

CPPR examples of Issues:

1. Category 8 scoring.

- a. Applying DWLs or DLs correctly as non-conformance days in Category 8. Often see DWLs/DLs not counted off as non-conformance, and/or VW being incorrectly counted as a non-conformance day. There have been many questions lately about other Categories effects on Category 8. It should be understood that Category 8 will be affected by impacts to other categories. If a contractor receives a VW, DWL, or DL in other categories, the days on which a DWL or DL is issued would be non-conformance days. Days on which a VW is issued would not be considered a nonconformance day in Category 8.
- b. Less often, we see multiple DWLs/DLs on the same day (in different categories) being counted off as multiple non-conformance days in Cat 8. In the example below, a DWL was issued in Category 2 and Category 3 on the same day. Only 1 non-conformance day was deducted in Category 8 for the month of January.

CATEGORY 2 - Proper MOT & Minimize Impacts to Traveling		Score (max. of 12):	10
Public		# of Pro-rated Deficiency Ltrs.	1
Date of Notification	Notification Type	By Whom/To Whom/Reason	
1/19/2015	DWL	No temp MOT devices	
1/20/2015	DL	No temp MOT devices	
Notification Types: VW: Verbal Warning DWL: Deficiency Warning Letter DL: Deficiency Letter			
CATEGORY 3 - Timely and Complete Submittal of Documents		Score (max. of 8):	8
		# of Pro-rated Deficiency Ltrs.	0
Date of Notification	Notification Type	By Whom/To Whom/Reason	
1/19/2015	DWL	Certifications not submitted for material	
Notification Types: VW: Verbal Warning DWL: Deficiency Warning Letter DL: Deficiency Letter			
CATEGORY 8 - Conformance With Contract Documents		Score (max. of 20):	19
		Quality of Work	94%
Month	Allowable Days	Quality Days	Remarks
Jan '15	31	29	Non conformance days on 1/19 & 1/20 (DWL in Cat 2 & 3; DL in Cat 2)

2. Escalation/Reduction of issues from VW to DWL to DL.

- a. We have seen a few occasions recently where a repeated issue was given only a VW, even after many consecutive days of the same problem occurring. We need to ensure that we escalate issues from a VW to a DWL/DL when the issue is being repeated.
- b. We should also be aware that after an issue is corrected, and it does not occur again for a period of time, that we can also regress back to a VW if warranted.

3. Entering in CPPR scores correctly after Final Acceptance

- a. Do NOT enter the score directly into SiteManager (neither the interim or final grades).
 - i. An interim report can be ran at any time from the CIM CPPR Report tab, and it will provide the current score.

Header	Category 1	Category 2	Category 3	Category 4	Category 5	Category 6	Category 7	Category 8	Category 9	Report		
Interim report (Opens in new window, Unknown Size) Final report (Opens in new window, Unknown Size)												
Date of the Report:	December 29, 2014 Revised:12-29-2014						Original Contract Days: 80					
FIN ID:							Days Granted: 4					
Contractor Number:							Weather Days: 8					
Contractor:							Allowable Contract Days: 92					
Job Description:	SR 557 (BUENA VISTA) FROM SOUTH OF I-4 TO NORTH OF I-4						Days Used as of Today: 95					
Project Administrator:							Deficiency Ltr. Factor (DLF): 1					
Contractor Performance Rating (Max. of 102):										102	Interim <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes	Final

- ii. The CIM CPPR module will handle the proper calculating of both interim and final CPPR scores and will automatically enter the grade into SiteManager after Final Acceptance. The Project Administrator must make sure to mark the score as Final in CIM to ensure that any bonus points are correctly applied.

Contract #	Roadway Section	16290000	SiteManager Contract Type	CSL Const Streamline	
Const. Status	7 - Final Acceptance	Spec. Year	2013	Flair Contract Type	DISTRICT CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT
Cost Center	108	County	POLK	Contract Location	SR 557 (BUENA VISTA) FROM SOUTH OF I-4 TO NORTH OF I-4
Lead Finproj	425244-1-52-01	District	01 FIRST		

Header	Category 1	Category 2	Category 3	Category 4	Category 5	Category 6	Category 7	Category 8	Category 9	Report
--------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	--------

Overview		Score	
Final	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Score 102 of 102	
Final Acceptance Date	12-18-2014	Score will not be used <input type="checkbox"/>	
Lead Financial Project Number	425244-1-52-01	Details	
Contractor		Original Contract Days	80
Vendor ID	F581401468	Days Granted	4
Job Description	SR 557 (BUENA VISTA) FROM SOUTH OF I-4 TO NORTH OF I-4	Weather Days	8
Project Administrator		Allowable Contract Days	92
Last Modified By		Days Used as of Today	95
Last Modified On	12-30-2014 11:30 AM	Deficiency Letter Factor	1

Save Cancel

- iii. Issue has occurred a few times where the Final box in CIM CPPR is not checked, and the score has not properly applied final score in category 4. We have also seen scores incorrectly entered manually into SiteManager (e.g., entered in .96) where the score is incorrectly rounded to a 1%.

