



Bid Questions and Answers Report

Date & Time:

4/29/2015 2:19:11 PM

District Address: District 4 Construction Office, located at 3400 West Commercial Blvd. Fort Lauderdale, FL 33309

District Phone: (954) 777-4130

Proposal: T4408

Project: 428984-1-52-01

Letting Date: 4/29/2015

Localtion: CENTRAL OFFICE

Description: SR 70 (OKEECHOBEE RD)

Question: 9708: The "Optional Materials Tabulation" for Drainage Pipe does not include HDPE Class II or Polypropylene Pipe as an acceptable material for 60" pipe. Both HDPE Class II and Polypropylene Pipe are approved for 100 year DSL applications. We are asking for HDPE Class II and Polypropylene Pipe to be allowed/included as an optional pipe material for the 60" Storm Drain (as long as min/max cover requirements are met).
Posted: 4/8/2015 4:29:13 PM

Answer: Both HDPE Class II and Polypropylene Pipe are optional materials for the 60" pipe per the optional material analysis. However; as part of the maintaining agency permit requirements, only RCP Class I pipes can be used for the culvert extension. It must also be noted that the proposed 60" pipes called for in the plans are for the extension of an existing culvert belonging to NSLRWCD and that as part of the permitting coordination the agency requested that the proposed pipes match existing conditions.
Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 4/14/2015 9:58:08 AM

Question: 9794: Will you provide the compbook for this project?
Posted: 4/14/2015 3:18:46 PM

Answer: There is no comp book for this project. Per Roadway Design Bulletin 13-11, all quantity computations are now included in the plans in summary tables.
Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 4/14/2015 3:42:13 PM

Question: 9795: There are 2 line items for Special Detour 1 & Special Detour 2 in bid quantities, but I have not found any plans for these detours. Can you provide plans for these special detours?
Posted: 4/14/2015 4:08:20 PM

Answer: Refer to estimates bulletin 14-06 for additional information and clarification. Special Detours 1 and 2 are for the construction of temporary pavement along Jenkins Rd during Phase 1 and 2 respectively.
Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 4/15/2015 2:48:21 PM

Question:	9806: There are 2 FIN Project Numbers for this job. There are also bid items with each of these FIN Project Numbers. Are there 2 set s of plans for this job? If not where is the cutoff point ?	Posted:	4/15/2015 9:15:04 AM
Answer:	The second FIN Project Numbers is for work included under an LFA (Local Funds Agreement) and is for FINANCIAL PURPOSES ONLY as indicated in the key sheet lower right corner. The items to be paid under FPID # 428984-1-52-02 are clearly depicted in the SUMMARY OF QUANTITIES sheets SQ series.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/15/2015 2:47:50 PM
Question:	9823: 1) Is it possible to move the bore at the proposed pump area ? There are existing utilities conflicts in the proposed area and a bore setup in the median would force lane closures. Please advise, maybe to the west of the proposed bore?	Posted:	4/16/2015 12:27:37 PM
Answer:	Yes the bore can be moved to avoid utilities as needed but the location of the relocated pump station should not change. The intent is to set up the boring machine on the north side of the road and drill to the median.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/17/2015 9:42:49 AM
Question:	9824: 2) Is the irrigation contractor responsible for abandonment of the existing well? - If yes, please confirm the size and approximate depth of the existing well if possible.	Posted:	4/16/2015 12:28:45 PM
Answer:	Yes the existing well will be in the roadway so it needs to be capped and abandoned. The existing well is 2" but the depth is not known.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/17/2015 9:43:40 AM
Question:	9875: Are both the directional bore and the conduit runs on the lighting plans only for 1 run of conduit or are they for the 4 runs of conduit as listed next to the pay items? Please clarify.	Posted:	4/20/2015 11:57:59 AM
Answer:	Lighting Plans do not use pay items to describe conduit, instead Lighting Plans use a legend. The only plans that show pay items with multiple runs are the Signalization Plans. All conduit, as per the legend on L-3, in the lighting plans (directional bore and open trench) is for 1 run.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/21/2015 11:07:19 AM
Question:	9876: The conductor quantities for the lighting appear to be based on the linear footage of the trench and the directional bore instead of per linear foot of conductor. Will this be revised to reflect the correct quantity?	Posted:	4/20/2015 11:59:34 AM

Answer: FDOT practice (as per BOE) is to calculate lighting quantities for conductors on a "per LF of conductor" basis, only Signalization quantifies conductors (electrical service wire) on a "per LF of conduit" basis. The conductor quantities shown in the Lighting Plans (sheet L-2) are based on per LF of conductor inside the conduit, or 3 times that of the conduit run. For example, quantities under column L-4 in Tabulation Sheet L-2 show 440 LF of conduit, and 3x440 LF of conductor, or 1320 LF. The quantities as shown are correct and will not need revision.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 4/21/2015 3:13:07 PM

Question: 9916: Are there any lane closure restrictions for this project? None shown in the plans.

Posted: 4/21/2015 4:12:41 PM

Answer: A plan revision has been processed to add lane closure restrictions and will be distributed to all bidders.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 4/22/2015 1:33:46 PM