



Bid Questions and Answers Report

Date & Time: 10/12/2012 10:14:30 AM

District Address: District 1 Construction Office, located at 801 N Broadway, Bartow, FL 33830

District Phone: (863) 519-2222

Proposal: T1512

Project: 408268-3-52-01

Letting Date: 9/26/2012

Location: CENTRAL OFFICE

Description: SR 35/700 (US 98)

Question:	1336: Could you clarify bid items? 0000700 1 UTILITIES DESIGN GROUP- GENERIC PAY ITEM 1 ZZ 9,013.000 0000700 2 UTILITIES DESIGN GROUP- GENERIC PAY ITEM 2 ZZ 9,013.000 We are unfamiliar with the description or the units Thank you	Posted: 8/29/2012 9:50:17 AM
Answer:	Pay Item: 0000700 1 UTILITIES DESIGN GROUP- GENERIC PAY ITEM 1 LF Quantity:9,013.000 is shown on (JPA Plans 408268-3-56-01 and 408268-3-56-02) Tabulation of Quantities Sheet 2, ,(Description: Removal of Asbestos Pipe).  Pay Item: 0000700 2 UTILITIES DESIGN GROUP- GENERIC PAY ITEM 2 LF Quantity:9,013.000 is shown on (JPA Plans 408268-3-56-01 and 408268-3-56-02) Tabulation of Quantities Sheet 2, (Description: Disposal of Asbestos Pipe).	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED Posted: 8/30/2012 3:55:31 PM
Question:	1353: First Street on Sht 49 is shown to end construction at 102+23. There are utility lines running along both sides of this road past the pond and crossing the road at station 105 +60. There is also a 54" storm run crossing the road and running along side to the pond. There is going to be nothing left of this roadway after all the underground and excavation activities are completed. Can the limits of construction be extended to 105+80 to account for all of the required construction activities?	Posted: 8/30/2012 4:31:51 PM
Answer:	The end pavement construction is at Station 102+23. The end drainage construction is Station 105+00. The end utility construction is at Station 106+00. The End Construction call out shall be moved from Station 102+23 to Station 106+00 in the pending forthcoming plan revision.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED Posted: 9/11/2012 2:17:24 PM

Question:	1355: Sheet B1-3 shows the normal water level of Banana Creek to be 102.00. Currently the water elevation is around the design flood of 106.55. Sheet B1-21 Rip Rap details has a note not to bury riprap when NW is above ground line. Water is currently 4' above the ground line, about 1' below the caps. Will it be required for this area to be sheeted off and the rip rap constructed per plan "in the dry". Or will the slope protection method be modified to suit conditions? If sheeting is required can the pay item for temporary critical be increased to account for the additional.	Posted:	8/30/2012 4:45:45 PM
Answer:	It is the intent of the EOR that the Rip Rap material be placed in the dry condition if possible, but if wet conditions are encountered, the Rip Rap material can still be placed without the need for dewatering or sheeting. The contractor shall not be compensated for any additional Temporary Critical Sheet Piling or Dewatering for this operation.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/11/2012 2:22:31 PM
Question:	1357: Plan Sheet 67 at the bridge denotes wetlands from the toe of slope to the ROW. Sheets 263-266 of the cross sections show a swale being constructed in this area and tying into the the rip rap that is 3-4 feet under water. Is this swale to be constructed as shown on both sides of the bridge? Does the rip rap need to be extended to deter scour in this active waterway? If so can the quantity of Temp Critical Sheeting be increased and what restrictions are there on maintaining the flow of Banana Creek? Please clarify the work to be done in this area.	Posted:	8/31/2012 9:10:50 AM
Answer:	Yes, the swales on both sides of the bridge shall be constructed as shown on the cross sections sheets ( 263 thru 266 ). The rip rap does not need to be extended into the waterway beyond what is shown in the plans. No additional temporary critical sheeting is needed. The flow in Banana Creek shall be maintained at all times.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/12/2012 4:58:17 PM
Question:	1358: Cross Sections 266-269 show subsoil excavation with a vertical cut upwards to 9' depth adjacent to the traveling public. The MOT plan temp pavement does not provide for adequate clearance to perform this excavation to protect the roadway. Will Temporary Critical Sheeting be added to facilitate this construction as shown on the plans. Also where there is a shift in traffic to possibly allow for this excavation the K-wall would have to be located adjacent to the travel lanes and then relocated to its position shown on the MOT plan after subsoil was complete and embankment is placed. Please clarify quantity scope adjustments to perform this work.	Posted:	8/31/2012 9:22:00 AM