- How to track time after a contract enters LDs. Staff are not always aware of the changes to category 1 and 8 in regards to pursuit and allowable days. When a project goes into LD's, we have previously instructed that in Category 8, zero would be entered for Allowable Days used after the project went into LD's and a negative value equal to the number of days LD's would be entered into the Quality Days column.

Upon further review of this process, we have determined that the fairest way to capture non-conformance during periods of work when there are no allowable days left on the contract would be to only count the actual non-compliant day, or days, rather than running the days continuously. This would account for the only for the actual days any non-conformances occur. The following screenshot illustrates this.

Date of the Report:	April 21, 2001	Original Contract Days:	90
FIN ID:		Days Granted:	0
Contract Number:		Weather days:	10
Contractor:		Allowable Contract Days:	100
Job Description:		Days Used:	160
Project Engineer:		Deficiency Ltr. Factor (DLF):	1.0

Contractor Performance Rating:	70	Interim	Final	x
--------------------------------	----	---------	-------	---

CATEGORY 1 - Pursuit of the Work	Score (max. of 12):	3.0
	Pursuit of Work	61%

Period	Work Days	Pursued Days	Remarks
Jan '2001	12	12	
Feb '2001	20	16	
Mar '2001	20	20	
Apr '2001	20	20	
May '2001	20	0	Contractor in LD's, counted calendar days
Jun '2001	20	0	after Allowable Contract Time ran out.

CATAGORY 8 - Conformance With Contract Documents	Score (max. of 20):	15
	Quality of Work	89%

Month	Allowable Days	Quality Days	Remarks
Jan '2001	20	20	
Feb '2001	28	28	
Mar '2001	31	28	3 NQ days
Apr '2001	21	18	3 NQ days
May '2001	0	-2	2 NQ days during LD period
Jun '2001	0	-3	3 NQ days during LD period

5. Adjusting a CPPR score after Final Acceptance, when a SA or settled claim adds contract time.
 - a. Notify Zach Wiginton in the Office of Construction about the need to re-open the CPPR grade for editing.
 - b. Project Administrator would then edit the CPPR score as needed. Make note of the additional granting of time. (Example below is same project as LD example above)

Date of the Report:	April 21, 2001	Original Contract Days:	90
FIN ID:		Days Granted:	60
Contract Number :		Weather days:	10
Contractor:		Allowable Contract Days:	160
Job Description:		Days Used:	160
Project Engineer:		Deficiency Ltr. Factor (DLF):	1.0

Contractor Performance Rating:	95	Interim	Final	x
---------------------------------------	----	---------	-------	---

CATEGORY 1 - Pursuit of the Work	Score (max. of 12):	12.0
	Pursuit of Work	96%

Period	Work Days	Pursued Days	Remarks
Jan '2001	12	12	
Feb '2001	20	16	
Mar '2001	20	20	
Apr '2001	20	20	
May '2001	20	20	Time granted on this project resulting from
Jun '2001	10	10	negotiation with Contractor.

CATEGORY 4 - Timely Completion of Project	Score (max. of 20):	14
--	----------------------------	----

CATAGORY 8 - Conformance With Contract Documents	Score (max. of 20):	19
	Quality of Work	93%

Month	Allowable Days	Quality Days	Remarks
Jan '2001	20	20	
Feb '2001	28	28	
Mar '2001	31	28	3 NQ days
Apr '2001	30	27	3 NQ days
May '2001	31	29	2 NQ days (formerly in LD period)
June '2001	20	17	3 NQ days (formerly in LD period)

Things to note in this example are the changes that were made.

- Days granted – changed from 0 to 60
- Allowable days – changed from 100 to 160
- Contractor Performance Rating – changed from 70 to 95
- Pursuit of Work – changed from 61% to 96%
- Timely Completion of Project – changed from 0 to 14
- Conformance with Contract – changed from 89% to 93%