 6-inch Yellow Solid Line, 6-inch Spacing (for Delineators), and another 6-inch Yellow Solid Line. It is our interpretation that there are also Raised Pavement Markers (RPM's). If so, please indicate the type of RPM that is required for this installation.	Posted:	2/9/2015 3:24:41 PM
Answer:	Bidirectional Yellow Raised Pavement Markers are required with temporary Double Yellow Solid Lines as shown on Sheets 98 and 99. Per Summary of Quantities on Sheets SQ-6 and SQ-7, Pay Item 102-78 - Reflective Payment Marking - includes only quantities for Phases II and III. Pay Item 102-78 quantities will be increased to include RPMs in Phase I.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	2/10/2015 3:38:24 PM

Question: 9058: In the Special Provisions on page 25, Section 8-13.1 Incentive - Disincentive A+B, the specification states the incentive shall not exceed \$70,000 but does not give a daily amount. The on sheet 28, 8-13.2 Incentive - Disincentive, the spec states that the maximum shall not exceed \$600,000 with a per calendar amount of \$20,000. Which is correct or is there 2 different incentive/disincentives?

Posted: 2/11/2015 5:12:35 PM

Answer: The Contract has two different incentive/disincentive provisions. One is Incentive-Disincentive for A+B bidding with daily value of \$7,000, as specified in Article 3-1 of the Special Provisions, and maximum incentive payment or disincentive deduction of \$70,000. Another one is Incentive-Disincentive with daily value of \$20,000 and maximum incentive payment or disincentive deduction of \$600,000.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 2/11/2015 5:27:23 PM

Question: 9078: Is it the departments intention to have the French drain rock depth extend over 7 feet into the Miami Limestone layer which in some places is 50 blows for 2" or 4"?

Posted: 2/13/2015 11:35:08 AM

Answer: The Contractor shall construct the French drain as shown on the plans.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 2/16/2015 1:01:01 PM

Question: 9089: Plan Sheet 44 has a "Cross Section Note" in the bottom left corner that states "New Embankment Material under the Proposed Roadway shall meet minimum LBR 126." This cannot be correct when Stabilization only requires a minimum of LBR 40. Can it?

Posted: 2/13/2015 2:39:41 PM

Answer: The new embankment material within 4-ft below proposed base shall have a minimum LBR 126, which corresponds to a Resilient Modulus of 15,500 psi, as determined by laboratory testing. The same material or other appropriate select (S) material, as defined by Index 505 of the Design Standards, can be used for 12-in of stabilized subgrade, provided that the required minimum bearing value of LBR 40 and other requirements (material, compaction, density, etc.) are met as per Section 160 of the Standard Specifications.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 2/19/2015 4:51:22 PM

Embankment material beyond 4-ft from the bottom of proposed base shall be select (S) material only, as defined by Index 505.

Question: 9090: Referencing specification section T-705: Based on the Technical Specification and Plan Sheet No. 98 of the "Traffic Control Typicals", which states "Install High Performance Delineators 36" High Flexible Post Mounted Delineators", the Pay Item should be changed to 0705 11 5 Delineator, Flexible High Performance 36" Managed Lanes. Does the Department concur and if so, please change the Pay Item number to 0705 11 5.

Posted: 2/13/2015 3:56:13 PM

Answer: Pay item for deliniators will be changed from 705-11-4 to 705-11-5 as part of Revision 1. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 2/13/2015 5:04:35 PM

Question: 9091: In the Summary of Pay Items (Sheet No. 3) it reads Item No. 0705-11-4 - Delineator, Flexible High Performance 48" but Section T-705 High Performance Surface Mounted Delineators is requiring 36". Please advise what is the correct height the Contractor is to use for the project. Posted: 2/13/2015 3:59:46 PM

Answer: The correct height of the delineators is 36". Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 2/13/2015 5:09:27 PM

Question: 9093: Section 650 Vehicular Traffic Signal Assemblies (Pages 42 - 48) does not pertain to this project. Will the contractor have to modify the intersections located at SW 8th St (Tamiami Rd) and/or US 27 (Okeechobee Rd)? Please advise. Posted: 2/13/2015 4:01:37 PM