---

Answer: Yes, approximately 1,250 LF of temporary sheet pile shall will be required at the existing outside EOP for the removal of the subsoil. The approximate station limits for the temp. wall are from Station 1386+50 to 1393+25 RT and from Station 1386+50 to 1392+25 LT. Also, the barrier wall will need to be extended for approximately 400 LF total. The end barrier wall shown on sheet 335 should be 1393+50 rather than 1390+71 for the right roadway, and 2392+75 rather than 2391+70.32 for the left roadway. It was not intended for the k-wall to be relocated along the shift in traffic but to be pinned one foot from the edge of pavement.

The forthcoming plan revision will detail the wall changes. The revision shall also include quantity changes for the Temporary Critical Sheeting, Pay Item 455-133-2, from 1,741.5 SF to 41,621.0 SF and the Barrier Wall, Temporary, Type K, Relocate, Pay Item 102-71-24, from 2,849 LF to 3,280 LF.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED  
Posted: 9/13/2012 6:58:58 AM

---

Question: 1359: Can the DEP permits for the utility work be provided? There are no injection and sample point locations shown on the plans. Please clarify

Posted: 8/31/2012 9:47:51 AM

---

Answer: The following Permit Documentation requested by the Questioner is attached for all Prospective Bidders.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED  
Posted: 9/5/2012 9:55:47 AM

---

Document: 1833326: SR35700USfromCR540AtoCR540WwinterLakeGP.pdf

General Permit

---

Document: 1833327: GCS53-0099495-224.pdf

Wastewater Permit

---

Document: 1833331: 40826835601\_02\_SamplingPts.pdf

Utility Work by Highway Contractor Agreement Plans.

---

Question: 1360: There are numerous connections to existing lines shown on the utility plans, however there are no wet taps provided for. There is also only one line stop provided for. Please clarify as to how these connections are to be made and paid for. If cut ins are to be made without linestops upstream isolation valves need to be identified so the contractor can determine the amount of effluent to be disposed of and/or water to be displaced. During waste water operations can the existing lift station on site be used to recyle the effluent back into the system to minimize costs to the city.

Posted: 8/31/2012 9:57:27 AM

---

Answer: For the Water Connections, the City will make all connections to the Existing Mains. The Contractor shall stub the new line as close as possible to the existing branch where the tie needs to be made. The Contractor shall be required to coordinate closely with the City.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 9/14/2012 7:00:11 AM

For the Wastewater Connections, the Contractor is responsible for making the connections to the existing Force Main. All line stops are included in the plans. All valves are shown on the plans with the exception of one valve call out on sheet 36. This valve call out shall be included in a forthcoming revision. The Existing Pump Stations and Force Mains shall remain active at all times. All items required to make the connections shall be at the Contractor's expense. Vacuum trucks may be utilized. The Contractor shall be required to coordinate closely with the City.

During Wastewater Operations, the Contractor may dump the residual effluent into the manhole upstream of the lift station. This will need to be a controlled operation as to not surcharge the lift station. Only wastewater effluent will be allowed to be dumped into the manhole. The wastewater effluent must be free of all mud and debris. The Contractor shall again be required to coordinate closely with the City.

---

Question: 1361: Can the permit for the disposition of the asbestos pipe be provided for review of any special requirements?

