



Bid Questions and Answers Report

Date & Time:

6/21/2012 1:55:26 PM

District Address: District 7 Construction Office, located at 11201 N McKinley, Tampa, FL 33612

District Phone: (813) 975-6285

Proposal: T7305

Project: 418860-6-52-01

Letting Date: 6/20/2012

Location: CENTRAL OFFICE

Description: SR 55 (US 19)

Question: 787: Please provide the computation book. Posted: 5/31/2012 7:08:19 AM

Answer: The Department does not guarantee the details or computations contained within the computation book. The computation book is provided for informational purposes only and is not part of the contract documents. The bidder shall make independent calculations and base the bid solely on these calculations.
The bidder's submission of a proposal is prima facie evidence that the bidder has not relied on the computation book. No claim for compensation may be based on an inaccuracy contained within the computation book.
The computation book is available for download from your order history in online ordering. URL:
<https://www3.dot.state.fl.us/ContractProposalProcessingOnlineOrdering/>

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 6/3/2012 5:53:06 PM

Question: 804: Advertised work description for the project states from Pinellas County Line to Marine Parkway and Marine Parkway to SR 52. Total roadway length 11.423 miles. Plans downloaded from FDOT site appears to only cover the section from Marine Parkway to SR 52 (7.221 miles). Will FDOT be uploading the southern section of project plans for Pinellas County Line to Marine Parkway (4.202 miles)?

Posted: 5/31/2012 4:31:23 PM

Answer: Response: The 418860-6-52-01 project is the lead project and includes projects 418860-6-56-01, 418860-5-52-01 and 429002-1-52-01 as "goes with" projects. The limits of the 429002-1-52-01 project extend from the Pinellas County line to Marine Parkway and should be included as part of the "goes with" set of projects.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 6/4/2012 4:20:43 PM

Question: 815: There are numerous Side Drains & Mitered Ends at driveways along the project that do not have a "to remain" notation. Are we to assume that ANY existing drainage that does not have this notation is to be removed?

Posted: 6/1/2012 11:41:19 AM

Answer:	Response: Please refer to Note 27 on the General Notes (1), sheet 73, of the 418860-6-52-01 plans for clarification. Be aware that the note makes reference to notes on plan sheets which will provide more general statements, at times, regarding drainage features to remain specified by station limits. This note will be renumbered to 28 on forthcoming revision 1.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED Posted: 6/5/2012 12:34:32 PM
Answer:	Response: Please refer to Note 28 on the General Notes (1), sheet 73, of the 418860-6-52-01 plans for clarification. Be aware that the note makes reference to notes on plan sheets which will provide more general statements, at times, regarding drainage features to remain specified by station limits.	Status: ANSWER VOIDED Posted: 6/4/2012 4:24:06 PM
Question:	867: In the special provisions under 710-90 you have stated that you want just 1 application of paint on the final surface, when usually it is 2. Is this correct?	Posted: 6/7/2012 11:31:31 AM
Answer:	Special Provision 710-4.1.1 (which applies to pay item 710-90) requires one application of painted pavement markings and one application of Retro-reflective Pavement Markers applied to the final surface. This application of paint will be the second application of painted pavement markings on the final surface. The first application is covered under the 710-X pay items already in the plans - please see pay item note 710-: THE TOTALS SHOWN ON THE SUMMARY OF ROADWAY PAY ITEMS ARE FOR PAINTED PAVEMENT MARKINGS USED FOR MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED Posted: 6/16/2012 10:47:51 AM
Question:	891: When will the department be releasing final striping plans that "goes with" financial project numbers 41886055201 and 42900215201? The contract plans appear to be missing them, but there are final striping plans for FPN 41886065201. Ther are 710-90 Painted Pavement Markings Final Surface Lump Sum Pay items associated with these 3 FPN. What are the limits of these two missing project numbers and two missing plans?	Posted: 6/8/2012 2:57:55 PM
Answer:	The original file, which is now noted as obsolete, did not contain. The new file will contain all project component sheets.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED Posted: 6/15/2012 12:47:12 PM
Question:	902: On item 515-1-1 Pipe Handrail is for Index number 880 handrail. However note 40 on sheet 74 states that the pedestrian guiderail at sidewalk is to be constructed per index number 850 which is Picket handrail. What index number is to be used for item 515-1-1 on this project?	Posted: 6/11/2012 8:58:54 AM