Answer: SW 8 St and Okeechobee Rd are outside of the limits of construction, and no modification are proposed for these intersections. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 2/13/2015 5:13:32 PM

Question: 9094: Section 659 Mast Arm, Span Wire, and Pole Mounting Assemblies (Pages 48 - 53) does not pertain to this project. Will the contractor have to modify the intersections located at SW 8th St (Tamiami Rd) and/or US 27 (Okeechobee Rd)? Please advise. Posted: 2/13/2015 4:04:12 PM

Answer: SW 8 St and Okeechobee Rd are outside of the limits of construction, and no modification are proposed for these intersections. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 2/13/2015 5:18:05 PM

Question: 9095: Subarticle 102-6.2 Construction (Page 56) states "When the Plans call for the Department to furnish detour bridge components->" is the Contractor to expect such item under this contract? Please advise. Posted: 2/13/2015 4:05:51 PM

Answer: This project does not include any bridge construction. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 2/13/2015 5:20:22 PM

Question: 9096: Section 471 Fiber Reinforced Polymer Fender System (Pages 62 - 65) does not pertain to this project. Will the contractor have to modify the existing bridge north of the end of project limits? Please advise. Posted: 2/13/2015 4:06:59 PM

Answer:	This project does not include fender system or bridge construction.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 2/13/2015 5:25:42 PM
Question:	9106: In the summary of pay items you show 710-11-111 being at 1.050 NM while also showing 710-11-211 being at 28.840. The job is only 2.784 miles long. Shouldn't the 6"yellow be shorter?	Posted: 2/16/2015 10:48:18 AM
Answer:	This project includes installation of delineators from just north of 8 St to just south of Okeechobee Rd as part of the Traffic Control Plans, Phase I. While the project limits are from MP 8.151 to MP 10.935, the construction limits for installation of the delineators and double yellow center lane line are from north of SW 8 St to MP 14.032.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 2/16/2015 6:06:39 PM
Question:	9133: On Sheet No. 7 of Pay Item Notes, note 4 states "Pay Item 110-1-1 (Clearing and Grubbing) includes cost of top soil removal/excavation up to 2 feet below existing grades and backfill with suitable material". Does this note pertain to the areas where there is subsoil excavation?	Posted: 2/17/2015 9:27:39 AM
Answer:	This note does not pertain to the areas where there is subsoil excavation.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 2/17/2015 10:57:11 AM
Question:	9135: Amendment 1 and Addendum 1 revised plan sheet No. 7 Note No. 4 which reads: "Clearing and Grubbing includes cost of top soil removal/excavation up to 2 feet below existing grades and backfill with suitable material" Is this 2 feet of suitable backfill material paid under Embankment or Clearing and Grubbing? If paid under Clearing and Grubbing the cross sections volumes of Embankment need to be adjusted (plan sheet Nos. 45 thru 93). The Embankment volumes shown on these plan sheets and Addendum 1 Embankment quantity includes the total volume of A-8 material	Posted: 2/17/2015 11:03:26 AM
Answer:	Pay item for clearing and grubbing includes only top soil excavation and backfill outside of excavation limits shown on cross sections. As stated in note 4, suitable backfill material to replace top soil to be included in Pay Item 110-1-1, Clearing and Grubbing. Embankment volumes shown on cross-sections are correct.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 2/20/2015 9:44:54 AM
Question:	9150: I assume this project's location would be considered a "rural undeveloped area". Will the Department and/or Engineer allow the Contractor to place muck on the slopes and permanently store the excavated muck or other unsuitable materials along the roadway from 6 feet outside the graded template in conformance with Standard Specification Section 120-5.2?	Posted: 2/18/2015 4:31:31 PM