Posted: 8/31/2012 10:02:20 AM

---

Answer: No permit is required for the disposal of asbestos concrete (AC) pipe. The Contractor shall be required to complete and submit to the local regulatory agency DEP Form 62-257.900(1) a minimum of ten days prior to the removal of the AC pipe. The Contractor is also required to submit the items as listed under Technical Special Provision Section 2.3 (Submittals) and Section 3.3 (Submittals) to the City of Lakeland prior to the start of removal / disposal.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 9/12/2012 9:23:35 AM

---

Document: 1833250: SR35700USfromCR540AtoCR540WInterLakeGP.pdf

General Permit

---

Document: 1833254: GCS53-0099495-224.pdf

Wastewater Permit

---

Document: 1833282: 40826835601\_02\_SamplingPts.pdf

Utility Work by Highway Contractor Agreement Plans.

Answer:	The following Permit Documentation requested by the Questioner is attached for all Prospective Bidders.	Status: ANSWER VOIDED
		Posted: 9/5/2012 9:33:23 AM
Question:	1370: Sheet 13 of the utility plans calls for removal of a 1.25" WM. What is the termination point for removal? This line runs under Clubhouse Rd and could require road/curb restoration where it is currently slated for milling & resurfacing.	Posted: 8/31/2012 10:29:35 AM
Answer:	The 1-1/4" inch existing water main from Station 1311+29, 101' LT to Station 1312+12, 102' LT is to be placed out of service. These labels shall be included in a subsequent revision to the plans, sent out as an addendum and sent to all prospective bidders.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 9/11/2012 2:15:16 PM
Question:	1374: Sheet 34 of the Utility Plans shows the existing 6" WM remaining in the 12" casing with the new 6" WM being connected to it and being extended in the exact location the remaining length to the trail. This presents two problems. 1. You cant have two pipes in the same place so additional fittings to slightly offset will be required. 2. Is the existing line in the casing grouted? How is this line to be pressure tested? The existing pipe could be the source of leakage over allowable limits. Please clarify installation and testing at these locations.	Posted: 8/31/2012 1:46:17 PM
Answer:	The new line offset and additional valves will be shown in Revision 1 forthcoming. This line serves the cemetery office. After testing is complete, and after FDEP gives clearance, the ends can be tied over to the existing main. The City Inspector will need to be present. The section within the casing will not be subject to a pressure test.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 9/18/2012 9:21:08 AM
Question:	1377: Structure S-1014 and 109 LF of 18" appears to be omitted from the drainage summary and pay item quantities.	Posted: 9/4/2012 11:13:08 AM
Answer:	Structure 1014 (Gutter Inlet Type S <10') and 109' of 18" pipe were omitted from the drainage summary and pay item quantities. These items shall be included in a subsequent revision to the plans, sent out as a addendum and sent to all prospective bidders.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 9/11/2012 8:56:47 AM
Question:	1454: On the Signal Plans they are calling out for Directional bore 555-1-1 ( 2 - 1 1/4" conduits) but under the same number it shows all the conduit to go into the following Number 630-1-12 Signal Conduit, What I need to know is in a directional bore the conduit we use is HDPE not schedule 40 PVC. How do we need to handle this? Do we use HDPE or PVC the PVC will pull apart if we try to use it as a directionalbore Conduit.	Posted: 9/10/2012 4:46:46 PM

