



Bid Questions and Answers Report

Date & Time: 3/25/2015 10:32:48 AM

District Address: District 5 Construction Office, located at 719 South Woodland Blvd, Deland, FL 32720

District Phone: (386) 943-5350

Proposal: T5521

Project: 239266-3-52-01

Letting Date: 3/25/2015

Location: CENTRAL OFFICE

Description: SR 15 (HOFNER RD)

---

Question: 9266: On Pay Item Notes sheet SQ-1 of Plan # 239266-3-52-01 has two items that are not in agreement: Item 110-1-1 and item 110-4. Do we include the Removal of Concrete curb, Sidewalk, etc on item 110-1-1 or on 110-4? Posted: 3/3/2015 10:04:35 AM

---

Answer: Bid Question Answer #9266: Please include removal of concrete curb, sidewalk, etc. in Pay Item 110-4. The pay item notes in questions have been revised in the latest plan addendum. Please see the latest revisions for clarification. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED  
Posted: 3/20/2015 9:42:39 AM

---

Question: 9271: Can the Department provide the location of the Optional Base Group 01? Posted: 3/3/2015 3:50:47 PM

---

Answer: Bid Question Response #9271: Optional Base Group 01 is located at the asphalt driveways. Asphalt driveways are identified with radial returns in the plans and are listed in the Optional Base Group 1 Summary of Quantities on plan sheet SQ-16. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED  
Posted: 3/12/2015 10:29:38 AM

---

Question: 9293: Does the quantity of 3,816 CY for Concrete Class IV, Culverts and 712,749 LBS of Reinforcing Steel Misc. include the Box Culvert for project 239266-4-52-01 shown on plan sheet 36? Posted: 3/4/2015 1:34:12 PM

---

Answer: Bid Question Response #9293: Yes, the quantity of 3,816 CY for Concrete Class IV, Culverts and 712,749 LBS of Reinforcing Steel Misc. includes the box culvert for project 239266-4-52-01 shown on plan sheet 36. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED  
Posted: 3/20/2015 3:58:45 PM

---

Question: 9314: In the Traffic Control Plans, Phase I, cross sections shown on Plan Sheet 456, between stations 2538+00 and 2540+00, it shows a traffic island with tubes on top of it between the turn lane and thru lane. The plan view does not show this treatment. Is this island supposed to be included in Special Detour #2? Posted: 3/6/2015 5:56:40 PM

Answer:	Bid Question Response #9314: An addendum has been issued for clarification. The traffic island and tubular markers shown between stations 2538+00 and 2540+00 have been removed. The plan view referenced in the question is the intent of the design. Please see the latest addendum.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED Posted: 3/20/2015 9:48:04 AM
Question:	9329: Regarding Pay Item Notes 102-2-1, 102-2-2, and 102-2-3 on Sheet SQ-1 of FIN # 266239-3-52-01 and Pay Item Notes #s 102-2-1, and 102-2-2 on sheet SQ-1 of FIN # 266239-4-52-01. Our take-off shown large discrepancy from these pay item Notes. Please clarify.	Posted: 3/9/2015 3:06:29 PM
Answer:	Bid Question Response #9329: Bid should be based on the quantities shown in the contract documents.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED Posted: 3/20/2015 9:48:58 AM
Question:	9342: On FIN # 239266-4-52-01 on Sheet SQ-1 there are two Pay Item Notes that are maybe in conflict. Pay Item Note 110-3 "includes removal of Gravity Wall and Wall reinforcement detailed on sheet 105" and Pay Item Note 524-2-49 includes "saw cutting of the existing wall, removal of existing wall, disposal of materials which are removed". Looks like both are the same. Please clarify.	Posted: 3/10/2015 5:00:48 PM
Answer:	Bid Question Response #9342: An addendum has been issued to clarify the intent of the design. Pay Item Note 110-3 has been revised in the plans to remove all references to the wall on sheet 105. Please quantify all saw cutting, removal, and disposal of materials of the wall detailed on sheet 105 under pay item no. 524-2-49. Please see the latest plan addendum.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED Posted: 3/20/2015 9:50:59 AM
Question:	9350: Sidewalk Removal. On FIN # 239266-3-52-01 on cross sections Stations 2412+50, 2413+00, 2413+50, 2418+00, 2418+50, 2419+00, Sheets 257,258, 262, and 263, there is sidewalk that could be removed because another sidewalk will be constructed. The problem is that the existing sidewalk is either outside the R/W line or in the middle. Is this sidewalk will be removed?	Posted: 3/11/2015 2:43:37 PM
Answer:	Bid Question Response #9350: An addendum has been issued to clarify the intent. The R/W lines in the cross sections at these locations were inadvertently omitted in the plan set. The plans have been revised to include the R/W line. The sidewalks at the locations referenced in the question are located outside of the R/W and are not to be removed. Please see the latest plan addendum.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED Posted: 3/20/2015 9:52:31 AM
Question:	9354: Plan Sheet SQ-26 contains a matrix for Side Drain. Bid Item 0430174215 accounts for the 67 LF of 12" x 18" Side Drain, however we do not see any Bid Items for the 18 LF of 15" or the 59 LF of 18"? Can you clarify?	Posted: 3/12/2015 11:47:25 AM

