



Bid Questions and Answers Report

Date & Time:

4/25/2013 1:39:09 PM

District Address: District 5 Construction Office, located at 719 South Woodland Blvd, Deland, FL 32720

District Phone: (386) 943-5350

Proposal: T5401

Project: 422015-1-52-01

Letting Date: 4/24/2013

Location: CENTRAL OFFICE

Description: SR 419 AND SR 434

---

Question: 3412: Please provide the projects computation book or quantity computation documents. Posted: 4/9/2013 6:07:51 PM

---

Answer: The Department does not guarantee the details or computations contained within the computation book. The computation book is provided for informational purposes only and is not part of the contract documents. The bidder shall make independent calculations and base the bid solely on these calculations. The bidder's submission of a proposal is prima facie evidence that the bidder has not relied on the computation book. No claim for compensation may be based on an inaccuracy contained within the computation book. The computation book is available for download from you order history in online ordering. URL: <https://www3dot.state.fl.us/ContractProposalProcessingOnlineOrdering/> Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED  
Posted: 4/10/2013 2:52:24 PM

---

Question: 3423: Reference general note #13 on plan sheet 14. Please verify that we are to repair/reset the existing guardrail only if our forces damage the existing guardrail and this note is not implying that we will be responsible for damage done by a third party or anything else out of our control. Posted: 4/10/2013 11:20:44 AM

---

Answer: Guardrail damage will be required to be repaired in accordance with the specifications. The plan note was placed to emphasize the specification for repairing damage to the existing guardrail by construction operations. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED  
Posted: 4/17/2013 12:29:25 PM

---

Question: 3424: Please provide the thickness of the asphalt curb pad place under the F and E curb shown in the plans for FPID 422015-1-52-01. Posted: 4/10/2013 11:21:11 AM

---

Answer: The thickness of the asphalt curb pad under the "F" and "E" curbing is approximately 5 inches. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED  
Posted: 4/17/2013 4:21:38 PM

---

Question: 3425: There is no information provided for the milling depth and asphalt thickness for the side streets, please provide clarification for this. Posted: 4/10/2013 11:21:40 AM

Answer:	The mainline resurfacing design is intended to be used on the side street returns. There were no additional roadway corings available to independently design resurfacing at each side street. In anticipation of the possibility of the milling design disturbing the limerock base, we provided Note #1 on sheet 3 (422015-1) and sheet 2 (427259-1).	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 4/15/2013 4:53:58 PM
Question:	3427: Bid item 425 6, Valve Boxes, Adjust and bid item 1080 15 Utility Fixtures, Adjust & Modify appear to be duplicates. Please clarify.	Posted: 4/10/2013 11:48:24 AM
Answer:	Updated answer: The following stations should be considered duplicates between the 422015-1-52-01 and the 422015-1-56-01 quantity takeoffs: 752+50 (46.8' Rt), 767+36 (18' Rt), 767+36 (19' Lt), 801+80 (18' Rt), 826+89.30 (21.1' Rt), 830+32.8 (25.5' Rt), 830+39.80 (29.9' Rt), 830+40.40 (28.9' Lt), 188+34 (27.9' Rt), 199+33.70 (16.8' Lt), and 202+91.10 (20.3' Lt). The following stations should be considered duplicates between the 427259-1-52-01 and the 427259-1-56-01 quantity takeoffs: SR 426 - 578+73 (Lt sidewalk), SR 434/419 - 854+82 (Rt travel lane), and SR 434 - 219+89 (Lt shoulder). See response to question number 3523 for more information.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 4/18/2013 4:14:24 PM
Answer:	The following stations should be considered duplicates between the 422015-1-52-01 and the 422015-1-56-01 quantity takeoffs, so the contractor should only bid on one set of these, not both: 752+50 (46.8' Rt), 767+36 (18' Rt), 767+36 (19' Lt), 801+80 (18' Rt), 826+89.30 (21.1' Rt), 830+32.8 (25.5' Rt), 830+39.80 (29.9' Rt), 830+40.40 (28.9' Lt), 188+34 (27.9' Rt), 199+33.70 (16.8' Lt), and 202+91.10 (20.3' Lt).	Status: ANSWER VOIDED
	The following stations should be considered duplicates between the 427259-1-52-01 and the 427259-1-56-01 quantity takeoffs, so the contractor should only bid on one set of these, not both: SR 426 - 578+73 (Lt sidewalk), SR 434/419 - 854+82 (Rt travel lane), and SR 434 - 219+89 (Lt shoulder).	Posted: 4/15/2013 4:56:23 PM
Question:	3432: Is this project 2 coats of paint or paint and thermoplastic	Posted: 4/10/2013 1:14:33 PM
Answer:	The plans do not include thermoplastic striping. The project painted pavement markings (final surface) item will include two coats of paint per specification.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 4/17/2013 4:14:28 PM
Question:	3455: In the striping plans you note that you want all special emphasis crosswalks to be in preformed thermo, while the rest of the job is in paint. Preformed thermo, however, is much more expensive then paint. Are you sure you want it installed this way?	Posted: 4/11/2013 11:05:36 AM

Answer:	The plans do not include thermoplastic striping. The project painted pavement markings (final surface) item will include two coats of paint per specification.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED Posted: 4/17/2013 4:08:13 PM
Question:	3462: At station 723+89 pay item number 700-20-40 (Relocate single post) is shown. However, after a careful look at the sign and location, it has been noticed that a 3 post multi-post signs in currently installed at that location. Could the department provide pay item number to reflect sign assembly and current sign dimension?	Posted: 4/12/2013 7:11:12 AM
Answer:	The sign relocation identified in the plans at Sta 723+89 is incorrect. The sidewalk construction at this location should not impact this sign and the sign should be able to remain in place. A plan revision will be provided showing the corrected sign identification.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED Posted: 4/16/2013 10:33:23 AM
Question:	3523: In regards to the duplicate bid items for the valve box adjustments, can bid form be revised accordingly to ensure everyone bids this in the same manner?	Posted: 4/16/2013 2:10:07 PM
Answer:	The bid form cannot be revised at this time due to being so close to the 4/24/13 Letting Date. The contractors need to bid the pay items and quantities that are currently shown in the plans for the four (4) projects, with the understanding that the quantities for the "duplicate" pay items (425-6 and 1080-15) will be adjusted when the project gets into construction. It is anticipated that for the four projects, the quantities will change to the following approximate quantities when the project gets into construction: (1) FPN 422015-1-52-01 (PI 425-6 = 9 EA., PI 1080-15 = 0 EA.); (2) FPN 422015-1-56-01 (PI 425-6 = 0 EA., PI 1080-15 = 20 EA.); (3) FPN 427259-1-52-01 (PI 425-6 = 1 EA., PI 1080-15 = 0 EA.); (4) FPN 427259-1-56-01 (PI 425-6 = 0 EA., PI 1080-15 = 20 EA.). TO REITERATE - the contractors need to bid pay items and quantities as they ARE CURRENTLY SHOWN in the plans, and the adjustments to the quantities for these duplicate pay items will be handled during construction.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED Posted: 4/18/2013 4:19:14 PM
Question:	3584: The QTYs for optional base and structural course asphalt don't appear to reflect the reconstruction work above the watermain; is this cost to be included in the watermain or can the pay items be overrun to pay for this?	Posted: 4/19/2013 10:16:38 AM
Answer:	The reconstruction of the roadway is included in the unit price of the Utility Pipe (furnish & install). This was defined in the Technical Special Provision for Utilities Relocations (p 136 of 185).	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED Posted: 4/19/2013 3:54:31 PM