Answer:	Standard Specification Section 555 - Directional Bore, of the Specifications Package shall be used when performing the work. The department shall not dictate means and methods to the contractor while conducting this work. All products that can be used are shown within this specification.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 9/14/2012 1:27:39 PM
Question:	1460: Summary of Drainage Structures (5) Sheet 36; Structure S-1301, pipe & mes @ station 1440+19.35 is not shown on the plans. Plans jump from Station 1438+00 (sht 76) to Station 1444+66 (sht 77). Please provide omitted 666LF of roadway drawings.	Posted: 9/11/2012 9:24:58 AM
Answer:	Structure S-1301, Pipe and MES at Station 1440+19.35 is detailed on Drainage Detail Sheet 148. There is no Road Work between Stations 1438+00 and 1444+66. Plan Sheets were not needed for this area.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 9/14/2012 7:08:12 AM
Question:	1461: Summary Of Drainage Structures (3) Sheet 34; 18" Pipe totals for this sheet add up to 3442LF. It appears that the column totals are missing 18" pipe for Structures S-814/S-815/S-816, 485LF.	Posted: 9/11/2012 9:29:20 AM
Answer:	Correct, the quantity total on Sheet 34 did not include Structures, Pipes or Sod for these specific Structures. These quantity changes shall be included in a subsequent revision to the plans, sent out as an addendum to all prospective bidders. The quantity changes are as follows:  18" pipe: Old = 7,654 , Revised = 8,248  Ditch Bottom Inlet, Type D <10': Old = 30, Revised = 31  Modified Inlets, Type C < 10': Old = 11, Revised = 12  Gutter Inlet, Type S < 10': Old = 25, Revised = 26  18" MES (1:4): Old = 29, Revised = 30  Sod: Old = 2125, Revised = 2176	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 9/14/2012 7:18:35 AM
Question:	1464: Can the quantity shown for gravity walls be clarified with a table? The walls thru station 2412 exceed the 5' height for index 520. Can a detail be provided for the construction of these taller walls?	Posted: 9/11/2012 2:17:43 PM
Answer:	All gravity walls shown in the plans measures 5'- Feet or less and can be built per Standard Index 6011 (2012/2013). No table or detail shall be required.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 9/14/2012 7:21:09 AM

Question:	1467: On page # 4 of the Signal Plans it states that in the 1 1/4" conduit is for the interconnect wire, no where in the plans does it tell us what size, how much or a pay item for the interconnect conductors. Is this a future project or will Polk County be installing the interconnect wire later? Also do we need to install a pull string in this conduit? Also on the interconnect plans it states for us to use one 1 1/4" conduit for interconnect are there going to be a spare 1 1/4" conduit running with this conduit and if so the conduit counts are not right. The count should be double if this is the case.	Posted:	9/12/2012 9:25:54 AM
Answer:	The conduit being installed will be empty with only a pull string for a future project. No pay items are included in the plans for an interconnect conductor. Only one conduit is being installed. In the locations shown in the plans where the conduit runs under paved roads or drives a spare conduit of the same size is to be installed. The conduit quantities are correct as shown in the plans.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/18/2012 8:44:19 AM
Question:	1484: Under the lighting plans, there are 2 separate pay items for 40' and 50' light poles yet the plans use the same symbols (L-7) for both. What is the difference between the two pay items and how are they differentiated in the plans?	Posted:	9/13/2012 11:18:29 AM
Answer:	On Sheet L-7, there are 2 separate symbols for the poles in question: the 40' pole (symbol filled-in), and a 50' pole (symbol half filled-in on an angle).	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/17/2012 12:25:04 PM
Question:	1485: The lighting plans show a 50' light pole symbol (L-7) which does not contain a 'concrete slab'. Which pay item is this associated with since the plans do not make a distinction between the 50' type poles and their corresponding symbols?	Posted:	9/13/2012 11:22:11 AM
Answer:	The plans do distinguish between 50' poles and other pole heights on Sheet L-7 in the Legend. The pay item numbers will vary depending on whether the pole is standard light pole (pay Item: 715-4-133) or special light pole ( Pay Item:715-511-150).	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/14/2012 7:02:00 AM
Question:	1512: What is the relationship between pay item 0555 14 Directional Bore 18"-24" and 1050 11324 Utility Pipe 8" - 19.9" both have a quantity of 1,274 LF? Are these duplicate, if not what cost is to be included in each pay item?	Posted:	9/17/2012 2:20:56 PM
Answer:	These are not duplicate pay items. Paty Item 555-1- 4 is to furnish and install the casing. See Project Specifications Package page 379. Pay Item 1050-11-324 is for the utility pipe. Per section 555-8 of the specifications package, 'the cost to populate the installed product (such as wire, pipes or carriers in conduit/casing/ducts) will need to be made under a separate pay item'.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/20/2012 11:10:08 AM

