



Bid Questions and Answers Report

Date & Time:

6/17/2015 8:19:52 AM

District Address: District 2 Construction Office, located at 1109 South Marion Avenue, Lake City, FL 32025

District Phone: (386) 961-7532

Proposal: T2576

Project: 430911-1-52-01

Letting Date: 6/17/2015

Location: CENTRAL OFFICE

Description: SR 10 (ATLANTIC BLVD)

Question: 10601: The "Optional Materials Tabulation" for Drainage Pipe does not include Polypropylene Pipe as an optional material. Polypropylene Pipe is approved for 100 year DSL applications and it appears that the majority of the storm drain pipe will meet min/max cover requirements for Polypropylene Pipe. Can Polypropylene Pipe be utilized where FDOT min/max cover requirements are met? Posted: 6/4/2015 10:16:40 AM

Answer: No. Polypropylene Pipe can not be used. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 6/8/2015 8:41:29 AM

Question: 10614: After review of the Temp. Traffic control plans, there does not appear to be any phasing plan to construct the widening on the southern portion (EB lanes) of the project. Please review and respond. Posted: 6/4/2015 4:52:07 PM

Answer: Eastbound construction is largely done in Phase 3. See phase notes and typicals. Median work is shown in Phase 2, then shift traffic to inside new surface and widen outside. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 6/8/2015 8:42:11 AM

Question: 10642: Is there any information regarding the thickness of the existing asphalt to be removed? Posted: 6/8/2015 2:18:12 PM

Answer: EXISTING PAVEMENT: The asphalt on SR 10A consists of varying combinations of FC5, F125, SP2F, Type S, Type I, and Binder. The base is limerock. The asphalt thickness in the SR 10A travel lanes ranges from 4.3" to 6.8". The shoulder base is mostly ABC with some limerock. The thickness of the shoulder pavement ranges from 1.5" to 6.2". Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 6/8/2015 4:03:51 PM

Question: 10643: Are the boring reports available for review? Posted: 6/8/2015 2:19:40 PM

Answer:	As requested, two files were posted to the CPP Ordering website, for information only.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	6/8/2015 4:04:03 PM
Question:	10645: Can you provide a more specific detail regarding the trench drain requirements as shown on sheet 30?	Posted:	6/8/2015 4:41:55 PM
Answer:	The existing trench drain needed to be shifted as shown on Sheet No. 30. As noted on Sheet 63, "Existing survey was unable to locate the inverts & outfall of the offsite system. Contractor to construct new trench drain and connect to the existing outfall OR outfall pipe during construction" It is the intent to capture flow from the site prior to entering FDOT R/W and to maintain the existing conditions. Therefore, the proposed location and trench size should mimic the existing conditions. Once the contractor exposes/removes the existing trench, they only need to reconnect to the existing outfall.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	6/9/2015 10:02:12 AM
Question:	10650: Can the department provide us with a cad file of the existing ground elevations? The GDTMRD01.dgn file in the Survey Folder of CAD File that we downloaded for the project appears to be just the elevations at the boundary of the job.	Posted:	6/9/2015 9:15:18 AM
Answer:	gdtmrd01.dgn is the correct file. The file is a 3D surface of the entire project site, not only the boundary. In the event that the contractor has a faulty file, send an email to nancy.bright@dot.state.fl.us	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	6/9/2015 10:39:20 AM