Answer:	Muck or other unsuitable materials shall not be placed or stored alongside the roadway or on the slopes. These materials shall be disposed of outside the right-of-way.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 2/20/2015 11:28:53 AM
Question:	9161: Addendum 1 included a revision (note 4) to pay item 110-1-1 (Clear and Grub) on re-issued plan sheet 7 which is now "to include the cost of topsoil soil removal/excavation up to 2 FT below existing grades and backfilling with suitable material". Since the cross sections depict subsoil excavation beginning at existing grades in almost all stations, has the "up to 2 FT of topsoil" to be removed and paid for in pay item 110-4 also been included in pay item 0120-4 (subsoil) quantity?	Posted: 2/19/2015 3:19:26 PM
Answer:	Subsoil excavation, regular excavation and fill will be measured from existing grades as shown in cross-sections. Topsoil removal and backfill up to 2 ft deep outside of excavation limits will be included in pay item 110-1-1, Clearing and Grubbing.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 2/19/2015 3:48:41 PM
Question:	9163: On Sheet No 44 it details the "New Embankment Material under the proposed roadway shall meet minimum LBR 126." Can the Department please define "The Proposed Roadway?" Is this a vertical cut from the Edge of Shoulder(s) or a Control Line from the Edge of Stabilization (refer to FDOT Index 505 "Embankment Utilization"; Sheet 1 of 4).	Posted: 2/19/2015 4:36:13 PM
Answer:	LBR 126 requirement is limited to area between 1:2 control lines from the outside edges of stabilization/shoulder points as shown for Divided Roadways on Sheet 1 of 4, Index 505 of the Design Standards.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 2/20/2015 12:39:09 PM
Question:	9164: Pursuant to our review of FDOT Index 505 "Embankment Utilization" Sheet 1 of 4; it illustrates a 1:2 Control Line from the Edge of Stabilization. It is our interpretation that this can be the limits for the LBR 126? Does the Department agree with this cut-off point? Please advise.	Posted: 2/19/2015 4:37:51 PM
Answer:	Yes, LBR 126 requirement is limited to area within 1:2 control lines from the outside shoulder points as shown for Divided Roadways on Sheet 1 of 4, Index 505 of the Design Standards.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 2/20/2015 12:35:15 PM

Question: 9166: On Sheets No. 101 & 102 "Traffic Control Plans" Detour 1 & 2, is the Department intending to construct these detours, and then leave in place as the final configuration? The intent of this question is to clarify the final configuration since the adjacent projects to the North and South have not been built yet. Also, it has come to our attention that when Detour 1 is constructed there will be approximately 1,000 LF of the existing roadway that cannot be re-constructed because the detour was constructed at both the Beginning and End Project stationing. Will the Department consider moving the detours further Down to accommodate the Construction of the Detour and Reconstruction of the New Northbound lanes? If not, will the Department consider having each adjoining contract re-construct these areas that couldn't be completed? Please advise.

Posted: 2/19/2015 5:14:31 PM

Answer: Detours 1 and 2 are to be used only if and when necessary, depending on the construction progress of the adjacent projects. These detours are temporary. The final roadway configuration shall match the roadway plans and full typical to be constructed to the project limits. The Contractor is responsible for removing detours within project limits.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 2/19/2015 6:22:37 PM

Question: 9167: Pursuant to reviewing the Roadway Soil Profiles we cannot determine the asphalt thickness. Can the Department provide said thicknesses? Please advise.

Posted: 2/19/2015 5:15:40 PM

Answer: Average asphalt thickness is 4 in.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 2/20/2015 5:27:04 PM

Question: 9168: In Sheet No. 97 of the Traffic Control Plan Notes, it says "The placement, maintenance/replacement and removal of the delineators during construction shall be included in the cost of Pay Item 705-11-4." Can I assume that the same "Estimated 5% Weekly Replacement Rate" from the last awarded Krome project will be used on this project?