---

Question: 1513: With respect to the relocated bus shelter there are bid items for the relocation of the benches and trash can however there is no bid item for the relocation of the main canopy structure. Under which bid item are we to include the cost for this work? Posted: 9/17/2012 2:25:26 PM

---

Answer: The cost to relocate the existing shelter is to be included in Pay Item 522-4. See Pay Item Note on Sheet 28 of the Plans. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED  
Posted: 9/20/2012 11:08:19 AM

---

Question: 1514: Can the geotechnical report be made available? Posted: 9/17/2012 2:26:32 PM

---

Answer: All geotechnical reports may be downloaded as Attachments "A, B AND C". Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED  
Posted: 9/19/2012 6:02:04 PM

---

Document: 1864637: Contract T1512 BananaCreekGeotechRpt\_01\_07\_09.pdf

Attachment "A"

---

Document: 1864639: Contract T1512 Geotechnical\_Report.pdf

Attachment "B"

---

Document: 1864641: Contract T1512 Geotech Report.pdf

"Attachment "C"

---

Question: 1515: Can the comp books be made available? Posted: 9/17/2012 2:26:57 PM

---

Answer: The Department does not guarantee the details or computations contained within the computation book. The computation book is provided for informational purposes only and is not part of the contract documents. The bidder shall make independent calculations and base the bid solely on these calculations. The bidder's submission of a proposal is prima facie evidence that the bidder has not relied on the computation book. No claim for compensation may be based on an inaccuracy contained within the computation book. The computation book is available for download from your order history in online ordering. URL: <https://www3.dot.state.fl.us/ContractProposalProcessingOnlineOrdering/> Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED  
Posted: 9/19/2012 6:24:33 PM

---

Question: 1516: What is the relationship between pay item 0556 15 Jack & Bore 24 -36" and 1050 11515 Utility Pipe Steel Casing 20 - 49.9" both have a quantity of 481 LF? Are these duplicate, if not what cost is to be included in each pay item? Posted: 9/17/2012 2:28:45 PM

---

Answer: Yes, they are a duplicate. All costs for jack and bore activities, including the casing, shall be paid for under Pay Item 0556-1-5. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED  
Posted: 9/19/2012 6:17:36 PM

---

Question: 1517: Can the geotechnical reports be made available? Posted: 9/17/2012 2:28:47 PM

---

Answer: All geotechnical reports may be downloaded as Attachments "A, B AND C". Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED  
Posted: 9/19/2012 6:10:21 PM

---

Document: 1864658: Contract T1512 BananaCreekGeotechRpt\_01\_07\_09.pdf

Attachment "A"

---

Document: 1864661: Contract T1512 Geotechnical\_Report.pdf

Attachment "B"

---

Document: 1864662: Contract T1512 Geotech Report.pdf

Attachment "C"

---

Question: 1519: There are three (3) Utility pay items which appear to be duplications in regards to Directional Drilling Operations: There are 3 Directional Drill Items & 3 HDPE Pipe Items. Is it your intent to provide seperate pricing for the 3 Directional Drill Borehole items and seperate pricing for the 3 HDPE Pipe items? This is typically provided as one price from the Directional Drill Subcontractor. Posted: 9/17/2012 3:40:23 PM

0555 1 2 = 369 LF & 1050 11323 = 369 LF  
0555 1 4 = 1274 LF & 1050 11324 = 1274 LF  
0555 1 5 = 443 LF & 1050 11325 = 443 LF

---

Answer: These are not duplicate pay items. Directional drill activities will be paid for under the pay items 0555-1-X. Project Specifications Package page 379. Pay Item 1050-1X-XXX is for the utility pipe. Project Specifications Package, Section 555-8, reads 'the cost to populate the installed product (such as wire, pipes or carriers in conduit/casing/ducts) will need to be made under a separate pay item'.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 9/19/2012 4:30:42 PM

---

Question: 1525: Utility Pay Items 0556 1 5 & 1050 11515 appear to be duplicated. The steel casing is installed with the jack & bore item.

