



Bid Questions and Answers Report

Date & Time:

6/19/2013 3:25:10 PM

District Address: District 4 Construction Office, located at 3400 West Commercial Blvd. Fort Lauderdale, FL 33309

District Phone: (954) 777-4130

Proposal: T4370

Project: 423022-1-52-01

Letting Date: 6/19/2013

Location: CENTRAL OFFICE

Description: CR 68

Question: 4345: HDPE Class II Pipe is approved for all applications per FDOT DCE Memorandum 15-12 and Section 948-2.3 of FDOT's "Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction." However, HDPE Class II Pipe is not listed as an optional drainage material for this project. We are asking for clarification and inclusion into the project for all applications where minimum and maximum FDOT cover requirements are satisfied. Posted: 6/11/2013 4:29:56 PM

Answer: An optional materials analysis was not completed for this project. The roadway is owned and maintained by St. Lucie County and they have specified that RCP will be used exclusively, with the exception being the pipes discharging to the adjacent canals. These canals are owned and maintained by the North St. Lucie River Water Control District (NSLRWCD) and they require that all pipes entering their canals be Corrugated Metal Pipe (CMP). The pipe requirements identified in the plans are mandated per owner requirements and therefore no substitutions such as HDPE will be allowed. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 6/13/2013 9:52:43 AM

Answer: An optional materials analysis has not been completed for this project. The project is a St. Lucie County owned roadway. St. Lucie County requires RCP be used in all traffic loading applications. North St. Lucie River Water Control District (NSLRWCD) requires all pipes entering the adjacent canals be Corrugated Metal Pipe (CMP). The pipe requirements identified in the plans are specified per owner requirements. Status: ANSWER VOIDED
Posted: 6/13/2013 9:31:03 AM

Question: 4366: General Note #7 on Sheet S-3, states "the relocation of the flashing beacon includes sign posts, conduit, conductors, pull boxes, foundations...etc.". Please clarify if the existing pedestal, transformer base, sign panel, flashing beacon controller, etc., are to be replaced as new. General Note #6 on Sheet S-3 gives the impression that all other components are to be replaced. The Tabulation of Quantities on Sheet #S-2, clearly shows a pay item for conduit and an additional pay item for pull boxes, both showing quantities on Sheet #S-6. Please clarify these discrepancies. Posted: 6/12/2013 3:09:11 PM

Answer:	General note 7 discusses the relocation of the flashing beacons (700-90-21). The cost of conduit and pull boxes necessary to relocate the signs has been included under pay-items 630-1-12, and 635-1-11 respectively. The cost of the sign post shall be included under pay-item 700-90-21 (See pay-item note on sheet 6 for details). Per specification 700-9, and 700-12, the cost of foundations, electrical installations, and incidentals are included in the relocation cost. The design intent is to relocate / reuse the existing beacon, sign panel, conductors, service point equipment, etc. General note 6 on sheet S-3 is discussing the relocation of post mounted signs (Pay-item 700-20-40). Relocated signs will require a new post to meet current standards. The cost of the new post shall be included in the cost of the relocated sign. Please see pay-item note 700-20-40 on sheet 6 and specification 700-9 for additional information.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 6/13/2013 9:35:15 AM