Posted: 2/20/2015 7:12:40 AM

Answer: Pay item 705-11-5 quantities have been increased to include 17,667 EA delineators for initial installation and 20,678 EA for replacement. Changes have been included as part of Addendum 4.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 3/18/2015 11:56:56 AM

Answer:	<p>During Phase I of construction the Contractor will install delineators, Do-Not-Pass signs (every 2 miles), striping and markers within Construction Limits from MP 0.657 to MP 14.164. Projects Limits for this contract are from MP 8.151 to MP10.935. When all items of work are completed, the roadway outside of the Project Limits will be transferred to other Contractors and/or the Department. Upon acceptance of work outside of the Project Limits, other Contractors and the Department will assume maintenance of the roadway including delineators.</p> <p>The Contractor will maintain roadway and be responsible for replacement of delineators from MP 0.657 to MP 14.164 until such acceptance. The Contractor will be responsible for roadway maintenance and replacement of delineators from MP 8.151 to MP 10.935 for the contract duration. Price of each delineator shall include cost of one new installation and cost of one replacement. Therefore, price of 3011 EA delineators- pay item 705-11-5 - includes cost of installation of 3011 delineators and cost of replacement of the same number - 3011 EA - of delineators.</p>	Status: ANSWER VOIDED
		Posted: 2/20/2015 5:22:42 PM
Question:	9322: To ensure the proper bid items quantities are used, could you please post a current bid item list. On the advertisement the original bid item quantities, before the Addendum 1 changes, are listed.	Posted: 3/9/2015 11:24:47 AM
Answer:	<p>The updated pay item quantities, "Bid Items", are posted on the CAO Internet web site, under Important Letting Documents drop down menu for the letting: http://www.dot.state.fl.us/cc-admin/Lettings/2015/2015_letting4.shtml</p>	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 3/9/2015 5:08:47 PM
Question:	9409: We have received Addendum Number 04 with the revision to pay item quantity 0705-11-5. When this addendum is uploaded to Expedite Bid, it does not reflect the new quantity. Please clarify and advise how to move forward.	Posted: 3/18/2015 11:04:39 AM
Answer:	Pay item 0705-11-5 quantity was changed to 20,678 EA.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 3/18/2015 3:28:56 PM
Question:	9410: Please provide computation books for this project.	Posted: 3/18/2015 11:21:14 AM
Answer:	Computation books are no longer prepared for projects. Instead summary of quantities are included as part of the contract plans.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 3/18/2015 3:24:38 PM
Question:	9412: In Expedite, Pay Item 0705-11-5 was not updated with the new quantity as revised in Addendum 4. Please advise.	Posted: 3/18/2015 11:36:15 AM

Answer:	Pay item 0705-11-5 quantity was changed to 20,678 EA.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 3/18/2015 3:28:15 PM
Question:	9414: As today is the deadline for questions & we have not seen the Comp Book - Can you please clarify the Quantity of Delineators 0705-11-5. Addendum #4 description is in conflict with a previous Q&A response as to the replacements being included within the cost of the originally placed delineator.	Posted: 3/18/2015 2:24:28 PM
Answer:	There is no computation book for this project. The quantity for delineators (3,011 EA) are based on placement of delineators, as shown on the Traffic Control Typical (sheet 98) every 40 feet within the limits of construction and every 20 feet at the intersections with left turn lanes. The quantity for replacement/maintenance (17,667 EA) is based on 5% of the total (3,011) delineators per week (based on 820 construction days).	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 3/18/2015 4:00:13 PM
Question:	9415: Does the Quantity of Delineators include a "complete" delineator or in the 17,667 qty "For Maintenance and ..." Does a "Blade" Replacement constitute a "delineator maintenance"?	Posted: 3/18/2015 2:27:11 PM
Answer:	The quantity of delineators for maintenance include a complete replacement; however, a blade replacement also constitute one delineator, if the remaining parts meet specifications.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 3/18/2015 4:36:06 PM
Question:	9416: Pay Item 0705-11-5 was revised from 3011EA to 20678EA. Has the estimate been revised accordingly? If so, please identify the new estimate value.	Posted: 3/18/2015 2:28:14 PM
Answer:	Yes, the estimate has been revised accordingly. The updated, official estimate value is confidential.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 3/18/2015 3:58:26 PM
Question:	9417: We need to verify our contact information as we were NOT notified of Addendum #4 being issued from the department.	Posted: 3/18/2015 2:29:06 PM
Answer:	Addendum No. 4 notification has been sent to Plan/Spec/Proposal holders on March 17, 2015. The contractors who order documents after the addendum notification had been sent would not receive the emails for the previously sent notifications. However, the addenda as well as plans and specs (if applicable) are available through the CPP Online Ordering system, under Order History.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 3/18/2015 4:37:37 PM

