



Bid Questions and Answers Report

Date & Time:

3/26/2014 2:56:26 PM

District Address: District 3 Construction Office, located at 1074 Highway 90 East, Chipley, FL 32428

District Phone: (850) 415-9713

Proposal: T3472

Project: 411102-3-52-01

Letting Date: 3/26/2014

Localtion: CENTRAL OFFICE

Description: SR 123

Question: 6264: Stations 1174+20 thru 1187+00, on sheets 26 and 27, call for the mill / resurface of existing SR 123. There is no typical section provided for this station range. Please provide mill depth and pavement design for this area. Posted: 3/5/2014 9:39:50 AM

Answer: The milling depth and pavement design are listed on sheets 26 and 27 for the areas in question. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 3/5/2014 11:20:02 AM

Question: 6265: Typical Section 1 (Roadway RT) shows a mill and resurface width of 29'. Plan view on sheets 27 and 28 depict a shaded area showing a mill and resurface width of 24'. Please clarify. Posted: 3/5/2014 9:40:28 AM

Answer: Typical Section 1 is correct with the 29' width of milling and resurfacing. The 29' on the typical includes the area for the proposed 5' paved shoulder, which will be construction by milling and resurfacing the existing pavement. Shading of the shoulder area on sheets 27 and 28 would make it difficult to see that a 5' paved shoulder is proposed, but this area is to be milled and surfaced per the typical section. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 3/5/2014 11:31:54 AM

Question: 6329: Sheet B2-6 of the project plans details the use of an anticipated temporary work structure. Is a temporary work structure or temporary access structure required by the contract and associated permits, or may the contractor use other means and methods to construct the proposed bridges? These may include but not be limited to, temporary fill, sheeting, or crane mats, with the impacted area to be restored after the installation of the proposed bridge. Posted: 3/10/2014 2:34:01 PM

Answer: The use of a temporary work structure is the only option allowed by permit for the construction of the Turkey Creek Bridge. No other options are allowed due to environmental restrictions associated with the Okaloosa Darter.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 3/11/2014 12:19:25 PM

Question: 6378: Drawing sheet B2-6 indicates that a temporary work structure is required between the existing bridge and the new bridge. In researching the permits there does not appear to be a permit to be in this area. Also there is a clearing contract that will clear the site prior to this contract beginning. Does the clearing contract include the area between the old and new bridge?
Does this temporary work platform have to be a structure on piles or can it be another method? Will a permit be provided to be in this area?
Please provide further clarification.

Posted: 3/11/2014 7:13:11 AM

Answer: The use of a temporary work structure is required. No other options are allowed for the construction of the Turkey Creek Bridge. The biological opinion (BO) required the use of the temporary work structure because of the Okaloosa Darter habitat.

Addendum Number 1, item number 2, states that clearing includes all areas outside the wetland limits.

The contractor should utilize a temporary work structure (pile supported) per plan sheet B2-6. Note 2 on Sheet B2-6 allows the contractor to vary the size, layout and length of the temporary work structure, but the plan and permit intent is for the pile supported work structure to clear the wetlands at a minimum.

Work is permitted in this area.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 3/14/2014 7:51:01 AM

Question: 6395: Subarticle 285-3 of the Standard Specifications states that only one base option is allowed for each typical cross-section shown in the plans. Recent communication from the Department has suggested that in order for RAP to be used for OBG 1 on shoulders, the standard specification must be revised via special provisions when multiple Option Base Groups are present on a typical section. The specification package provided on this project does not address this. Does the Department intend to provide a special provision to allow use of RAP for OBG 1 on shoulders for this project?

Posted: 3/13/2014 1:04:17 PM

Answer: A Special Provision is not necessary. You may choose any base option allowable as per Standard Index 514 for each of the base group(s) shown in the plans.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 3/17/2014 3:30:10 PM

Question: 6396: Optional Material Tabulation on sheet 21 lists structures with "RCP Only" noted. Summary of Drainage Structures, sheets 19 and 20, list the same structures with "CMP" in the Remarks column. For example, see structure number S-207. Please clarify.

Posted: 3/13/2014 1:08:53 PM

Answer:	The pipes associated with drainage structures S-121, S-207, S-208, S-307, S-308, and S-308A should be CMP as indicated on the Summary of Drainage Structure sheets, Roadway Plan sheets and Drainage Structures sheets.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED Posted: 3/17/2014 11:09:59 AM
Question:	6421: Request the CADD files be provided to contractors prior to bid	Posted: 3/17/2014 9:57:25 AM
Answer:	The CADD files are now available for download at: https://www3.dot.state.fl.us/ContractProposalProcessingOnlineOrdering/ All prior responses are voided.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED Posted: 3/18/2014 8:58:11 AM
Answer:	CADD files are not part of the bid documents and will not be provided prior to bid.	Status: APPLICABLE ANSWER Posted: 3/17/2014 11:39:30 AM
Answer:	The CADD files are now available for download at: https://www3.dot.state.fl.us/ContractProposalProcessingOnlineOrdering/	Status: ANSWER VOIDED Posted: 3/18/2014 8:54:54 AM
Question:	6479: Please provide typical section for base/pavement of temporary pavement	Posted: 3/18/2014 7:44:35 AM
Answer:	Design does not normally provide a typical section for temporary pavement. The following is offered to clarify. Temporary pavement shall be an asphalt pavement constructed over a firm unyielding, well compacted subgrade with reference to the FDOT Standard Specifications, Section 102-6.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED Posted: 3/19/2014 12:27:50 PM
Question:	6494: Has FDOT made any arrangements with Eglin, or any other property owners, to secure an area to place any excess material which may be generated from the project grading operations? If so, can these areas be indentified?	Posted: 3/18/2014 3:49:32 PM
Answer:	No arrangements have been made with Eglin to secure an area to place excess material. There are no other property owners on the corridor.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED Posted: 3/20/2014 8:25:10 AM
Question:	6495: Can areas between the proposed grading limits and the project ROW be utilized to place any excess material which may be generated from project grading operations? If so, please list any limiting factors.	Posted: 3/18/2014 3:50:15 PM

Answer: Excavation shall be handled in accordance with Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction Section 120 EXCAVATION AND EMBANKMENT.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 3/18/2014 4:17:01 PM

Question: 6507: What are the clearing limits/parameters from station 1243+00 to 1245+26 and station 1271+64 to 1280+54. The typical sections do not address these stations.

Posted: 3/19/2014 1:42:02 PM

Answer: These station ranges are within the proposed bridge limits. Clearing & Grubbing in these areas shall consist of only the areas needed to construct the proposed bridges and the fence.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 3/21/2014 8:26:17 AM

Question: 6527: In the Plans for Contract T3471 (Southern Portion of SR 123 Bidding 4/30/14) sheet 39 note 2 states that One section of farm fabric (8' nominal) 2096-6-12.5 meeting the requirements of ASTM 116 may be substituted for the two runs of 47" nominal farm fabric at no additional cost to the Department. Will the 8' material be accepted on this project (T3472) as well?

Posted: 3/20/2014 10:09:57 AM

Answer: Yes.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 3/20/2014 11:24:41 AM