



Bid Questions and Answers Report

Date & Time:

6/19/2014 1:56:54 PM

District Address: District 6 Construction Office, located at 1000 NW 111 Ave, Miami, FL 33172

District Phone: (305) 640-7448

Proposal: T6362

Project: 429185-1-52-01

Letting Date: 6/18/2014

Location: CENTRAL OFFICE

Description: SR 9 (NW 27 AVE) AND SR 934

Question:	7350: Traffic Control Note #6 (Sheet 62) references Phase IB (& IV & V) being designed for Non-Peak Work. However, Ph. IB typical on Sheet 64 indicates this phase maintaining 4 lanes of traffic and encompassing approx. 8,000 LF of roadway. Please clarify. . . (?) Is it the intent to reference Ph. IA for this non-peak design instead?	Posted:	6/3/2014 11:14:50 AM
Answer:	Note 6 on Sheet 22 (FPID# 429185-1-52-01) states that Phase IB, IV & V being designed for Non-Peak hours, and during peak hours all existing lanes shall be opened to traffic. Phases IA and II may require to reduce number of existing traffic lanes during peak hours; therefore, all efforts shall be made to expedite work in these phases and no more than two locations shall be constructed at any time.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	6/5/2014 5:33:55 PM
Question:	7353: Reference Typical Traffic Control Section for Phase IA, Sheet 64, FPID#429185-1-52-01. Please confirm that Notes 1 & 2 are referring only to Sta. 159+00 to 160+88 Regarding "Both" Inside Lanes & Turn Lanes.	Posted:	6/3/2014 11:27:41 AM
Answer:	Notes 1 and 2 in Phase 1A on Sheet 64 only refer to area between Sta. 159+00 and 160+88. The removal of the median in this area is needed prior to closing outside lanes in order to remove a choke point that would have existed when the traffic control shown in Phase 1A is implemented.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	6/5/2014 1:19:37 PM
Question:	7399: Reference Plan Sheet 2 - Summary of Quantities: Please clarify quantities provided for Stabilization vs. Base Group 09 under 42918515202 (SR 9 Median Work), Stab is only 714 Sy and Base is 5,231 Sy (?).	Posted:	6/6/2014 10:42:32 AM
Answer:	Stabilization is required only within areas of existing medians and traffic separators.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	6/6/2014 1:54:41 PM

Question: 7444: The "Optional Materials Table" for Drainage Pipe does not list HDPE Class II and Polypropylene Pipe as an acceptable material. Both HDPE Class II and Polypropylene Pipe are now approved for 100 year DSL applications. We are asking for both HDPE Class II and Polypropylene Pipe to be allowed/included as an optional pipe material (as long as min/max cover requirements are met). Posted: 6/10/2014 11:08:49 AM

Answer: 429185-1 SR9/NW 27 Ave: The proposed 36" and 42" pipes are only 40 ft (each) in total length and are used to tie into the existing drainage system. In order to keep the adjoining pipes materials similar, HDPE Class II and Polypropylene Pipe will not be acceptable. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
429147-1 SR 934/NW 79 St: HDPE Class II and Polypropylene Pipe are acceptable optional materials. Posted: 6/13/2014 9:13:00 AM