Utility Pay Items: 1050 18001, 1050 18003, 1050 18004 & 1050 18005 appear to be duplicated. Are they included under the flowable fill Pay Item 0121 70?

Posted: 9/17/2012 4:58:51 PM

---

Answer: Question 1. Utility Pay Items 0556 1 5 & 1050 11515 appear to be duplicated. The steel casing is installed with the jack & bore item.

Answer 1. Yes, they are a duplicate. All costs for jack and bore activities, including the casing, should be paid for under pay item 0556-1-5.

Question 2. Utility Pay Items: 1050-18001, 1050-18003, 1050-18004 & 1050-18005 appear to be duplicated. Are they included under the flowable fill Pay Item 0121-70?

Answer 2. 0121-70 is for the flowable fill only. 1050-18001, 1050-18003, 1050-18004 & 1050-18005 pertains to everything else required to place the pipe out of service.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 9/19/2012 4:36:37 PM

---

Question: 1526: In the signalization plans, the steel strain pole schedule on sheet T-25 lists different type steel strain poles than what is shown in the bid items and on the plan sheets. This affects pole type and foundation size. Which is correct? Please advise.

Posted: 9/18/2012 10:16:22 AM

---

Answer: The steel strain pole schedule on sheet T-25 has the intended design and pole types. The required pole types and quantities are as follows:

Type	Quantity
PS VII	6
PS X	4
Total	10

All poles are to be bid under pay item 649-1-14 (Type PS-VII). No PS V or PS VI poles shall be required.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 9/20/2012 5:38:17 PM

---

Question: 1528: The Steel Strain Pole Schedule on sheet T-25 has PS VII and PS X, on sheet T-2 the steel strain poles consist of PS V, PS VI, and PS VII Please clarify.

Posted: 9/18/2012 11:55:48 AM

Answer:	The steel strain pole schedule on sheet T-25 has the intended design and pole types. The required pole types and quantities are: <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Type</th> <th>Quantity</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>PS VII</td> <td>6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>PS X</td> <td>4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>10</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>All poles are to be bid under pay item 649-1-14 (Type PS-VII). No PS V or PS VI poles are required.</p>	Type	Quantity	PS VII	6	PS X	4	Total	10	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED Posted: 9/21/2012 7:01:49 AM
Type	Quantity									
PS VII	6									
PS X	4									
Total	10									
Question:	1561: note 3 Sheet B-2 refers to removing the old wood timbers from the original bridge. This area is currently under water and existing conditions can not be seen. Is there information that can be provided to assess the cost of this work? Can the existing timbers be cut off below the mudline?	Posted: 9/19/2012 8:47:40 AM								
Answer:	We do not have any additional information other than what has been provided in the plans. It is the EOR's intent for all existing structures that may be impacted by the placement of the proposed structures shall be removed. If the piles in question do not interfere with the proposed work, they may be cut 2' foot below the mudline and left in place.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED Posted: 9/19/2012 4:39:28 PM								
Question:	1562: As a follow up on question 1464 on the gravity walls I am seeing about 1350LF (2367-2374 & 2412-2419) of gravity walls in excess of 600 Cyds. Even with the height reductions the bid quantity appears low. Please clarify. Also at 2367 the toe of the proposed wall is on the ROW line 3-5' deep. There is a sidewalk shown on the otherside of the ROW line that can not be maintained without some sort of temp critical shoring. Will the wall offset be adjusted or will temp critical sheeting be added to facilitate this work?	Posted: 9/19/2012 9:03:53 AM								
Answer:	After receiving Question #1563, the Department contacted the individual who submitted the question. The discussion between the Questioner and FDOT was solely to confirm the Questioner did not want a response to Question #1562. Therefore, no response is provided for Question #1562.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED Posted: 9/19/2012 11:02:26 AM								
Question:	1563: Disregard gravity wall shoring question pay item note for 400-0-11 covers that.	Posted: 9/19/2012 9:37:52 AM								
Answer:	After receiving Question #1563, the Department contacted the individual who submitted the question. The discussion between the Questioner and FDOT was solely to confirm the Questioner did not want a response to Question #1562. Therefore, no response is provided for Question #1562.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED Posted: 9/19/2012 11:02:57 AM								

