

DESIGN-BUILD TASK TEAM AGENDA

Date: February 1, 2006

Place: Turnpike Headquarters (Turkey Lake Plaza)
Building 5315 / Room 1093 / 1st Floor Auditorium A

Time: 10:00 am until 3:00 pm

Attendees

Brian Blanchard, FDOT
David Sadler, FDOT
Tim Lattner, FDOT
Robert Robertson, FDOT
Mike Davis, FDOT
Frank Elmore, FDOT
Rich Nethercote, FDOT
Jim Martin, FDOT
Steve Benak, FDOT
Joe Borello, FDOT
Tim Brock, FDOT
Mark Madgar, FDOT
Jennifer Vreeland, FDOT
Amy Scales, FDOT
Juanita Moore, FDOT
Ken Leuderalbert, FDOT
Larry Jones, FDOT
Jon Sands, FDOT

David Sweeney, RS&H
Derek Fusco, FHWA
Dave Pupkiewicz, Haskell
William Schelor, APAC
Adrian Share, Nodarse
Robert Lindquist, RJI,P.E., Inc.
Dan Foss, Jacobs
Roger Martin, Jones Bros Mountain States
Lanford Pritchett, CH2M Hill
Scott Bear, CH2M Hill
Doug Geiger, RS&H
Doug Cox, Jacobs
Tracy Hood, HDR
Phil Cleland, Keith and Schnars
Denise Johnson, FDOT
Ken Grimes, FDOT
Rudy Powell, FDOT

Agenda items:

OLD BUSINESS

1. **CM@RISK Update** – Doug Cox gave a short presentation on the CM@RISK process to the group. The sub-committee will continue to work on establishing guidelines for CM@Risk project selection. If Districts have projects that they want to use the CM@Risk delivery method on they need to forward request to Ken Leuderalbert in Central Office for approval. The Central office will work with the District to get approval from FHWA, if needed. Some items of concern that were discussed are:
 - What percent of the project will be the CM be allowed to self perform.
 - Who will the CEI work for? The Department or the Construction Manager.

Projects that would be good candidates for CM@Risk are as follows:

1. Building or vertical construction projects where construction methods and specifications vary between professional groups.

2. Projects where limiting budgets threaten the delivery of the project.
3. Innovative funding scenarios, where multiple owners may dictate final project criteria.
4. Projects where construction input will be most beneficial during early phases of design.
5. Major projects where there is a good balance of risk to reward. Project has significant utilities in urban area, not good for D/B, but with CM@RISK allows some investigation to be performed by CM and then at 60-90% plans after CM has handle on project issues get GMP.
6. Corridor Projects
7. Bridge Rehabilitation (Bascule)
8. Unusual or out-of-the ordinary
9. ITS

2. **Consultant Eligibility** – Brian Blanchard discussed proposed language for Design-Build and Design-Bid-Build with group. Brian stated that if a project is designed by a consultant for the Department and then the project is let as a design-build project prior to consultant completing the design, the Design firm that performed the initial design will be allowed to bid on the design-build project. After discussion, it was determined that additional modifications need to be made to the document. Send all comments on the document to Stefanie Maxwell at stefanie.maxwell@dot.state.fl.us.

Brian also indicated that the Department is developing separate requirements for GEC consultants who perform work for the Districts.

3. **Options as part of the Bid** – Discussed the use of using options to get the best value for the Department if project has a limited budget. Industry had concerns that the process does not guard against a contractor getting the project because of low bid only. If only one contractor meets highest option then they will get project regardless of technical score. The Department does not feel this is an issue because the firm who meets the budget requirements and submits a responsive technical proposal would be the best value for the Department.
4. **Q & A Process** – Discussed at meeting. Modifications will be made as shown below and the process will be added to the Design-Build Guidelines:
 - Item 6. Questions are asked by the TRC and Technical Advisors to the D/B firm. The D/B firm may not ask unsolicited questions.
 - Item 9. Written responses shall be submitted after the Q&A session to document all clarifications to the technical proposal discussed during the Q&A session.

NEW BUSINESS

1. **Review of Foundation Design criteria** – Robert Robertson noted that the Department had a geotechnical task team review the design-build process as it relates to foundations to determine if the process could be improved. The task team looked at several options but felt the best choice was to tighten up some of the design criteria and specifications to ensure the Department will get the product they want and will still allow for innovation. Larry Jones discussed some of the specification and design criteria changes made.
2. **Methods to improve the evaluation process** – Joe Borello discussed issue in D4 concerning grading of technical proposals and shared guidelines that D4 developed to assist the TRC members when grading a proposal. The guidelines will be added to the Design-build guidelines.
3. **Low Bid Design-Build Resurfacing Projects** – Discussed new guidelines developed for utilizing Low Bid Design-Build on Resurfacing Projects. Based on discussion some minor modifications will be made to guidelines. Some members of the group did not feel that the Department received much value on low bid design build resurfacing projects. Design firms do not like due to small fee and large liability. Brian noted that the process will be available and that the Department is going to try it on some pilot projects. The group felt it would be a good idea to have a mandatory pre-bid meeting. This will allow firms an opportunity to ask questions about the RFP that may not be clear. It was noted that the technical proposals for these types of projects will be simple and short.
4. **Design-Build projects with R/W included** – The Department has the option to allow the design-build firm to acquire part of the R/W for the project. Brian indicated that this is an option that should be utilized on some pilot projects. It was noted that if the project is delayed due to the acquisition of a parcel, at no fault of the contractor, then additional time would be granted.
5. **Date, time, & place for next meeting**
 - The next meeting is tentatively set for August 16, 2006, at the same location, Turnpike Headquarters (Turkey Lake Plaza) Building 5315 / Room 1093 / 1st Floor Auditorium A, from 10:00 am to 3:00 pm.

The meeting was adjourned at 2:00 pm.