



Bid Questions and Answers Report

Date & Time: 10/29/2014 8:32:48 AM

District Address: District 3 Construction Office, located at 1074 Highway 90 East, Chipley, FL 32428

District Phone: (850) 415-9713

Proposal: T3465

Project: 429876-1-52-01

Letting Date: 10/29/2014

Localtion: CENTRAL OFFICE

Description: SR 4

---

Question: 8329: On Sheets 52, 53 & 54 there are notes referring to five Buried Telephone pair (25, 50, 300 and two 400) on the right roadway shoulder that will be placed out of service. These will be replaced with a Proposed 1200 pair cable along the southbound ROW (Sheet 53). However, Drainage Structure drawings for S-7 (Sheet 24), S-9 (Sheet 25), S-11 & 12 (Sheet 26), S-13 (Sheet 27) and S-14A (Sheet 28) make reference to TH-2 and TH-3 (both Buried Telephone) from the Utility Location Sheet (51). The question is, are the five existing buried phone pair that are in the proximity of the proposed drainage structures going to be out of service or not?

Posted: 10/16/2014 10:57:53 AM

---

Answer: The existing buried telephone lines in the vicinity of drainage structures S-7 (Sheet 24), S-9 (Sheet 25), S-11 & 12 (Sheet 26), S-13 (Sheet 27) and S-14A (Sheet 28) will be placed out of service (see sheets 52 through 54).

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 10/16/2014 1:59:00 PM

---

Question: 8347: The "Optional Materials Table" for Drainage Pipe does not list HDPE Class II and Polypropylene Pipe as an acceptable material. Both HDPE Class II and Polypropylene Pipe are now approved for 100 year DSL applications. We are asking for both HDPE Class II and Polypropylene Pipe to be allowed / included as an optional pipe material (as long as min/max cover requirements are met)so that the contractor community can bid accordingly.

Posted: 10/20/2014 12:38:44 PM

---

Answer: The HDPE Class II and Polypropylene Pipe are unaccepttable due to inadequate cover height.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 10/22/2014 2:08:49 PM