Answer:	Bid Question Response #9354: Bid items 430-174-115 and 430-174-118 account for the 15" and 18" side drain, respectively.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	3/20/2015 9:53:16 AM
Question:	9359: Bid Item 0430174236 calls for 212 LF of 29" x 45" Side Drain. Can you provide plan location of this pipe run?	Posted:	3/12/2015 1:03:49 PM
Answer:	Bid Question Response #9359: Sheet SQ-17 in the 239266-4-52-01 plan set contains a Summary of Side Drain Table containing all of the locations of 29" x 45" side drains.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	3/20/2015 9:59:12 AM
Question:	9363: Will the Buy America or Buy American provisions be applied to this project in regard to Steel or Ductile iron products?	Posted:	3/13/2015 6:54:39 AM
Answer:	Bid Question Response #9363: The Buy America provisions will apply per the 2015 Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction, Section 6-5.2.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	3/20/2015 9:53:55 AM
Question:	9397: Can we use a box culvert with a 3 foot depth in lieu of the 2.5 foot depth shown on the plans.	Posted:	3/17/2015 12:49:15 PM
Answer:	Bid Question Response #9397: Bid should be based on the box culvert per the plans.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	3/20/2015 9:55:07 AM
Question:	9407: After extensive review of the plans, specifications, details and recent addenda for this project and the limited time available from the project documents release date, we do not feel sufficient time has been allowed to accurately review all of the volumes of information required for this project to prepare an accurate or fair proposal pricing for any bid submittal in this month's letting. The project involves intensive and high risk local utility relocations in conflict and conjunction with other major utility relocations, as well as intensive drainage and MOT work that is depicted in at least 2 voluminous plan sets. A full and thorough review of all utility relocation schedules as well as some assembly of a project schedule is also required to determine if the allotted project time duration is even feasible to construct the project due to the complexity of the utility relocations and other project phasing. (Part 1)	Posted:	3/18/2015 10:52:50 AM
Answer:	Bid Question Response #9407: The submitted statement does not contain a question. Question continues into Bid Question #9408. See Bid Question #9408 for continuation and response.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	3/20/2015 9:56:30 AM

---

Question: 9408: In addition an intense effort is required to match the work shown in these plans to the bid/pay items provided, which we also have many issues with that cannot be addressed prior to the bid question cut off date for this project. Due to the complexity of this project and the effort required to accurately assemble and submit a proposal for this project we feel the 3-4 weeks allotted from the documents release date to the bid due date is insufficient and hereby respectfully request that the Department consider a postponement of the bid submittal date for this project if possible. Please review and respond to this request so we can determine how to proceed or discontinue progress on this project accordingly. (Part 2)

Posted: 3/18/2015 10:53:32 AM

---

Answer: Bid Question Response #9408: The bid submittal date will not change.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 3/20/2015 9:57:40 AM