Question:	1564: Will the department allow the contractor to open cut the roadway drainage crossings and direct bury RCP in lieu of installing the numerous jack and bores which will be much more expensive?	Posted:	9/19/2012 9:42:27 AM
Answer:	No. All roadway drainage crossings will be constructed as detailed in the plans.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/20/2012 11:06:48 AM
Question:	1566: In the signal Plan T5, it calls out for one new controller Cabinet 670-5-111 which needs to work with ATMS NOW ( Naztec Product ) but on the same page 671-2-11 it states to use a controller that is compatible with the existing Central Software. Is this Controller to be a Econolite 2070 controller like what is installed in Lakeland???	Posted:	9/19/2012 9:55:25 AM
Answer:	Both pay item notes concern Polk County, controller and cabinet are to be compatible with Polk County's existing Central Software, which is ATMS.NOW.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/21/2012 9:57:21 AM
Question:	1580: At the contractor's option, will the Department's remediation contractor NOT replace the excavated cover material if the contractor agrees to accept the final excavated grade as-is?	Posted:	9/19/2012 2:04:32 PM
Answer:	The Department's remediation contractor shall replace the excavated cover material. If a cost savings initiative is proposed by the contractor who is awarded this project, it would be evaluated by the Department at that time. All prospective bidders shall prepare their bids based on the contract plans and quantities.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/19/2012 5:10:22 PM
Question:	1582: Question 1353 answer was to move the callout to Station 106 on First Street, however the reconstruction of the roadway to this station was not addressed. Will the quantities for the reconstruction of First Street be modified to account for utility & storm installations thru Station 106?	Posted:	9/19/2012 3:12:25 PM
Answer:	No.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/20/2012 5:40:24 PM

Question:	1583: We received the latest addendum/amendment for this project today, 9/19/12. In the addendum note information sheets it states many JPA utility items have changed and many have even been deleted and added. No revised utility plans were included in the addendum to verify and assess these changes. Without this information we cannot accurately complete our estimate for this project. Due to the apparent magnitude of these changes significant time will be required to review and make these adjustments as needed. Will these plans be made available and forwarded, and if so when will they be available? Please respond or post the revised plans ASAP.	Posted:	9/19/2012 3:30:58 PM
Answer:	Central Contracts Administration will be resending out Addendum #3 today September 20th, 2012 and it will contain all missing Plan Sheets.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/20/2012 11:53:06 AM
Question:	1584: We received & downloaded Addenda 2 yesterday, 9/19/12 and the revision memo page 1 of 5 states that Plan Revision 1 is 57 sheets, when file (T1512PlansRev001)is opened only 31 sheets are included in the download.	Posted:	9/20/2012 8:32:39 AM
Answer:	Central Contracts Administration will be resending out Addendum #3 today September 20th, 2012 and it will contain all missing Plan Sheets.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/20/2012 11:54:04 AM
Question:	1600: UWHCA Detail (5), Plan Sheet 70 has a detail for Ductile Iron Pipe & Unions at Light Pole Spread Footers. 1. Which pay items will these DIP & Union Items be paid? 2. Are they accounted for in the tabulation of quantities?	Posted:	9/21/2012 11:39:50 AM
Answer:	1. These items are to be paid for under pay items 1050 11424, Utility Pipe, F&I, DI/CI, Water, 8-19.9" and 1055 11444, Utility Fittings, F&I, DI/CI, Union, 8-19.9" 2. Yes.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/21/2012 3:38:16 PM
Question:	1602: Please Re-Add pay item 1055 11445 to the bid form. There is a 20" x 16" Reducer called for on sheet 56, Sta 1433+23, 134' RT.	Posted:	9/21/2012 2:07:46 PM
Answer:	Pay item 1055-11445 will not be added. The 20" x 16" reducer has been accounted for under pay item 1055-11434.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/21/2012 3:36:38 PM