Question: 9429: On Addendum #4 plan sheet 44 changed cross sections changing quantities to subsoil excavation, regular excavation and embankment. None of these quantities were updated in the summary of quantities or the EBS file. Will there be another revision? Posted: 3/18/2015 5:17:07 PM

Answer: The quantities changed on Sheet 44 as part of Addendum 4 were reflected in Addendum 1 quantities and estimates. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 3/19/2015 9:37:57 AM

Question: 9430: Would the Department allow the installation of an additional row of silt fence at the Right-of-Way (ROW) line? This would be in addition to the silt fence located at the Limits of Construction. This would allow the Contractor to temporarily utilize the area between the ROW and the Limits of Construction as an Access Road, Staging area, and grade the proposed slopes? Posted: 3/19/2015 10:06:02 AM

Answer: The Department will not allow the installation of an additional row of silt fence at the Right-of-Way (ROW) line. The Contractor shall not disturb any areas beyond the Limits of Construction. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 3/20/2015 2:56:19 PM

Question: 9431: Since the project is located in an Environmentally Sensitive Area, would the Department allow the Contractor to setup a mining operation (borrowing on-site material) within the project Right-of-Way limits? This would allow the Contractor to provide the project with the necessary material, as well as a disposal area for existing muck found on the project limits. Posted: 3/19/2015 10:10:03 AM

Answer: The Department will not allow the Contractor to setup a mining operation (borrowing on-site material) within the project Right-of-Way limits. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 3/20/2015 3:21:07 PM

Question: 9432: Would the Department allow the Contractor to setup a mining operation (borrowing on-site material) in the area within the construction limits? This would allow the Contractor to provide the project with the necessary material and removal of any existing muck material found on the project limits. Posted: 3/19/2015 10:11:25 AM

Answer: The Department will not allow the Contractor to setup a mining operation (borrowing on-site material) within the project Right-of-Way limits. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 3/20/2015 3:21:59 PM

Question: 9433: Addendum # 4 revised the plan sheet to include a note that states "Silt fence shall be placed at Limits of Construction (Please see the permits for limits of construction → there shall be no encroachment beyond the limits of construction→)". Please clarify the Department's intention of how the construction and harmonization of proposed slopes with existing adjacent surfaces shall be performed.

Posted: 3/19/2015 10:13:24 AM

Answer: The Contractor shall not disturb areas outside the limits of construction as shown on the Typical Section. The Contractor is to determine the means and methods for constructing the slopes within the limits of constructions that are adjacent to wetlands.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 3/20/2015 2:48:04 PM

Question: 9434: Based on information provided by the manufacturers of the delineators the "Yellow Reflective Sheeting Type V" is a non-typical reflective sheeting. Therefore, this material would have to be specifically produced for the project and would require a significant production lead period. Could a typical "White Reflective Sheeting Class V" be utilized instead of the yellow?

Posted: 3/19/2015 10:14:36 AM

Answer: The Contractor shall provide Yellow Reflective Sheeting Type V as specified in the Technical Special Provisions.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 3/20/2015 3:14:09 PM

Question: 9443: We are aware the bid quantity has changed from "3011EA" to "20678EA" in Addendum #4. What we are having an issue with, is the new quantity is not updated in your Expedite Bid System. Therefore, FDOT's Expedite Bid System will not allow us to submit our proposal based on the revised quantity.

Posted: 3/19/2015 2:59:06 PM

Answer: The District inadvertently had left the quantity change for this pay item out on Addendum No. 4; therefore, Addendum No. 5 has been issued to correct this oversight.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 3/19/2015 4:28:27 PM