



Bid Questions and Answers Report

Date & Time:

5/5/2014 1:06:07 PM

District Address: District 1 Construction Office, located at 801 N Broadway, Bartow, FL 33830

District Phone: (863) 519-2222

Proposal: T1576

Project: 196904-2-52-01

Letting Date: 4/30/2014

Location: CENTRAL OFFICE

Description: SR 70

---

Question: 6757: FPID 196904-4-52-01. DWG 59 shows limits of Base Type B 12.5 for intersection of Berman Rd. Ending on match lines; Sta 27+75.00 & 32+00.00 respectively. DWG's 64 & 65 do not call for Base Type B 12.5 between Sta 27+75.00 to 26+82.38 & Sta 32+00.00 to 32+70.36 respectively. Is it the departments intention for these areas to be done in Base Type B 12.5 or lime rock? Posted: 4/7/2014 5:02:20 PM

---

Answer: The intent was that Base Type 12.5 shall be applied for the entire reconstruction (new construction) portion of Berman Road as shown on Typical Section Sheet No. 17. The delineation that is shown on Sheet 59 was to show the limits of Base Type B 12.5 along the SR 70 mainline. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED  
Posted: 4/11/2014 11:18:57 AM

---

Question: 6787: Sheet BX 1-8: The "Summary of Estimated Quantities" for the existing bridge to be demolished (#910006) is cut off. We request a clarification or a pdf version that is not cut off. Posted: 4/9/2014 9:19:47 AM

---

Answer: The existing Bridge plans can be downloaded as attachment "A". Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED  
Posted: 4/11/2014 11:08:12 AM

---

Document: 3119387: Bridge Plans 910006 - SPN 91070-3509.pdf

Attachment 'A'

---

Question: 6794: Re: 42" ped railing item #0515-2-111  
Isn't the roadway quantities 290 ft. ea. (sta. 1112+10.00 to 1115+00.00 left and right) shown in chart on sht. 34 and plan on sht. 71, a duplicate of the structures quantities 291 ft. ea. (BR #910006 & 910099) shown in chart on sht.3 and plan on B1-1 and B2-1? Posted: 4/9/2014 10:22:18 AM

---

Answer: Yes, The PEDESTRIAN / BICYCLE RAILING, NS, 42" TYPE 1 (Pay Item 515-2-111) shown from Sta. 1112+10.00 to Sta. 1115+00.00 Rt. and Sta. 1112+10.00 to Sta. 1115+00.00 Rt. of the Summary of Gravity Wall & Handrail Table (Sheet 34) are duplicate of the quantities shown in the Summary of Structures (Sheet 3) and shall be disregarded. The revision shall be Revision # 1. Addendum # 1 shall be issued and set to all prospective bidders for this revision showing the revised quantities.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED  
Posted: 4/11/2014 9:55:46 AM

---

Question: 6811: Has a Study been done to determine the potential for impacts to the project regarding the nesting locations of the Crested Caracara? Since there is a possibility that a nest could be outside of the "work zone" but within the nest impact zone - we would not be able to removal the nesting tree (outside of FDOT ROW and/or Work Zone) and therefore impact to the project schedule.

Posted: 4/10/2014 2:10:53 PM

---

Answer: Yes, a study has been completed which resulted in a USFWS Biological Opinion to address the presence of an active caracara nest at the intersection of SR 70 and 80th Avenue. The Specific Conditions in the USACE Section 404 permits for the two projects, as well as the USFWS Biological Opinion for the FPID 196904-2-52-01 project, address the construction precautions required if any land clearing is to occur during the nesting season.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED  
Posted: 4/11/2014 11:21:03 AM

---

Question: 6812: Please provide the schedule analysis.

Posted: 4/10/2014 2:11:22 PM

---

Answer: The Department does not guarantee the details or computations contained within the contract time for FPID.196904-2-52-01 and FPID.196904-4-52-01. The calculation of time worksheet is provided for informational purposes only and is not part of the contract documents. The bidder shall make independent calculations based on the plans and bid solely on their own calculations. The bidder's submission of a proposal is prima facie evidence that the bidder has not relied on the calculation of time worksheet. The information given below for projects FPID. 196904-2-52-01 and FDID.196904-4-52-01 is part of the department's computations. No claim for compensation may be based on an inaccuracy contained within the calculation of time worksheet or the information given within this answer. It shall be the responsibility of all perspective bidders to determine the quantities in accordance with Standard Specification 2-3.2. The calculation of time worksheet can be downloaded as attachment labeled "A".

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED  
Posted: 4/11/2014 10:48:51 AM

---

Document: 3119291: SConstructi14041109320.pdf

Attachent "A"

Question:	6832: Can Polypropylene pipe (PP Pipe) pipe be submitting on T1576 SR 70 project FROM E OF NE 31ST AVE TO E OF NE 80TH AVE AND FROM NE 80TH AVE TO BERMAN RD for the stormwater drainage where there is RCP and CMP specified? They are an approved material in Section 948-7 and Memorandum NO. 01-14 (PP Pipe), for diameters 12"-60" for the 100-Year Design Life pursuant to AASHTO M330, (Replaced AASHTO MP21-11) As long as it meets 205 cover height design standards.	Posted:	4/11/2014 3:11:04 PM
Answer:	Yes, DCE Memorandum No. 01-14, Use of Polypropylene Pipe, was approved by FHWA on January 28, 2014. This Memorandum states that polypropylene pipe (PP) may be substituted, by the Contractor, for HDPE pipe anywhere that HDPE pipe is allowed, both on projects within the bidding process, and projects already let. Based on this Memorandum, PP can be used on the project where HDPE is allowed.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/16/2014 9:57:39 AM
Question:	6919: All jobs on the 4/30/14 Letting call out the 2014 Design Standards which would require the guardrail items to be built per Roadway Design Bulletin 14-05 dated 3/6/14. However Bulletin 14-05 states that implementation is effective for projects LET on or after 7/1/14. Does Bulletin 14-05 apply to this project?	Posted:	4/18/2014 8:47:12 AM
Answer:	The Roadway Design Bulletin 14-05 shall not apply to projects 196904-2-52-01 and project 196904-4-52-01 for bidding purposes.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/25/2014 10:32:57 AM
Question:	6926: Plan Sheet 26 (Typical Section) between STA. 1152+01.27 and STA. 1175+03.91 (Right Roadway / Left Shoulder) depict an 8' Stabilized Shoulder. Plan Sheet 76 through Plan Sheet 79 depict a paved shoulder. Cross Sections agree with the plan sheets. Can you clarify??	Posted:	4/18/2014 11:42:25 AM
Answer:	Median shoulder pavement (four feet) is required for the eastbound (right side) roadway from STA. 1152+01.27 to STA. 1175+03.991 because the roadway is super elevated through this segment. The limits of the shoulder pavement as shown in plan sheets 76 through 79 are correct.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/22/2014 9:57:50 AM
Question:	7000: Can the engineer provide the MOT quantities for the Cardno Section of the project to include overbuild tonnages etc as provided in the Kimley Horn section of the drawings.	Posted:	4/23/2014 9:41:13 AM
Answer:	The subject overbuild shall be temporary pavement. The PPM dictates that temporary pavement quantities should be shown in square yards units, which are the units in the plans for FPID 196904-4-52-01.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/25/2014 3:19:03 PM
Question:	7025: When will Addendum #1 be issued? (referenced in answer to question 6794)	Posted:	4/24/2014 2:28:47 PM

---

Answer: Addendum #1 be issued today on 4/24/2014. The revision was completed and issued on 4/22/2014.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 4/24/2014 4:09:51 PM