Answer: Index number 880 is the appropriate index. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 6/16/2012 10:48:34 AM

Question: 917: In addendum #1 and revised plans #1 revised traffic plans for FPN 429002-1-52-01 are given. However, there are no traffic plans under this FPN in the original bid documents. Also in the revised plans the tabulation of quantities sheet are marked T-5 thru T-19. Only T-10, T-11 and T-13 are given. Where are the additional plan sheets? Please provide clarification? Posted: 6/11/2012 4:26:12 PM

Answer: The original file, which is now noted as obsolete, did not contain. The new file will contain all project component sheets. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 6/15/2012 1:32:52 PM

Question: 946: Can the 2.5" of structural course resurfacing be installed in a single 2.5" lift thickness? If not, and the structural course is to be placed in 2 lifts, there will be more than a 1 1/2" drop off to adjacent lanes. Additionally the cost will increase significantly if two lifts are required. Posted: 6/12/2012 4:19:03 PM

Answer: Please see the Traffic Control Plan General note which requires both lifts to be placed prior to opening travel lanes to traffic. This would limit the drop off to less than 1 1/2". Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 6/16/2012 10:49:11 AM

Question: 947: Reference note 27 on Plan Sheet 758 - Both asphalt structural lifts shall be in place prior to opening travel lane to traffic. Does this note apply to new construction, or does it apply to milling and resurfacing? Posted: 6/12/2012 4:13:21 PM

Answer: Note 27 applies to both milling and resurfacing as well as widening. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 6/16/2012 10:49:45 AM

Question: 950: Class II HDPE pipe is not shown, as an approved material, on the Optional Materials Tabulation for 12" pipe and 15" pipe. Roadway Design Bulletin 12-09 indicates Class II HDPE pipe has now been granted full approval for use on FDOT facilities with the exception of 100 -year design service life applications in the Florida Keys. The 12" pipe and 15" pipe specified on this project meets the minimum and maximum burial depths required for HDPE pipe per Index 205. Can Class II HDPE pipe be used for 12" pipe and 15" pipe specified on this project? Posted: 6/12/2012 9:15:23 PM

Answer:	Yes, Class II HDPE may be used for 12" and 15" pipe.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	6/16/2012 10:50:14 AM
Question:	960: There are no striping plans for project FIN Nos. 429002-1-52-1 or 418860-5-52-01. Bid contains lump sum bid items for final striping both of these projects. Both of these projects include new inside and outside widening and median turn additions which will obviously alter each projects existing striping so existing pavement markings can not be used to develop "estimated" quantities. Striping contractors can not provide pricing for something they have no idea what the Department will require. This is not a "design build" bid. Is the Department going to provide the necessary striping plans so contractors can price accordingly?	Posted:	6/13/2012 12:01:07 PM
Answer:	The original file, which is now noted as obsolete, did not contain. The new file will contain all project component sheets.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	6/15/2012 12:49:04 PM
Question:	962: In the ITS general notes (note #12) it calls for the existing 4" interconnect conduit to be removed and back filled. On the previous project (FPN 418860-2-52-01), it was determined that the conduit was installed by directional bore and was deeper than the standard installation requirements and was not able to be simply removed and back filled. Can the department provide a secondary method of conduit removal?	Posted:	6/13/2012 2:03:30 PM
Answer:	Remove and backfill four-inch conduit that is less than 48 inches deep as indicated in ITS general note #12. Four-inch conduit that is greater than 48-inches deep may be left in place. Identify conduit that is left in place on the as-built plans.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	6/16/2012 10:51:01 AM
Question:	963: Project plans 429002-1-52-01, plan sheet 6, Note 3 states "limits of overbuild varies, see typical section detail (6). This plan set does not contain a typical section detail (6). Provided plan set only contains typical section details up to (5). Can the Department please provide the missing typical section?	Posted:	6/13/2012 2:11:09 PM
Answer:	The reference should state typical section detail (5).	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	6/16/2012 10:51:43 AM