

6110202 ACCEPTANCE PROCEDURE FOR TRAFFIC CONTROL SIGNAL AND DEVICES
COMMENTS FROM INTERNAL/INDUSTRY REVIEW

D3 Construction
850-330-1206

Comments: (11-4-15)

With the push to e-construction, the plans are going to be submitted through the web based site know as (ProjectSolve). The CADD files will be placed in ProjectSolve for the responsible person to manipulate and use for the purpose of As-Builts if they choose to, or manipulate the Design PDF plans (which will also be placed in ProjectSolve) with a form of PDF writer, such as Bluebeam. It is the Construction Offices understanding that either way will be acceptable. If they choose to update the CADD files, the As-Builts will not be submitted by PDF. Should 611-2.3 say only PDF if we are allowing the As-Builts to be submitted with updated CADD files?

Response:

Felipe Jaramillo
941-404-9282
fjaramillo@ajaxpaving.com

Comments: (11-8-15)

611-2.3 Submit Signed and Sealed As-built Drawings of all signal installations. Can this signed and sealed stamp be from our licensed surveyor (red lined pdf)? Or does it need to be from a third party professional engineer? Recent Project T7366 Signalization Plan Note required the Contractor to submit As-builts in AutoCAD. Big cost associated with converting plans from Micro Station to AutoCAD and create asbuilts. Can a plan note be added to superseed the Standard Specifications regarding asbuilts?

Response:

Foster Bachschmidt
352-302-3944
fosterb@dabcon.com

Comments: (11-10-15)

Due to concerns with the ability of a PLS/PE being able to certify data from boring path reports, this specification should refer to Specification 556 and 555 for jack and bores & directional drilling records and reports for as-built conditions as they relate to Signalization and ITS installations. This will alleviate any ambiguity related to how directional drill data is reflected on the signed and sealed as-built drawings required by Specification 611.

Response:

Chad O. Rucks

772 429-4938
chad.rucks@dot.state.fl.us

Comments: (11-15-15)

1. 611-2.3.1 – There is no period at the end of the first sentence. Also, in the second sentence the term “Contract Plans” is used, while in the third sentence the term “original Plans” is used. If these terms are meant to be equivalent I suggest using one term in this section.

Response:
